No. 3-10/2012-Fin./Auction Government of India Ministry of Communications and IT Department of Telecom Sanchar Bhawan, 20, Ashoka Road, New Delhi

15th June, 2012

Subject: Clarifications to queries/ suggestions in response to RFP for selection of auctioneer

A number of clarifications have been sought in response to the RFP for selection of auctioneer. The replies are as follows:

SI No	Query	Response/Clarification
	Eligibility/ evaluation criteria	
1	The minimum paid up capital required to become eligible for submission of offer against the said RFP has been fixed at Rs.2.50 Crore. Our Paid up Capital is Rs.2.20 Crore with Net worth of more than Rs.600 Crore as on 31.03.2012. The marginal shortfall of Rs.30 Lakh in our Paid up Capital is not going to affect the services of e-Auction that we shall deliver to DoT. We, therefore, earnestly request you to consider relaxing the eligibility norms with respect to Paid up Capital suitably so that we may submit our best offer.	
2	In case of consortium, will it be sufficient if the paid up capital of all the partners added up comes to Rs 2.50 Crore?	Para 1.3.6 of the RFP is revised as follows: The bidder or the lead partner in case of a consortium, shall have a minimum paid up capital of Rs.2.5 crores or equivalent on the date of application.
3	Will the partner with higher paid up capital be the lead member of the consortium or the partner with higher Net Worth can also be the lead member?	Para 1.3.7 of the RFP is revised as follows: The bidder or the lead partner in case of consortium and its substantial equity holders in the bidder company shall have a combined net worth of at least Rs.10 crores or equivalent. The substantial equity holders shall be those who have at least 10% or more equity stake in the total equity of the bidder company. The information should be duly authenticated under the law.
	Ref Clause 1.3: Considering the said e- auction to be of high transaction value, it is	No change.

	suggested that a qui conducting e-auction 4000 Crores per and should be included.	worth minimum Rs		
5	Section No.: Eligibility company to act as agree Auction Clause 1.3.8 or Description: The bidden simultaneous, control auctions of spectrum in the last five(5) yet preference. Is the experience of counted for e-auction of the section of the secti	gency to conduct e- f page No.3 er having conducted lled, ascending e- or telecom licenses ears may be given conducting auctions	No.	
6	We request you n experience of conductor spectrum or teleconiclude e-auctions commovable items.	tion e-auctions only m licenses, but also		inge. Also refer to parameter (D) of 1.9.2 of RFP.
7	We are a proprietary for 1.3.6 & 1.3.7 be apply would help us if there eligibility criteria relate Because we are experience auctioneer established in 1978 conducting e-auctions.	licable) to us and it e are any norms of d to proprietary firm. reputed and well r in India. We are and since 2006	Yes. All	of these conditions would apply.
8	Is it necessary for a se have its own IT infrasti hardware and software certify the ownership of and the capabilities to services?	ructure in terms of e? If so, who will of the infrastructure	Please 1.9.2 of	refer to parameters (F) and (G) of clause RFP.
9	Infrastructure capabilit limited to IT such as D Bandwidth, Redundar 27001 Certification, e important for conduct free auctions in an ele	e e-security aspect, it into account the ties (including but not Data Centre, DR Site, ncy, Help Desk, ISO etc) which is so very t of fault and failure ectronic mode.		
10	Ref Clause 1.9.2: V RFP correctly gives value of e-auctions years, it is also mentionauctions (Ref clause flast 3 years. Request	Vhile apparently the weightage of 10% to conducted in last 3 oned 20% to value of 1.9.2 A) conducted in	SI. No.	ause is clear. Also refer to clarification at 6 above

	1.0	
	the difference between auction & e-auction.	
11	Will experience of e-auction also qualify as experience of auction?	
12	Ref Clause 1.9.2: (Total value of telecom related auctions in the last three years) During the 3G auction it may have been desirable to allocate 20 percent weightage to a service provider who had prior experience in the Telecom space. This is no longer necessary for the auction of 2G spectrum as the methodology for the new auction has now been frozen. No changes to the methodology may be required. Therefore there would be little reason to give weightage to prior telecom experience. The emphasis now should be on security in its entirety. Security of IT systems, credibility of the Service Provider, are all hisNo change in technical evaluation parameters. assets and records located on Indian soil and therefore are amenable and open to scrutiny and verification etc.	
13	Ref Clause 1.9.2 (Total value of telecom related auctions in the last three years) It may be noted that no Indian firm has the requisite experience in telecom spectrum auction. We believe a level playing field for Indian firms is desirable as well. Accordingly, we suggest that experience in conduct of e-auctions in diverse sectors such as Steel, Coal, Logistics, Auto, etc may be appended as an alternative.	No change
14	Also, will experience in auction of telecom equipment and auction for corporate mobile connections be eligible under this clause.	Refer parameter D of Clause 1.9.2 of RFP.
15	Request for changes in the 'Selection Criteria' specified in the RFP document keeping in view the following aspects: i. Sensitivity of the process of spectrum auction, and the consequent need for increased emphasis on the security of the electronic auction process. ii. The need for having the latest etendering/ e-auction system, which offers improvements over	No change.

adopted for the process conducting the 3G spectrum auction two years ago. iii. Letter and spirit of the Guidelines Government on compliance Quality to Requirements of e-Procurement Systems dated 31st August 2011 issued by Department Technology, Information Government of India(DIT), and related CVC guidelines for secure and transparent e-tendering/eprocurement. Office Memorandum No.10/03/2012-PPC dated 30th March 2012 issued by Department Expenditure, Ministry Finance, asking all Ministries/Departments the Central Government to commence e-procurement in respect of all procurements of estimated value of Rs.10 lakhs or more. 16 It is requested to add the following criteria No change. to the List given in Section 1.9.2 of the RFP document specifying the weightages for different parameters in the technical bid: The auctioneer who is selected for i. conducting the 2G spectrum auctions, should also be able to electronically facilitate in streamlined and secure manner the pre-qualification round electronically sealed-bids (to cover the prequalification/ technical stage)

from the same portal, as per CVC

ii. Physical location of the e-tendering/

- e-auction portal should be in India as required by Section 4.2 "Other Requirements for Quality and Security Evaluation" of the above mentioned DIT guidelines.
- iii. While the auction methodology will be decided by the selected agency in consultation with DoT, the auctioneer's system should have of various types auction methodologies available in it, so that this does not become a Available constraint later. methodologies should include competitive Bidding system; Offer-Acceptance type auction system (as was used in case of 3G spectrum auction); a combination of these with facility for multi-round auction.
- iv. The e-tendering/e-auction system of the Auctioneer should be fully compliant with IT Act 2000 and its amendments. Digital signing of the bids should be with Digital Signatures issued by any CA duly licensed as per the IT Act.
- v. The system should be such that DoT's authorized officers, after undergoing requisite training from the Auctioneer (Typically not more 4/5-days training), themselves able to conduct the auction using the e-procurement/ eauction portal without interference from the serviceprovider's personnel. Service Provider/ Agency should only be a facilitator. This would be important from security and accountability point of view.

Since the first government guideline on eprocurement was notified by DIT very
recently on August 31, 2011 only and CVC
issued instructions to follow these
guidelines vide their Circular
No.01/01/2012 on 12.1.2012 only, it is not
justified to assign 50% weightage in
technical bid evaluation to the auction/e-

No change.

	auction related experience in last three years without specifying any quality requirements with which the auctions/e-auctions were conducted during the three years. It is, therefore, requested that 10% weightage should be given to each of the five points in 'A' above, in lieu of criteria A, B, C of Section 1.9.2 of the RFP. The latter, in our opinion, are redundant keeping in view that criterion D takes care of the e-auction experience in telecom sector.		
18	It is requested that the ownership condition (Criterion-F of Section 1.9.2 of the RFP) should focus on ownership of the etendering/ e-auction portal, rather than the software. Ownership of the software by the e-procurement/ e-auction service provider can in fact be a security risk. In many high-technology areas, providing service is an independent and specialized industry/ service sector. Similar examples can be seen in aviation and telecom sectors where the corporation running the 'Airlines' is generally different from the corporation 'manufacturing the Aircraft', and similarly the corporation providing telecom services is generally different from the corporation manufacturing the telephone-exchanges and other equipment. Specifically for sensitive services like e-procurement/e-tendering/e-auction, this is a big advantage form Security perspective, as the service-provider would have access to only the compiled/ executable code of the software. It would therefore be difficult for the service provider to tamper with the code and change its functioning with clandestine objectives, if any.	No chan	ge.
19	In the e-Auction system, the Service Provider, need not be the 'Auctioneer'. In this case, an authorized team of officers from DoT can be the 'Auctioneer' conducting the auction independently without any intervention of the Service provider, who will be only a platform provider and facilitator. In view of the above, it is requested that Section 1.3.4 under "Eligibility Criteria for the Company to act as the agency for	No chang	je
	, ,		

any telecom or internet service provider in India. Similarly, any telecom or internet service provider in India. Similarly, any telecom or internet service provider in India shall not have any direct equity in the bidder" should not be applicable in case of service providers providing e-procurement/ e-auction services which enable DoT to conduct the e-tenders and e-auctions independently.		
parameters in the technical bid will be as follows: A. Total value of the auctions conducted in the last three (3) years 20% B. Total value of e-auctions conducted in the last three (3) years 10% C. Total number of e-auctions conducted in the last three (3) years 20% D. Total value of telecom related auctions in the last three years 20% What is meant by the value of auctions in A, C and D — is it the highest bid price/MHz or highest bid price for the total amount of spectrum auctioned? How is the score determined for each of the above?	value years, three conduct of tele years, bidders other e pro-rate	ne total value realized. In respect of total of the auctions conducted in last three total value of e-auction conducted in las years, total number of e-auction cted in the last three years and total value ecom related auctions in the last three the highest value among the eligible is to be given the highest marks and the eligible bidders will be awarded marks or a basis.
E. E-security aspects in design and conduct of the auction 10% F. Ownership of domain and e-auction related software 10% G. Design of auction process and development of bid documents 10% How is the score determined for E, F and G?	auction process marks parame (i) e (ii) e (iii) o (iv) o (v) d	ect of e-security aspects in design and to fauction ownership of domain and e-related software and design of auction and development of bid documents, the will be equally divided for all the ters i.e. 5 marks for each of the following ters: e-security aspects in design of auction e-security aspects in conduct of auction with which will be e-auction related software esign of auction process evelopment of bid documents.
Clause 1.9.3: Only those bidders scoring 70% and above on the criteria mentioned in para 1.9.2 above will be declared technically qualified and only their financial bids will be opened for further evaluation. How is the total score determined?		arifications at 20 and 21 above
	bidder shall not have any equity directly in any telecom or internet service provider in India. Similarly, any telecom or internet service provider in India shall not have any direct equity in the bidder" should not be applicable in case of service providers providing e-procurement/ e-auction services which enable DoT to conduct the e-tenders and e-auctions independently without intervention of the service provider. O Clause 1.9.2: The weightage for different parameters in the technical bid will be as follows: A. Total value of the auctions conducted in the last three (3) years 20% B. Total value of e-auctions conducted in the last three (3) years 20% C. Total number of e-auctions conducted in the last three (3) years 20% D. Total value of telecom related auctions in the last three years 20% What is meant by the value of auctions in A, C and D — is it the highest bid price/MHz or highest bid price for the total amount of spectrum auctioned? How is the score determined for each of the above? Clause 1.9.2: E. E-security aspects in design and conduct of the auction 10% F. Ownership of domain and e-auction related software 10% G. Design of auction process and development of bid documents 10% How is the score determined for E, F and G? Clause 1.9.2: Only those bidders scoring 70% and above on the criteria mentioned in para 1.9.2 above will be declared technically qualified and only their financial bids will be opened for further evaluation	services which enable DoT to conduct the e-tenders and e-auctions independently without intervention of the service provider. O Clause 1.9.2: The weightage for different parameters in the technical bid will be as follows: A. Total value of the auctions conducted in the last three (3) years 20% B. Total value of e-auctions conducted in the last three (3) years 10% C. Total number of e-auctions conducted in the last three (3) years 20% D. Total value of telecom related auctions in the last three years 20% What is meant by the value of auctions in A, C and D — is it the highest bid price/MHz or highest bid price for the total amount of spectrum auctioned? How is the score determined for each of the above? Clause 1.9.2: E. E-security aspects in design and conduct of the auction 10% F. Ownership of domain and e-auction related software 10% G. Design of auction process and development of bid documents 10% How is the score determined for E, F and G? (i) email of the auction of the auction process and development of bid documents 10% How is the score determined for E, F and G? (i) email of the auction auction process and development of bid documents 10% How is the score determined for E, F and G? (i) email of the auction auction process and development of bid documents 10% (ii) email of the auction auction process and development of bid documents 10% (iii) of the auction auction process and development of bid documents 10% (iv) of the auction auction process and development of bid documents 10% (ii) of the auction auction process and development of bid documents 10% (iv) of the auction auction process and development of bid documents 10% (iv) of the auction auction process and development of bid documents 10% (iv) of the auction auction process and development of bid documents 10% (iv) of the auction auction process and development of bid documents 10% (iv) of the auction process and development of bid documents 10% (iv) of the auction process and development of bid documents 10% (iv) of the

23	Clause 1.9.9: In situations where lowest quoted rate (L1) of two or more technically qualified bidders are the same, a fresh round of financial bids from such bidders shall be called. The bidder with the lowest valid offer among these shall be selected. Conflicting clauses. For Eg: two bidders, A and B may have quoted the same lowest rate (hence L1) but A may have achieved higher weighted average score. Hence, as per clause 1.9.4, A would be the winner and should be awarded the contract. However, As per 1.9.9, fresh round of financial bids would be called. Please clarify.	Clause 1.9.9 is revised as follows: In situations where the highest combined score, determined as per clause 1.9.4, is the same for more than one bidder, a fresh round of financial bids from such bidders shall be called. The bidder with the lowest valid offer among these shall be selected.
24	In the Selection criteria (1.9): Para 1.9.2(B), DoT asked for Total value of e-auctions conducted in 3 years (but is there any minimum sales figure that is required).	No.
25	Para 1.9.2(E), Any standards or what are the parameters of E-security aspects in design and conduct of the auction? Since the E-security is broad but specific requirement would make it more clear.	The clause is clear. Also please see our responses in the 'Regulatory Issues' Section below.
26	Since financial transactions through e-auction need to be legally valid in India, compliance to Indian IT Act 2000 is mandatory. A clear mention and listing of essential requirements of the e-auction computer system (especially the aspects, IT infrastructure aspects as also the aspect of compliance to various government rules on e-auction/ e-tender) will be appreciated.	Indian IT Act 2000 (as amended) and relevant Guidelines are applicable.
27	Is it necessary for the service provider to obtain certificate from recognized bodies certifying that the e-auction system of the service provider (hardware, software, leased line etc) are complying with CVC guidelines?	Compliance with applicable provisions/ guidelines is required.
28	Are the provisions of IT Act 2000 and IT (Amendment) Act 2008 applicable for the e-auction of 2G spectrum? If so, how are these provisions going to be applicable for foreign companies?	Compliance with applicable provisions/ guidelines is required.

	Other Issues	
29	Will the desired e-auction system have facility to accept digitally signed bids only?	Yes.
30	Will service tax be paid extra on the quoted value?	Taxes will be as applicable.
31	Reference to clause no 7.1. Please clarify whether the proposed system design (for which RFP has been floated) would be considered similar to "English Forward e-auction", with single seller and multiple buyer model.	The auction design is Simultaneous Multiple Round Ascending Auction (SMRA).
32	We would like to participate in the above subjected tender, we would like to confirm the validity of the Bank Guarantee as EMD for Rs.10.00 Lakhs and please kindly confirm that the below details has to be addressed in the BG. "To The President of India New Delhi"	Please refer to clause 1.10.1 and Annexure IV.
33	Clause 1.5.1: To conduct auction of Spectrum blocks in 1800MHz and 800MHz bands as determined by Department of Telecommunications (DOT), Government of India, Telecom Service area-wise (Annexure I), as per Guidelines placed at Annexure VII and as may be amended from time to time. Annexure VII contains format for precontract integrity pact. Kindly provide the guidelines for conduct of the auction.	
34	Clause 1.5.1: The functions of the selected agency shall be: (b) To advise on setting the rules for the bidding process Please elaborate on the same specifying the tasks involved. Would it include advice on auction benchmark price, number of spectrum blocks to be put on auction, minimum block size, payment mechanism, eligibility criterion, rollout obligations, incentives (in the form of reduction in regulatory charges etc), etc	The Guidelines of the Auction will cover all these aspects. For functions of the selected agency, please refer to clause 1.5 of the RFP.
35	Clause 1.11.2: In case DOT identifies more number of blocks of spectrum in a particular service area subsequent to the completion of e-auction process of that area, the Government may ask the	One year from signing of contract.

	successful bidder (Auctioneer) to again	
	conduct the e-auction of this area within	
	the currency of the contract. This will be	
	construed as new auction and the	
	successful bidder shall be paid additionally	
	in the manner as defined in para 1.7.2	
	above.	
	What is the currency/ duration of the	
	contract?	
36	1.13.2 DOT reserves the right to modify	Clause is clear.
	terms and conditions of the contract which	
	shall be granted to the successful bidder	
	after the bidding process, if in the opinion	
	of the DOT, it is necessary or expedient to	
	do so in public interest or interest of the	
	security of the State or for proper conduct	
	of the e-auction. The decision of the DOT	
27	shall be final and binding in this regard.	
37	We are interested to participate in this and	Please refer to the clarifications at sl. nos. 33
4.	hence would like to understand the	and 34 above.
	following:	
	-advertisement requirements for said E	
	-Place of auction- whether in Delhi or at	
	respective locations	
	-Opening rates for the auction – whether it	
	will be RP or else wise	
	-Existing Rates for the given areas	
	- Auction volume per area –per Area what	
	is to be auctioned	
	Whether we have to conduct the auction	
	for both 1800 and 800 MHz in all the 22	
	areas	
	- Expected total MHz of spectrum to	
	be auctioned	
	- Only 1 servcie provider or more can	
	be appointed	
38	As proposed by TRAI and reported in the	No change.
	newspapers, the Reserve price for the	
	proposed 2G Spectrum Auction would be	
	very high. Therefore the expected price	
	increase in the auction is unlikely to be as	
	high as in case of 3G auction. It is	
	therefore requested that the total drop	
	dead fee limit of Rs 10 lakh be increased	
20	substantially at least to Rs 1 cr	
39	Please clarify whether the selected	The selected auctioneer will use the SMRA
	auctioneer in case of 2G spectrum would	design and customize as per requirements.
	have option to recommend alternative	
	methodologies or would be required to follow exactly the same methodology	
	adopted by the Austigneer in case of 3C	
	adopted by the Auctioneer in case of 3G	

quetien	
	There will be 2 successive auctions in 1800MHz
mentioned that the auction will be	and 800MHz bands.
tentatively for 10 Mhz (1.25x8) for 1800	·
Mhz and 3.75 (1.25 x 3) for 800 Mhz.	As regards second query please refer to Clause
	1.5 of RFP.
So should we say that there will be 11	
successive auctions (8+3) in the 22 areas	· ·
mentioned.	
Secondly pls inform the Advertisement	
requirements for carrying out these	
auctions.	
The state of the s	This aspect needs to be brought out by the
	bidder in his presentation before the Evaluation
documents-10% weightage. Our query	Committee.
was that how DoT would evaluate the	
'auction process' to be adopted by the	
documents' skills of the bidder for e-	
auction of 2G spectrum at tender	
evaluation stage as these activities would	
actually take place after selection of the	
auctioneer.	
	mentioned that the auction will be tentatively for 10 Mhz (1.25x8) for 1800 Mhz and 3.75 (1.25 x 3) for 800 Mhz. So should we say that there will be 11 successive auctions (8+3) in the 22 areas mentioned. Secondly pls inform the Advertisement requirements for carrying out these auctions. RFP clause 1.9.2G Design of auction process and development of bid documents-10% weightage. Our query was that how DoT would evaluate the 'auction process' to be adopted by the bidder and 'development of bid documents' skills of the bidder for e-auction of 2G spectrum at tender evaluation stage as these activities would actually take place after selection of the

Rajeev Prakash Director(TF)