

BY EMAIL & DoT Website

Government of India
Ministry of Communications
Department of Telecommunications
Sanchar Bhawan, 20, Ashoka Road, New Delhi - 110 001
(Data Services Cell)

No. 813-07/LM- 55/2022-DS-II

Dated:08.06.2023

To,
All Internet Service Licensees'


Subject: C.S. (COMM) No. 851 of 2022, Universal City Studios LLC & Ors. v. Dembed2.com & Ors. Before Hon'ble Delhi High Court.

Kindly refer to the following:

- (i) Hon'ble Delhi High Court order dated **24.05.2023** on the subject.
- (ii) **Para 27 & 28** of Hon'ble Delhi High Court order dated **12.12.2022** regarding blocking of website identified by plaintiff.
- (iii) Memo of Parties in CS (Comm) No. 851 of 2022

(Copies enclosed for ready reference)

2. In view of the above all the Internet Service licensees are hereby instructed to take immediate necessary action for blocking access to websites of defendants no. 37.


Director (DS-II)

Tel: 011-2303 6860

Email: dirds2-dot@nic.in

Encl: A/A

Copy to:

- i. Sh. V.Chinnasamy, Scientist E (chinnasamy.v@meity.gov.in), Electronics Niketan, Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology (MeitY), for kind information and necessary action.
- ii. ASHEESH JAIN, GSSC (asheeshjain.cgsc@outlook.com) for kind information.
- iii. Mehr Sidhu (mehr@saikrishnaassociates.com), Lawyer/advocate for the plaintiff for kind information.
- iv. IT wing, DoT for uploading on DoT Website please.

\$~24

*

+

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI
CS(COMM) 851/2022
UNIVERSAL CITY STUDIOS LLC. & ORS..... Plaintiff

Through: Ms.Mehr Sidhu, Mr.Raghav
Goyal, Ms.Ramya Ram Kumar
(VC), Advocates

versus

DEMBED2.COM & ORS. Defendant

Through: Mr.Asheesh Jain, CGSC with
Mr.Gaurav Kumar, Ms.Ankita
Kedia, Advocates for D-22 & 23.

CORAM:
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. PURSHOTAM
PATHAK (DHJS)

ORDER

24.05.2023

%

I.A No. 10266/2023 on behalf of the plaintiffs under Order I Rule 10 CPC, 1908 seeking impleadment of additional mirrors, redirects, or alphanumeric variations as defendants in the memo of parties as defendant no. 37.

Heard.

Vide this order, I shall dispose of the present application filed by plaintiff under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC for impleadment. The learned counsel for plaintiff has submitted that the Hon'ble Court was pleased to grant ex-parte ad-interim injunction in this suit against the defendants vide order dated 12.12.2022 for infringement of copyrights with further directions that as and when plaintiff files an application under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC for impleadment of such websites, plaintiff shall file an affidavit confirming that the newly impleaded websites are

mirror/redirect/alphanumeric websites, with sufficient supporting evidence and that the application shall be listed before Joint Registrar, who on being satisfied with the material placed on record, shall issue directions to the ISPs to disable access in India in such mirror/redirect/alphanumeric websites.

It is stated that after passing of the abovesaid order, other website, as disclosed in application, has also started violation and it is mirror, redirect or alphanumeric variations of the websites blocked pursuant to the order dated 12.12.2022 and is also necessary party to this suit. It is further stated that details of proposed defendant has been disclosed in Schedule-A annexed with application and it is also liable to be impleaded as defendant no. 37. It is further argued that even ex-parte injunction dated 12.12.2022 is also liable to be extended against it and application may be allowed.

I have heard the arguments and perused the record. The law to deal with such applications and extension of *ex-parte ad-interim* injunction to newly added defendants has already been laid down in *UTV Software Communication Ltd. & Ors. vs. 1337X.TO & Ors.*, wherein it has been observed vide paragraph 107 to the effect:-

“107. Keeping in view the aforesaid findings, a decree of permanent injunction is passed restraining the defendant-websites (as mentioned in the chart in paragraph no. 4(i) of this judgment) their owners, partners, proprietors, officers, servants, employees, and all others in capacity of principal or agent acting for and on their behalf, or anyone claiming through, by or under it, from, in any manner hosting, streaming, reproducing, distributing, making available to the public and/or communicating to the public, or facilitating the same, on their websites, through

the internet in any manner whatsoever, any cinematograph work/content/ programme/show in relation to which plaintiffs have copyright. A decree is also passed directing the ISPs to block access to the said defendant-websites. DoT and MEITY are directed to issue a notification calling upon the various internet and telecom service providers registered under it to block access to the said defendant-websites. The plaintiffs are permitted to implead the mirror/ redirect/ alphanumeric websites under Order I Rule 10 CPC in the event they merely provide new means of accessing the same primary infringing websites that have been injuncted. The plaintiffs are also held entitled to actual costs of litigation. The costs shall amongst others include the lawyer's fees as well as the amount spent on Court-fees. The plaintiffs are given liberty to file on record the exact cost incurred by them in adjudication of the present suits. Registry is directed to prepare decree sheets accordingly.”

The plaintiff has filed affidavit of investigator along with sufficient material to prove that proposed defendant /website is mirror/redirect/ alphanumeric websites of defendant which is also involved in violation of copyrights of plaintiff and has been permanently restrained to do so. In view of the submissions directions passed in order dated 12.12.2022 and judgment relied upon by learned counsel for applicant, the website mentioned in the prayer clause of the application is impleaded as defendant no.37.

Since the newly added defendant is also stated to be involved in violation of copyrights of plaintiff, accordingly *ex-parte* ad-interim Injunction dated 12.12.2022 is also extended against newly added defendant no. 37.

Amended memo of parties is taken on record.

I.A. stands disposed of.

Let defendant no. 37 be summoned on filing of PF, through all permissible modes including e-mail, returnable on the date already fixed i.e. 18.07.2023.

Registry is directed to do the needful.

Copy of order be given *dasti*.

**PURSHOTAM PATHAK (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

MAY 24, 2023/sk

Click here to check corrigendum, if any

\$~29

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CS(COMM) 851/2022 & I.A. 20682/2022(O-XXXIX R-1& 2 of CPC), I.A. 20683/2022(u/s 151 CPC), I.A. 20684/2022(u/S 80 r/w u/s 151 CPC)

UNIVERSAL CITY STUDIOS LLC. & ORS. Plaintiffs
Through: Mr.Saikrishna Rajagopal,
Mr.Siddharth Chopra, Ms.Suhasini
Raina, Ms.R.Ramya, Mr.Samidhya
Rao and Ms.Meher Sidhu, Advocates.

versus

DEMBED2.COM & ORS. Defendants
Through: None

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER
12.12.2022

%

I.A. No. 20683/2022 (for exemption from filing certified copies, etc.)

1. Subject to plaintiffs filing the original/certified copies and legible copies of any document on which the plaintiff may seek to place reliance, within four weeks from today, exemption is granted for the present.
2. The application stands disposed of.

I.A. No. 20684 of 2022 (for exemption from serving advance notice)

3. The present application has been filed on behalf of the plaintiffs seeking exemption from advance service to the defendants.
4. In view of the urgent *ex parte* relief sought by the plaintiffs, the application is allowed.

CS(COMM) 851 of 2022

5. Let the plaint be registered as a suit.
6. Summons be issued to the defendants through all permissible modes. The summons shall state that the written statements shall be filed by the defendants within thirty days from the date of the receipt of summons. Along with the written statement, the defendants shall also file an affidavit of admission/denial of the documents of the plaintiffs, without which the written statements shall not be taken on record
7. Liberty is given to the plaintiffs to file replications, if any, within thirty days from the receipt of the written statements. Along with the replication filed by the plaintiffs, an affidavit of admission/denial of the documents of the defendants, be filed by the plaintiffs.
8. The parties shall file all original documents in support of their respective claims along with their respective pleadings. In case parties are placing reliance on a document, which is not in their power and possession, its detail and source shall be mentioned in the list of reliance, which shall be also filed with the pleadings.
9. If any of the parties wish to seek inspection of any documents, the same shall be sought and given within the timelines.
10. List before the Joint Registrar on 6th February, 2023.
11. List before the Court on 16th March, 2023.

IA No. 20682/2022 (O.XXXIX R.1 & 2 of CPC)

12. The present suit has been filed for permanent injunction, rendition of accounts and damages for the infringement of exclusive rights in the plaintiffs' original content/work, which is protected under the Copyright Act, 1957, against the defendants no. 1 to 12 with additional domains, that are

rogue websites and substantially indulge in online piracy by making original content available for download and otherwise providing access to infringing and illegal content.

13. The plaintiffs no. 1-6, i.e., Universal City Studios LLC, Warner Bros. Entertainment Inc., Columbia Picture Industries Inc., Netflix Studios LLC., Paramount Pictures Corporation and Disney Enterprises Inc. are leading entertainment companies globally known for producing films such as Mulan, Lego Batman, Finding Nemo, Finding Dory etc. The plaintiffs have exclusive rights to communicate their content to the public.

14. It is contended that the defendants no. 1 to 12's websites ("defendant website") are online locations, which enable the use of defendant websites' services, without any authorisation or license from the plaintiffs, to (a) view (by a process known as streaming/ downloading) cinematograph films, motion pictures, television programs or other audio-visual content, on devices connected to the Internet; (b) cause copies of those cinematograph films to be downloaded onto the memory of their devices for watching later or enabling others to watch or further copy those cinematograph film, and/or (c) identify other online locations including (by a process known as "linking"), which enable those users to engage in the activities set out in (a) or (b). An illustrative list of illegal content made available by the defendants' websites has been provided in paragraph 30 of the plaint.

15. In order to protect and enforce their exclusive rights, the plaintiffs investigated and monitored the defendants' websites and gathered evidence of their infringing activities, which has been filed along with the suit. Evidence collected by the investigator also shows that the operators of the defendant's websites are using known "pirate branding" to signal users that the

defendants' websites are merely new iterations of the sites that have been blocked earlier. Therefore, the defendants' websites being in the form of new iterations and that the new iterations almost invariably have the same functionality and purpose as the earlier blocked sites.

16. It is further contended that despite the legal notice calling upon the concerned defendants to cease from engaging in their infringing activities, they continue to infringe the rights in the plaintiffs' original content. It is also contended that the access to many of the defendants' websites has been previously disabled in other jurisdictions, as elaborated in paragraph 37 of the plaint.

17. In light of the above, it is contended that the defendants no. 1 to 12 are liable for infringement of the plaintiffs' copyright works under Section 51(a)(ii), Section 51(b) and Section 51(a)(i) of the Act, for making a copy of the original content, including storing of it in any medium by electronic or other means and communicating the original content to the public, the hosting, streaming, reproducing, distributing, making available to the public, and/or communicating to the public of the original content for streaming and downloading, or facilitating the same without authorization of the plaintiffs. In support of the aforesaid contention, the reliance is placed on the decision of this court in CS(COMM) 724 of 2017 dated 10.04.2019, ***UTV Software Communication Ltd. & Anr. v. 1337x.to and Ors.***

18. It is further contended by the plaintiffs' counsel that the websites of the defendants no. 1-12 are anonymous in nature and the information provided in the public domain regarding the owners of the website is either incomplete, incorrect and/or protected behind a veil of secrecy. These websites of the defendants no.1-12 hide behind domain privacy services

offered by various domain name Registrars, which enable a website owner to hide behind a veil and not disclose any contact details publicly, to protect his privacy.

19. In order to overcome this, the plaintiffs have arrayed various internet and telecom services providers (ISPs) as defendants no. 13-21 (hereinafter referred as "the said ISPs"), DoT as defendant no. 22 and MEITY as defendant no. 23 in the present suit to ensure effective implementation of orders passed by this Court.

20. Issue notice.

21. Notice be issued to the defendants through all modes.

22. Reply(ies) be filed within four weeks.

23. Rejoinder(s) thereto, if any, be filed within two weeks thereafter.

24. In view of the averments noted hereinabove and in view of the judgment passed in *UTV Software Communication Ltd. (supra)*, this Court is of the opinion that a *prima facie* case is made out in favour of the plaintiffs and balance of convenience is also in their favour. Further, irreparable harm or injury would be caused to the plaintiffs if an interim injunction order is not passed.

25. Accordingly, the defendants no. 1 to 12 (and any such other mirror/redirect/alphanumeric website, which appears to be associated with any of the defendants' websites based on its name, branding or the identity of its operator, or discovered to provide additional means of accessing the defendants' Websites, and other domains/domain along with their subdomains and subdirectories, owners/website operators/entities, which are discovered to have been engaging in infringing the plaintiffs' exclusive rights), their owners, partners, proprietors, officers, servants, employees, and

all others in capacity of principals or agents, acting for and on their behalf, or anyone claiming through, by or under them are hereby restrained, from, in any manner hosting, streaming, reproducing, distributing, making available to the public and/or communicating to the public, or facilitating the same, on their websites, through the internet in any manner whatsoever, any cinematograph work/content/programme/show in relation to which plaintiffs have a Copyright.

26. The defendants no. 13 to 21 shall ensure compliance with this order by blocking websites of the defendants no. 1 to 12, their URLs and the respective IP addresses as filed along with the suit (Page 21-24 - Vol 1 of the plaintiffs' documents and also Annexed herewith as Annexure -A).

27. The defendants no. 22 and 23 are further directed to take immediate steps and issue requisite notifications within five working days, calling upon various internet and telecom service providers registered under them to block the aforementioned websites identified by the plaintiffs.

28. Further, as held by this court in *UTV Software Communication Ltd. (supra)*, in order for this court to be freed from constant monitoring and adjudicating the issues of mirror/redirect/alphanumeric websites, it is directed that as and when the plaintiffs file an application under Order I Rule 10 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (CPC) for impleadment of such websites, the plaintiffs shall file an affidavit confirming that the newly impleaded website is mirror/redirect/alphanumeric website, which appears to be associated with any of the defendants' websites based on its name, branding or the identity of its operator, or has been discovered to provide additional means of accessing the defendants' websites and other domains/domain along with their subdomains and subdirectories, owners/website operators/entities, which are

discovered to have been engaging in infringing the plaintiffs' exclusive rights, with sufficient supporting evidence. Such applications shall be listed before the Joint Registrar, who on being satisfied with the material placed on record, shall issue appropriate directions to the ISPs.

29. Compliance under Order XXXIX Rule 3 of the CPC be done within five days from today.

30. List before Joint Registrar on 6th February, 2023.

31. List before Court on 16th March, 2023.

AMIT BANSAL, J

DECEMBER 12, 2022

sr

Annexure-A

LIST OF WEBSITES

S.No.	Domain Name	URL	IP Address
Defendant No. 1			
1.	dembed2.com	https://dembed2.com	104.26.14.20
			104.26.15.20
			172.67.72.130
Defendant No. 2			
2.	bollyflix.day	https://bollyflix.day	104.21.28.33
			172.67.170.58
3.	bollyflix.cyou	https://bollyflix.cyou	172.64.192.33
			172.64.193.33
4.	bollyflix.vip	https://bollyflix.vip	172.67.219.153
			104.21.24.162
Defendant No. 3			
5.	divicast.com	https://divicast.com	172.67.134.91
			104.21.25.157
Defendant No. 4			
6.	Gogohd.net	https://gogohd.net	172.67.68.129
			172.67.68.129
			104.26.13.233
7.	Goload.io	http://goload.io	104.26.0.4
			104.26.1.4
			172.67.68.229
Defendant No. 5			
8.	kuttymovies.monster	https://kuttymovies.monster	172.67.208.93
			104.21.45.34

9.	kuttymovies24.com	http://kuttymovies24.com	104.21.17.116
			172.67.175.200
10.	kuttymovies24.org	https://kuttymovies24.org	172.67.177.74
			172.67.177.74
Defendant No. 6			
11.	movie4me.store	https://movie4me.store	104.21.29.75
			172.67.148.150
12.	movie4me.bond	https://movie4me.store	104.21.80.118
			172.67.180.132
13.	movies4me.one	http://movies4me.one	104.21.25.66
			172.67.223.109
Defendant No. 7			
14.	moviesmint.in	http://moviesmint.in	104.21.30.39
			172.67.150.134
Defendant No. 8			
15.	moviezwap.vin	https://moviezwap.vin	104.21.52.130
			172.67.199.137
16.	moviezwap.run	https://moviezwap.run	104.21.74.119
			172.67.157.244
17.	moviezwap.pics	https://moviezwap.pics	172.67.174.17
			104.21.80.42
Defendant No. 9			

18.	prmovies.run	prmovies.run	104.21.4.96
19.	prmovies.team	https://prmovies.team	172.67.159.85
			104.21.89.125
20.	prmovies.tel	https://prmovies.tel	172.67.191.119
			104.21.65.184
Defendant No. 10			
21.	serieshd.watch	https://serieshd.watch	172.67.209.29
			104.21.69.141
Defendant No. 11			
22.	tamilprint.art	http://tamilprint.art	172.67.144.12
			104.21.28.35
23.	tamilprint22.xyz	https://tamilprint22.xyz	104.21.32.75
			172.67.184.102
Defendant No. 12			
24.	uhdmovies.site	https://uhdmovies.site/movies/	172.67.128.24
25.	uhdmovies.co	https://uhdmovies.co	172.67.167.37
			104.21.42.226
26.	uhdmovies.app	http://uhdmovies.app	104.21.44.147
			172.67.200.226

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI
(ORDINARY ORIGINAL COMMERCIAL JURISDICTION)

I.A. NO. _____ OF 2023
CS(COMM) NO. 851 OF 2022

IN THE MATTER OF

Universal City Studios LLC. & Ors. ...Plaintiffs

Versus

dembed2.com & Ors. ...Defendants

MEMO OF PARTIES

(1) Universal City Studios LLC.

having its office at

100 Universal City Plaza, Universal City,

CA 91608, United States of America,

Email: antipiracy@nbcuni.com

(2) Warner Bros. Entertainment Inc.

having its office at

4000 Warner Boulevard, Burbank,

California 91522, United States of America

Email: antipiracy@warnerbros.com

(3) Columbia Pictures Industries, Inc.

having its office at
10202 W. Washington Blvd., Culver City,
CA 90232-3195 United States of America,
Email: copyright_agent@spe.sony.com

(4) Netflix Studios, LLC

having its office at
100 Winchester Cir Los Gatos
CA, 95032-1815, United States of America,
Email: legal@netflix.com

(5) Paramount Pictures Corporation

having its office at
5555 Melrose Avenue, Hollywood,
CA, 90038, United States of America,
Email: Content_Protection@paramount.com

(6) Disney Enterprises, Inc.

having its office at
500 S. Buena Vista, Burbank,
CA, 91521, United States of America,
Email: tips@disneyantipiracy.com ... Plaintiffs

Versus

1) dembed2.com

Contact information: abuse@namecheap.com,
c1958a482f66492ba5666f69a9db0d40.protect@withheldforprivacy.com

2) bollyflix.day
bollyflix.cyou
bollyflix.vip

Contact information: bollyflix@protonmail.com,
abuse@namecheap.com

3) divicast.com

Contact Information: abuse@namecheap.com,
4) gogohd.net
goload.io

Contact Information: abuse@namecheap.com,
ebfec9e6db64483dbc5f0d4302a9e9fe.protect@withheldforprivacy.com

5) kuttymovies.monster
kuttymovies24.com
kuttymovies24.org

Contact Information: abuse@namecheap.com,
868d5108e6ec45a8b3fd4581b20bf326.protect@withheldforprivacy.com

6) movie4me.store

Movie4me.bond
movies4me.one

Contact Information: movie4me2@protonmail.com,
abuse@namecheap.com

7) moviesmint.in

Contact Information: - Bone111@protonmail.com

8) moviezwap.vin
moviezwap.run
moviezwap.pics

Contact Information: -
moviezwaphd@protonmail.com,
abuse@namecheap.com

9) prmovies.run
prmovies.team
Prmovies.tel

Contact Information: abuse@namecheap.com,
compliance@tu cows.com

10) serieshd.watch

Contact Information: domainabuse@tu cows.com

11) tamilprint.art
tamilprint22.xyz

Contact Information: abuse@namecheap.com

12) uhdmovies.site
uhdmovies.co
uhdmovies.app

- Contact information: abuse@godaddy.com
- 13) Atria Convergence Technologies Pvt. Ltd.
99A/113A, Manorayana Palya
R.T. Nagar Bangalore – 560032
- Also At:
2nd and 3rd Floor, No. 1,
Indian Express Building, Queen’s Road,
Bangalore 560001 Karnataka
nodal.term@actcorp.in;
Jitesh.chathambil@actcorp.in
- 14) Bharat Sanchar Nigam Ltd.
Bharat Sanchar Bhawan, Regulation Cell
5th floor, Harish Chandra Mathur Lane
Janpath, New Delhi -110001
ddg_reg@bsnl.co.in; sbkhare@bsnl.co.in
averma@bsnl.co.in; sushmamishra71@gmail.com
- 15) Bharti Airtel Ltd.
Airtel Centre, Tower-A, 6th floor
‘A’ Wing, Plot No.16, Udyog Vihar
Ph - IV, Gurgaon – 122016
121@in.airtel.com; compliance.officer@bharti.in;
[jyoti.pawar@in.airtel.com](mailto: jyoti.pawar@in.airtel.com) ; [Ravi.gandhi@airtel.com](mailto: Ravi.gandhi@airtel.com);
[amit.bhatia@airtel.com](mailto: amit.bhatia@airtel.com)
- 16) Hathway Cable & Datacom Pvt. Ltd.

'Rahejas',4 floor, Main Avenue
Santacruz (W), Mumbai-400054

ajay.singh@hathway.net; Sudhir.shetye@hathway.net

- 17) Mahanagar Telephone Nigam Ltd.
5th Floor, Mahanagar Doorsanchar Sadan
9, CGO Complex, Lodhi Road
New Delhi – 110003

raco.mtnl@gmail.com; mtnlcsc@gmail.com
gmracomtnl@gmail.com

- 18) Reliance Jio Infocomm Limited

RCP 14 (TC 23), Phase 4,
B-Block , 3rd Floor,
C 4 130 Twane- belapur Road,
Gansoli,
Navi Mumbai- 400701

care@jio.com; Hitesh.marthak@relianceada.com;
Kapoor.guliani@ril.com; mahipal.singh@ril.com;
sunil.kr.gupta@ril.com; shilpi.kant@ril.com;
jyoti.jain@ril.com; rudraksha.sinha@ril.com

- 19) Shyam Spectra Pvt. Ltd.

Plot No. 258,
Okhla Industrial Estate, Phase III,
New Delhi – 110020

Also at:

Plot No. 21-22, 3rd Floor
Udyog Vihar, Phase IV, Gurugram -122015

info@spectra.co; compliance@spectra.co

- 20) Tata Teleservices Ltd.
A, E & F Blocks
Voltas Premises - T. B. Kadam Marg
Chinchpokli, Mumbai – 400033

Also at:

Jeevan Bharati Tower I,
10th Floor, 124,
Connaught Circus,
New Delhi - 110001

pravin.jogani@tatatel.co.in; anand.dalal@tatatel.co.in;
satya.yadav@tatatel.co.in; gaganjit.sidhu@tatatel.co.in

- 21) Vodafone India Limited
Vodafone House,
Peninsula Corporate Park,
Ganpatrao Kadam Marg,
Lower Parel, Mumbai - 400 013 India

Also At:

Birla Centurion,
10th Floor, Plot no.794,
B Wing, Pandurang Budhkar Marg,
Worli, Mumbai - 400 030 India

smitha.menon@vodafoneidea.com;
pankaj.kapdeo@vodafoneidea.com
Radhika.gokhale@vodafoneidea.com
sheena.thukral@vodafoneidea.com

sanjeet.sarkar@vodafoneidea.com

lavati.sairam@vodafoneidea.com

- 22) Department of Telecommunications
Through Secretary,
Ministry of Communications and IT,
20, Sanchar Bhawan, Ashoka Road,
New Delhi – 110001
secy-dot@nic.in, dirds2-dot@nic.in,
- 23) Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology
Through the Director General (DIT) Cyber Laws & e-
security),
Electronics Niketan, 6, CGO Complex,
Lodi Road, New Delhi – 110003
cyberlaw-legal@meity.gov.in
- 24) Ashok Kumars
- 25) Moviesmod.net
Contact Information : abuse@namecheap.com ;
db7402c503aa4770b4a8d1c7b093c000.protect@withheldforprivacy.com
- 26) Plexmovies.xyz
Contact Information : abuse@namecheap.com
- 27) Moviezverse.org
Contact Information : abuse@namecheap.com
- 28) uhdmovies.one

- Contact Information: abuse@namecheap.com
- 29) uhdmovies.vip
Contact Information: abuse@cloudflare.com
- 30) uhdmovies.world
Contact Information: abuse@namecheap.com
- 31) uhdmovies.org.in
Contact Information: abuse@godaddy.com
- 32) prmovies.city
Contact Information: abuse@namecheap.com
- 33) prmovies.bio
Contact Information: abuse@namecheap.com
- 34) prmovies.help
Contact Information: abuse@namecheap.com
- 35) prmovies.vin
Contact Information: abuse@namecheap.com
- 36) moviesmint.net
Contact Information: abuse@dynadot.com,
bone111@protonmail.com
- 37) Uhdmovies.bio
Contact Information: abuse@namecheap.com
- ...Defendants

Place: New Delhi

Date: May

*R. Ramya
D/5815/2018*

R.Ramya | Mehr Sidhu
(D/5815/2018) | (PH/2695/2021)

Saikrishna & Associates

Advocates for the
Plaintiffs 57, Jor Bagh, Delhi – 110003
+91 9845057887

r.ramkumar@saikrishnaassociates.com