#### Auction of Spectrum in 2100 MHz, 1800MHz, 900MHz and 800 MHz Bands

Queries & Responses
To
Notice Inviting Applications
Dated 09<sup>th</sup>January 2015

Government of India
Ministry of Communications & Information Technology
Department of Telecommunications

5<sup>th</sup>February, 2015

Query No.	Query	Response
1.	This is with reference to the NIA for Auction of spectrum in 2100, 1800, 900 and 800 MHz bands, issued on 9 <sup>th</sup> January, 2015 and the Earnest Money (EMD) Requirements specified therein. The EMD requirement specified for 900 and 1800 MHz spectrum shows a sharp increase in comparison with the requirement in earlier auctions. The average EMD as a percentage of the Reserve Price for the February, 2014 auction of 1800 MHz spectrum was 22% (variations between circles ranged from 17% to 47%). The latest NIA for the forthcoming auctions scheduled in February, 2015 has a requirement of an EMD of 33% on a Pan India basis with the requirement ranging between 23% to 55% of Reserve Price in various circles. In the case of 900 MHz spectrum, the EMD in the auction of February, 2014 was an average of 11% across the 3 Metros whereas the NIA for the auction scheduled in February, 2015 has an average requirement of 29% across states, ranging from 26% to 47% (detailed analysis given in the enclosed tables).	Kindly refer to Amendment No. 2 to this NIA.
	The sharp increase in the EMD requirement in the current auction is surprising since the General Financial Rules (GFR- Rule 157) of the Government of India clearly specify that: "  Amount of bid security should ordinarily range between 2% to 5% of the estimated value	

Query No.	Query	Response
	It may also kindly be noted that once the spectrum is won in the auction, only 33% (in the case of 1800 MHz) and 25% (in the case of 800 and 900 MHz spectrum) of the final winning price is required to be paid as down payment. An FBG equal to one annual instalment is required for securitization of the balance payment.  The EMD requirement in comparison appears disproportionate.	
	Request: In view of the above, it is requested that the EMD requirement for all the spectrum bands proposed to be auctioned in the forthcoming auction may kindly be reconsidered in line with the existing practices and the General Financial Rules of the Government of India.	

Query No.	Que	ery							
		Reserve Price and EMD for 900 MHz 2014 auction Vs. 2015 proposed							
							(Rs. C	rore per Block)	
	Sr. No.	Circle	Reserve Price of 900 MHz per Block- NIA of Dec. 2013		EMD as a percentage of RP	Reserve Price of 900 MHz per Block- NIA of Jan. 2015		EMD as a percentage of RP	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
	1	AP				91.8	24.25	26%	
	2	Assam				14.8	5	34%	
	3	Bihar				29.6	10.5	35%	
	4	Delhi	360	34.5	10%				
	5	Gujarat				84.8	24.25	29%	
	6	Haryana				15.2	5	33%	
		H.P.				5.4	2.25	4296	
		J&K *							
		KTK				74		3396	
		Kerala				33.6	10.5	3196	
		Kolkata	125	18.75	15%				
		M.P.				35			
		Mah				105	24.25		
		Mumbai	328	34.5	1196				
		N.E.				5.8	2.25	39%	
		Orissa				13.4	5	3796	
		Punjab				30.2	10.5	35%	
		Rajasthan				39.4	10.5	2796	
		T.N.					45-	2704	
		UP - East UP - West				45.6 34	10.5 10.5	23% 31%	
		W.B.				34 22.2	10.5	31% 47%	
	22	PAN							
		INDIA	813	87.75	11%	679.8	200.5	29%	

Query No.	Que	ery							
			Reserve Price a	and EMD for 1	800 MHz 2014	auction Vs. 2015 p	roposed		
							(Rs. Crore	es per Block)	
	Sr. No.	Circle	Reserve Price of 1800 MHz per Block- NIA of Dec. 2013		EMD as a percentage of RP	Reserve Price of 1800 MHz per Block- NIA of Jan. 2015		EMD as a percentage of RP	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
	1	AP	32.6	7.25	22%	33.8	10.5	31%	
	2	Assam	1.4	0.5	36%				
	3	Bihar	7.4	1.5	2096	12.4	5	40%	
	4	Delhi	43.8	7.25	1796				
	5	Gujarat	28.6	7.25	25%	47.6	10.5	22%	
	6	Haryana	5.4	1.5	28%	6.4	2.25	35%	
	7	H.P.	1.2	0.5	4296	1.8	1	56%	
	8	J&K *	1		5096				
	9	KTK	32		2396	37			
		Kerala	10.4	_	29%	15	_		
		Kolkata	14.6		2196	14.6	5	34%	
		M.P.	8.6		1796				
		Mah	34.6		2196				
		Mumbai	41.4	7.25	1896				
		N.E.	1.4	0.5	36%	2.2			
		Orissa	3.2		4796	4.6			
		Punjab	10.8		2896	14.2			
		Rajasthan	5.2		29% 17%	12	_		
		T.N. UP-East	41.6 12.2		17% 25%	45 19.4			
		UP - East	12.2		25%	19.4			
		W.B.	4.2		36%	19	10.5	3370	
		PAN INDIA	354		22%	285	94.5	33%	

Query No.	Query							Response
	Sr. Circle No.	Reserve Price  Reserve Price of  800 MHz per  Block- NIA of Jan,	EMD per Block as per	BMD as a percentage of RP	auction Vs. 2015 pr Reserve Price of 800 MHz per Block- NIA of Jan.	(Rs. Crore	es per Block)  EMD as a  percentage of  RP	
		2013	800 MHz		2015	800 MHz		
	1 2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
	1 AP	186.49	28	15%	292.5	56.75	19%	
	2 Assam	5.63	1.75	31%	35		30%	
	3 Bihar	27.63	5.5	20%	106.25	24.25	23%	
	4 Delhi	450.49	60	13%	771.25	66		
	5 Gujarat	146.15	28	19%	343.75	56.75	1796	
	6 Haryana	30.24		18%	47.5		2296	
	7 H.P.	5.06		35%	23.75		44%	
	8 J&K *	4.11		43%	35		30%	
	9 KTK	214.58		1396	378.75	56.75	15%	
	10 Kerala	42.45		21%				
	11 Kolkata	73.92		1296	183.75		1996	
	12 M.P.	35.09		26%	113.75		2196	
	13 Mah	170.83		1696 1496	423.75		13%	
	14 Mumbai 15 N.E.	441		14% 30%	548.75		1296 3696	
	15 N.E. 16 Orissa	5.75 13.18		42%	13.75 47.5		22%	
	17 Punjab	43.73		2196	106.5		23%	
	18 Rajasthan		0		100.5	24.23	2370	
	19 T.N.	198.96		14%	450	56.75	1396	
	20 UP-East	49.51		1896	167.5		21%	
	21 UP-West			13%	118.75		2096	
	22 W.B.	16.79		33%	71.25		3496	
	PAN							
	INDIA	2231.41	343	15%	4279	665	16%	
2.	-same as ab	ove-						Kindly refer to
								Amendment No. 2
								to this NIA.
3.	This is with	reference to tl	ne overall	spectrum ca	ps as indicated	d in Table	5-D of the Notice	Kindly refer to
	Inviting Apr	dication (NIA) d	atad Oth Ia	nuary 2015	A comparison	of the ov	erall chectrum can	Amendment No. 2
	Inviting Application (NIA) dated 9 <sup>th</sup> January, 2015. A comparison of the overall spectrum cap defined in the present NIA with respect to the overall caps defined in Dec, 2013 NIA is indicated							to this NIA.
	in the table	below:						

Query No.	Query						Response		
		S.		Overall Cap	Overall Cap	Difference Overall			
		No.	Service Area	(MHz) as per Dec, 2013 NIA	(MHz) as per Jan, 2015 NIA	Cap Jan,15 NIA V/s Dec,13 NIA (MHz)			
		1	Kolkata	66.38	61.38	(5.00)			
		2	Karnataka	67.88	62.90	(4.98)			
		3	Delhi	63.60	59.23	(4.37)			
		4	Maharashtra	63.83	59.70	(4.13)			
		5	Gujarat	63.65	59.98	(3.67)			
		6	Mumbai	67.93	64.43	(3.50)			
		7	Andhra Pradesh	67.50	64.90	(2.60)			
		8	Tamil Nadu	72.58	70.10	(2.48)			
		9	Kerala	68.93	67.40	(1.53)			
		10	Bihar	62.98	62.35	(0.63)			
		11	West Bengal	61.43	61.15	(0.28)			
		12	Rajasthan	64.48	64.48	-			
		13	Uttar Pradesh (West)	61.13	61.13	•			
		14	Orissa	64.93	65.55	0.62			
		15	Himachal Pradesh	62.08	63.33	1.25			
		16	Punjab	65.75	67.00	1.25			
		17	Uttar Pradesh (East)	62.03	64.18	2.15			
		18	Madhya Pradesh	65.15	67.38	2.23			
		19	Assam	54.08	56.70	2.62			
		20	Haryana	62.23	65.35	3.12			
		21	Jammu & Kashmir	49.65	54.03	4.38			
		22	North East	59.35	64.98	5.63			
	It can be seen from the table above that the Overall Caps for 11 LSAs are lower than the overall Caps defined in the NIA for spectrum auction during Dec, 2013.  On the contrary the spectrum Caps should have been higher considering the fact that the additional spectrum in 800 MHz band is being put to auction.								
		-	I that the caps have be			f spectrum by some	of the		
	operators	s. In th	nis regard, it is submitte	ed that the surre	ender of spectro	um by any operator	should		
	not resul	t in lo	wering of spectrum ca	ps as the surre	ndered spectru	ım is already allocat	ed for		

Query No.	Query	Response
	the cellular telephony and should be assigned either in the present or subsequent auction.  Further, it is submitted that the operators procure spectrum on the basis the spectrum caps defined in the NIA. The lowering of Caps in the subsequent auction would force the operators	
	to reduce their holdings. This is also unjustified/ impractical considering that a lot of investment has been made by the operator in network deployment basis the allocated	
	spectrum.  The Spectrum Caps should therefore be fixed on the basis of the spectrum available with DoT for allocation for commercial use even if it is not being put in the auction (as enhanced by the	
	additional spectrum being put for auction). In no case the spectrum Caps be lower than the ones prescribed in a prior auction.	
	We therefore request DoT, to kindly correct the above anomaly in respect of Overall Spectrum Cap as defined in Table 5-D of the NIA.	
4.	As per Clause 2.1b) of the NIA "	No Change in NIA conditions.
	II. New entrant / licensees whose licenses are expiring in 2015-16/ Existing licensees whose licenses are not expiring in 2015-16 & holding spectrum only in 1800 MHz band would bid for a minimum of 5 MHz (paired) of spectrum in the 900 MHz band, except in West Bengal service area.	
	III. In the West Bengal service area new entrant / licensees whose licenses are expiring in 2015-16/ Existing licensees whose licenses are not expiring in 2015-16 & holding spectrum only in 1800 MHz band would bid for a minimum of 4.4 MHz (paired) , which is the amount of spectrum available in this service area in the 900 MHz band."	
	In this regard, it is submitted that the case of North East service area is similar to the case of West Bengal Service Area as indicated below:	

Query No.	Query	Response	
	<ul> <li>In West Bengal, only one license (i.e. RTL) is expiring and the spectrum being vacated in 900 MHz band is 4.4 MHz</li> <li>In North East, two licenses (i.e. RTL and Bharti Airtel Limited) are expiring and the spectrum being vacated in 900 MHz band is 8.8 MHz (i.e. 4.4 MHz each)</li> <li>While the existing operator RTL has been provided the opportunity to take back its spectrum by bidding 4.4 MHz in West Bengal, the same dispensation is not provided in case of North East Service Area. In case of North East Service Area, an operator is required to bid for a minimum of 5 MHz, thereby implying that:         <ul> <li>The auction design itself leads to a situation whereby only one operator out of the two expiring can win back its spectrum in North East</li> <li>This will lead to a situation where one of the operators has to shut down its operations, a bizarre situation and on that is surely not intended</li> <li>Further, the spectrum in excess of the quantum bid by the said operator will remain as unsold spectrum</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		
	It is therefore essential that the DoT reconsiders its decision and mandates lowering the quantum to be bid in 900 MHz band by a New Entrant / Existing Licensee expiring in 2015-16/ Existing licensee whose licenses are not expiring in 2015-16 & holding spectrum only in 1800 MHz band to 4.4 MHz (paired) in North East Service Area.		
5.	With regard to Clause 3.10 of NIA dated 9th January, 2015 it is requested to clarify whether liberalized spectrum allocated through auction conducted in 2010, 2012, 2013 and 2014 be the subject matter of 2015 NIA?	NIA is explanatory.	self-
6.	Please clarify as to whom Clause 3.10 of 2015 NIA will be applicable and whether this clause has been incorporated taking a particular operator into consideration.	NIA is explanatory.	self-
7.	Isn't the spectrum acquired by an operator at the reserve price in an auction a liberalized spectrum? Please clarify.	NIA is explanatory.	self-
8.	Please refer to Clause 3.10 of NIA quoted herein below:-	NIA is explanatory.	self-

Query No.	Query	Response
	"Regarding rate of charging for making spectrum contiguous, acquired in the auction held in March 2013, the licensee in such cases would be charged differential of the latest auction price and the March 2013 auction price on pro-rata basis on the balance period of right to use the spectrum."	
	This clause runs contrary to Clause 1.3 of the NIA quoted here in below:- "Frequency reconfiguration i.e. rearrangement of spot frequencies in the same band, within the assignments made to the licensees, may be carried out, with the authorization of WPC Wing, among the licensees, only when the entire spectrum held by them is liberalized or acquired through auction conducted in 2010, 2012, 2013, 2014 and onwards. No charges will be levied for rearrangement of frequency spots."  Please examine and clarify.	
9.	In the context of clause 1.3 and 3.10 of the NIA dated 9.1.2015, please clarify what was peculiar about the spectrum auction in 800 MHz band in March 2013 which was not there in the auctions conducted prior and after March 2013 i.e. 2010, 2012 and 2014 that Clause 3.10 has been incorporated.	Not relevant to present auction.
10.	Would there be any charge under Clause 3.10 of NIA dated 9.1.2015 for an operator who applies to make existing 3 carriers (3.75 MHz) in 800 MHz bought in auction held in March 2013 into contiguous frequency spots but does not either take part or is an unsuccessful bidder in the proposed auction?	NIA is self- explanatory.
11.	Can operators holding liberalized spectrum in 900 MHz and 1800 MHz bands acquired in the earlier auctions and who also acquire spectrum in aforesaid bands in the present auction, apply for rearranging/swapping of spectrum in the same bands to DoT or other operators to make it contiguous? If yes, is there any charge to be levied for such spectrum rearrangement?	NIA is self- explanatory.
12.	DoT is requested to clarify how 800 MHz spectrum allocated in March, 2013 would be converted into contiguous frequencies. Is DoT contemplating to bring in contiguity by allowing	NIA is self-

Query No.	Query	Response
	interchanging/reassigning the frequencies spots available with the DoT or by rearranging the	explanatory.
	frequencies with the 800 MHz frequencies already allocated to other TSPs?	
13.	DoT can harvest additional spectrum in 800 MHz by reassignment of already allocated	NIA is self-
	spectrum in contiguous frequency spots. This would increase revenue for the Government,	explanatory.
	increase 800 MHz spectrum supply as well as lead to more efficient utilization of 800 MHz band spectrum.	
	DoT is requested to examine and clarify whether additional spectrum after rearrangement of	
	800 MHz spectrum into contiguous frequencies would be made available in auction of 800 MHz	
	spectrum band besides the spectrum already mentioned in the Annexure 2C of the NIA.	
14.	Tata Teleservices Ltd has surrendered spectrum in 800 MHz band which is lying idle but has not	No change in NIA
	been put to auction. DoT is requested to examine and clarify whether spectrum surrendered by	condition.
	Tata Teleservices would be made available in auction of 800 MHz spectrum band besides the	
	spectrum already mentioned in the Annexure 2C of the NIA.	
15.	As per the "Clause 1.3 – The Auctions" and "Clause 6.5 – Frequency Identification Stage" of NIA	NIA is self-
	dated 30 <sup>th</sup> January 2013, Frequency reconfiguration i.e. rearrangement of spot frequency in the	explanatory.
	same band, within the assignments made to the licensees is permitted even to make spectrum	
	contiguous and no charges can be levied for such rearrangement of frequency spot. However,	
	as per the Clause 3.10 of NIA dated 9 <sup>th</sup> January 2015, charges for making contiguous spectrum	
	in 800 MHz band acquired in auction held in March 2013 are payable. DoT is requested to	
	examine and remove the anomaly.	
16.	What are spectrum usage charges for 800 MHz band spectrum to be auctioned in February,	Orders w.r.t.
	2015?	applicable SUC may
		please be seen on
		DoT website from time to time.
		time to time.

Query No.	Query	Response
17.	What is time line for authorizing successful bidders of 800 MHz spectrum for commercial usage	Such spots would
	of spectrum to be vacated by MTNL/BSNL?	be made available in due course of
		time.
18.	What would be effective date for 800 MHz spectrum band to be vacated by MTNL/BSNL?	Such spots would
	, , , , , ,	be made available
		in due course of
		time.
19.	DoT has specified existing quantum of spectrum available in 800 MHz band at Annexure 2C Of	Kindly refer to
	the NIA. The uplink and downlink frequencies specified in Annexure 2C is not as per the 800	Amendment No 2
	MHz band plan and seem to have been interchanged. DoT is requested to examine and specify correct frequency spots under Uplink and Downlink categories.	to this NIA.
20.	Subject: REQUEST FOR ISSUANCE OF A FRESH, COMPREHENSIVE & CORRECTED NIA WITH	Kindly refer to
20.	ACCURATE DETAILS	Amendment No 2
		to this NIA.
	This is with reference to the NIA dated January 9, 2015 for auction of spectrum in 2100 MHz,	
	1800 MHz, 900 MHz and 800 MHz bands.	
21.	The NIA has various discrepancies and errors, some of which were highlighted in the Pre-Bid	Kindly refer to
	Conference held on January 13, 2015. The DoT also acknowledged that in short time provided	Amendment No.2
	for Pre-bid conference (one working day as against 5 working days last time), almost every operator had pointed some error or anomaly in the NIA. Thus, it only re-enforces the fact that	to this NIA.
	the NIA has been issued without sufficient diligence.	
22.	It is not clear has to how spectrum caps have been reduced since last auction. You would	Kindly refer to
	appreciate that there needs to be consistency and predictability on such issues;	Amendment No.2
		to NIA.
	a. The spectrum cap has been reduced in 11 out of 22 circles (Details as per Annexure – A).	
	Instead, we believe that if more spectrum is being put for auction, the spectrum caps	
	should have been raised. We understand that some spectrum may have been withdrawn	
	for Defence, but that does not explain the extent of reduction being shown in NIA. The	

Query No.	Query						Response
	only rea	son this can happen is	s if the entire sp	ectrum availab	le with the Governi	ment which	
	was ear	lier allocated / put up	for auction is n	ot being put to	auction this time of	or there are	
	errors in	the NIA. This needs t	o be addressed.				
	CITOIS II	. the run a ring needs t	.0 00 000.				
			<u> </u>				
	S. No.	Service Area	Overall Cap (MHz) as per Dec, 2013 NIA	Overall Cap (MHz) as per Jan, 2015 NIA	Difference Overall Cap Jan,15 NIA V/s Dec, 13 NIA (MHz)		
	1	Kolkata	66.38	61.38	(5.00)		
	2	Karnataka	67.88	62.90	(4.98)		
	3	Delhi	63.60	59.23	(4.37)		
	4	Maharashtra	63.83	59.70	(4.13)		
	5	Gujarat Mumbai	63.65	59.98	(3.67)		
	7	Andhra Pradesh	67.93	64.43 64.90	(3.50)		
	8	Tamil Nadu	67.50 72.58	70.10	(2.60)		
	9	Kerala	68.93	67.40	(1.53)		
	10	Bihar	62.98	62.35	(0.63)		
	11	West Bengal	61.43	61.15	(0.28)		
	12	Rajasthan	64.48	64.48	(8.20)		
	13	Uttar Pradesh (West)	61.13	61.13	-		
	14	Orissa	64.93	65.55	0.62		
	15	Himachal Pradesh	62.08	63.33	1.25		
	16	Punjab	65.75	67.00	1.25		
	17	Uttar Pradesh (E ast)	62.03	64.18	2.15		
	18	Madhya Pradesh	65.15	67.38	2.23		
	19	Assam	54.08	56.70	2.62		
	20	Haryana	62.23	65.35	3.12		
	21	Jammu & Kashmir	49.65	54.03	4.38		
	22	North East	59.35	64.98	5.63		
	available wit	note that the Spection of the control of the contro	including the sp mmercial use ev	ectrum availa ven if it is not	ble on account of s	urrender of	
23.		2.1 (b) of the NIA:					No change in NIA
	a. "II. Ne	w entrant / licensees	whose licenses	are expiring	in 2015-16/ Existin	g licensees	conditions.

Query No.	Query	Response
	whose licenses are not expiring in 2015-16 & holding spectrum only in 1800 MHz band would bid for a minimum of 5 MHz (paired) of spectrum in the 900 MHz band, except in West Bengal service area.	
	i. III. In the West Bengal service area new entrant / licensees whose licenses are expiring in 2015-16/ Existing licensees whose licenses are not expiring in 2015-16 & holding spectrum only in 1800 MHz band would bid for a minimum of 4.4 MHz (paired) , which is the amount of spectrum available in this service area in the 900 MHz band."	
	b. In this regard, it is submitted that the case of North East service area is similar to the case of West Bengal Service Area as indicated below:	
	<ul> <li>i. In West Bengal, only one license (i.e. RTL) is coming up for extension and the spectrum being vacated in 900 MHz band is 4.4 MHz.</li> <li>ii. In North East, two licenses (i.e. RTL and Bharti Airtel Limited) are coming up for extension and the spectrum being vacated in 900 MHz band is 8.8 MHz (i.e. 4.4 MHz each)</li> </ul>	
	c. While the existing operator RTL has been provided the opportunity to re-acquire its existing spectrum allocation by bidding 4.4 MHz in West Bengal, the same dispensation is not provided in case of North East service area. In case of North East service area, an operator is required to bid for a minimum of 5 MHz, thereby implying that:	
	<ul><li>i. The auction design itself leads to a situation whereby only one operator can win back its spectrum in North East.</li><li>ii. This will lead to a situation where one of the operators has to shut down its operations, a bizarre situation and one that is surely not intended.</li></ul>	
	iii. Further, the spectrum in excess of the quantum bid by the said operator will remain	

Query No.	Query	Response
	as unsold. d. It is therefore essential that the DoT reconsiders its decision and mandates lowering the quantum to be bid in 900 MHz band by a New entrant / Existing licensee coming up for extension in 2015-16 in North East service area.	
24.	In respect of quantum of spectrum as mentioned in Clause 2.1 of the NIA, it is submitted that TRAI has clearly cautioned in its recommendations as well as in its recent response dated January 15, 2015 to the DoT re-reference. TRAI has stated:	These are as per the decision(s) of the Government.
	"There is a severe shortage of spectrum in both the 900 and 1800 MHz bands. Auctioning spectrum of expiring licences in such a supply- constrained situation will lead to serious problems and unintended outcomes."	
	We submit that the supply constrained situation continues seeing the quantum of spectrum in the NIA.	
	The TRAI has also stated:	
	"Supply of both 900 and 1800 MHz can be augmented by unilateral action of the DoT. Specific measures were suggested by TRAI in this regard."	
	TRAI has further mentioned that the end result of such an auction can only be what the Authority mentioned in its Recommendations of October 2014, namely, industrial distress, a sharp fall in sectoral investment, a deterioration in the quality of service and consumer difficulties (in terms of access and/or higher tariffs) and such an auction with constrained supply of spectrum will defeat the objectives of Auction as set out in the NIA. We request DoT to clarify why such measures have not been taken into account despite recommendation of expert body like TRAI and despite the requests of various stakeholders.	

	Query												Response
- 1	There a	re some	more <u>c</u>	ritical inc	onsiste	ncies th	at requir	e your i	mmedia	te atter	ntion:		
	beei has	n wrongl	ly made n put to	se spectropert of total auction.	he auct	ion and	the in-us	se spect	rum of	an exte	nding op	erator	a. Kindly refer Amendment No. to this NIA.
	Annexu	re B											
	90	00 MHz Ban	nd - UP(We	est)									
				size of 200									
		n MHz)											
	Uplink	A Downlink	Unlink	B Downlink									
	890.2	935.2	895.2	940.2									
	890.4	935.4	895.4	940.4									
	890.6	935.6 935.8	895.6 895.8	940.6 940.8									
	890.8 891.0	935.8	895.8	941.0									
	891.2	936.2	896.2	941.2									
	891.4	936.4											
	891.6 891.8	936.6 936.8											
	892.0	937.0											
	892.2	937.2											
	892.4	937.4											
	892.6 892.8	937.6 937.8									-		
	893.0	938.0											
	893.2	938.2											
	893.4 893.6	938.4 938.6		+									
	893.8	938.8											
	894.0	939.0											
	894.2	939.2											
	894.4 894.6	939.4 939.6		+ -									
	894.8	939.8											
	895.0	940.0											

Query No.	Q	uery																										Response
	Existing Quantum (Frequency Spot) of spectrum available in 800 MHz Band									,																		
	Si No Service Area Existing Quantum (Frequency Spot) of spectrum available in 800 MHz Band Contiguous carrier  Non Contiguous carrier  Out In Contiguous C							Total No. of																				
			Downlink	1 k Uplink	Uplink	2 Downlink	Uplink	3 Downlink	Uplink	4 Downlink	Uplink	1 Downlini	k Uplink	2 Downlin	k Uplink	3 Downlink	k Uplink	4 Downlink	Uplink	1 Downlin	k Uplink	2 Downlin	sk Uplink	1 Downlin	k Uplink	2 Downlink	Carriers	
	_	1 Delhi 2 Mumbai	870.03 878.61	825.03 833.61	871.26 879.84	826.26 834.84	872.49 881.07	827.49 836.07	882 3	837.3	875 55	830.55	876.78	831.78	-						-	+	+		-		3	
		3 Kolkata																						827.79			1	
		4 Andhra Prade 6 Gujarat	882.60	825.03 837.60	871.26 883.83	826.26 838.83					886.59	841.59	887.82	842.82									875.25	830.26			5	
		6 Maharashtra 7 Kamataka	875.55	830.55	876.78	831.78	878.01	833.01														-	070.54	834.54			3	
		8 Tamil Nadu																					879.54	834.54			1	
		9 Haryana 10 MP	872.79 075.55	827.79	874.02 676.76	829.02	878.01	633.01	879.24	034.24	885.36	840.36	886.59	841.59	887.82	842.82						+	879.84	834.84			6	
		11 Punjab	878.22		879.45	834.45																		829.02			3	
		12 Rajasthan 13 Kerala																									0	
		14 UP(E) 15 UP(W)	886.59	841.59	887.82	842.82																-		834.54 835.77			3	
		16 West Bengal																					887.82	842.82			1	
		17 Assam 18 Bihar	875.55 886.59		876.78 887.82	831.78 842.82	878.01	833.01	879.24	834.24	884.13	839.13	885.36	840.36	886.59	841.59	887.82	842.82					874.02 874.02	829.02 829.02	879.54	834,54	9	
		19 HP	885.36 878.31		886.59 879.54	841.59 834.54	887.82 880.77	842.82 835.77			879.54 885.36	834.54 840.36		835.77	887.82	840.80			874.02	829.02	875.25	830.25	874.00	820.02			7	
		20 J&K 21 North East	875.55	830.55	876.78	831.78	878.01	833.01		834.24	884.13	839.13	885.36	840.36		842.82	887.82	842.82					874.02	829.02 829.02			9	
	Each	22 Orissa carrier associat	885.36 ed with 1.23 l	840.36 MHz bandw	886.59 Idth (I.e. 0.6)	841.59 L5 MHz on eit	887.82 ther side) i.	842.82 .e. Carrier 8	875.55 MHz	Is 874.935 to	874.02 876.165 M		875.25	830.25									879.54	834.54			6 83	
		<b>Th</b> urrend oT ma		d sp	ectr	·um)	as	the	re is	s no	vis	ibili	ty (		-				_	•							_	please be seen on DoT website from time to time.  d. No change in NIA condition.
	Ci re 45 Va	Ther serves / 18 commerces / 18 comm	MHz men s / N s mo	area of ded MHz dels	es lik 900 to k s, wh	e Ar MH De Rs Dich Valu	ndhi Iz s s. 27 is n iatio	ra P pec 70.9 nor on.	rade trun 91 ( e th The	esh n in Crs / an re is	and AF MI eve s no	l Ka Pise Hz. nith rat	rna ervi Ho ne v ion	itak ce wev valu iale	a. T are /er, ie d	RAI a. E the of the	I had Base e res he s	d de d o serv pec	ter n t e p	mir his, rice m a	ned , th e sta erriv	a v e r ateo ved	alue ese d in at	e of rve the by	Rs. price NL	338 ce v A is I us	.64 vas Rs. ing	e. No change in NIA conditions.
	f. El	T <b>h</b> igibili	i <b>e El</b>			_		-	-																			f,g: Kindly refer to

Query No.	Query					Response
	are higher for 900 MHz comp	Amendment No. 2				
	g. The EMD as a % of rese to the Feb'14 auction. This ca	to this NIA.				
		900 MHz	1800 MHz	800 MHz		
	1. Feb '14 Auction					
	No. of Circles	3	22	-		
	RP per block	813	353	-		
	EMD per block (IN Rs cr)	88	77	-		
	EMD as% to RP	10.8%	21.7%	-		
	2. NIA Jan'15					
	No. of Circles	17	15	20		
	RP per block	680	285	4,279		
	EMD per block	201	95	665		
	EMD as% to RP	29.5%	33.2%	15.5%		
	sharing and spectrum tra strategies regarding Spectru through appropriate regulat was issued and we cannot	ding guidel m Managem ory framewo ot have aud peing auction	ines. Spenent in NTI ork. More ction afte	ctrum Sharing P12 to enable than a year le r auction withing the suite of the suite	no confirmation on spectrum g and Trading are the main optimal utilisation of spectrum has elapsed since the last NIA thout clarity on basic policy fficient time has elapsed since	h. Spectrum sharing trading guidelines are under consideration & will be issued in due course, which may not necessarily be before the Auction.

Query No.	Query	Response
	i. Clause 1.3 of NIA mentions as follows:  Frequency reconfiguration i.e. rearrangement of spot frequencies in the same band, from within the assignments made to the licensees, may be carried out, with the authorization of WPC Wing, among the licensees, only when the entire spectrum held by them is liberalized or	i. NIA is self- explanatory.
	acquired through auction conducted in 2010, 2012, 2013, 2014 and onwards. No charges will be levied for rearrangement of frequency spots.  We submit that as per DoT, spectrum allocated before 2010 auctions needs to be liberalized for the purpose of frequency reconfiguration/ rearrangement or harmonization amongst operators. Without prejudice, we submit that such reconfiguration may be required for overall efficient use of spectrum by all users and where the technology and service will remain same for operators having spectrum allocated to them before 2010. Thus, this condition to pay for liberalization even for harmonization purposes may go against the overall policy objectives of efficient use of spectrum. We request that such condition should not be imposed on the users. This condition in the NIA is also against TRAI's recommendations on frequency re-arrangement. We thus request DoT to kindly clarify this issue.	
26.	It is clearly evident from the above that the DoT seems to be rushing into the NIA without getting the basic facts correct - Never before has an NIA been issued where a spectrum band stated in the Title Header is not detailed in the main document. Also the pre bid conference has been held based on a partial NIA with no mention of another pre-bid conference after the complete NIA is issued. The DoT has already stated its intent of simultaneous auction of 2100 MHz along with other spectrum bands. We request that 15-20 MHz of 2100 MHz spectrum (as recommended by TRAI) be included in the fresh NIA with all other relevant details We also request that the whole process following the NIA starting with the pre-bid conference be conducted sequentially after the complete and correct NIA has been issued. It may also be noted that in such a case, the last date for seeking clarifications cannot be January 19, 2015 as	Kindly refer to Amendment No.1 and No.2 to this NIA.

Query No.	Query	Response
	mentioned in the Auction Timetable in the NIA and the same needs to be amended.	
27.	The DoT would appreciate the need for a transparent auction with complete and accurate details to ensure healthy participation, particularly when operators are expected to commit such huge investments. The Government too has to ensure healthy revenues for the exchequer and hence proper and complete information is absolutely essential.	Kindly refer to Amendment No.1 and 2 to this NIA.
	In view of the above, we request the DoT to kindly issue a fresh comprehensive NIA after complete due diligence that addresses all afore-mentioned inconsistencies witnessed in the current NIA. It must also be ensured that the issue of fresh NIA is followed-up with another Pre-Bid conference so that operators can seek clarifications on queries emanating out of the complete and correct document. The Auction timetable also needs to be amended accordingly.	
28.	NIA Ref: The details regarding 2100 MHz band will be issued later. A single auction process will be carried out for allotting Spectrum blocks in various bands viz. 2100 MHz, 1800 MHz, 900 MHz and 800 MHz bands and is referred to in this document as the "Auction"	Kindly refer to Amendment no.1 and Amendment No.2 to this NIA
	Q: We would request that the details of availability and quantum in 2100 band should be made available and at least 15 working days should be provided for analyzing the same before submission of application. Any financial decision on the bidders part needs some time.	
29.	NIA Ref: -same-as-above	Kindly refer to Amendment No.1 and Amendment
	Q: As discussed in pre bid conference, kindly provide for one additional pre-Bid conference and provision to seek queries once details of 2100 bands are made available	No.2 to this NIA.
30.	NIA Ref: Entire NIA	Kindly refer to Clause 1.1 of NIA and Amendment

Query No.	Query	Response	
	Q: DOT may please clarify that all provisions of the NIA, except for pricing and availability of spectrum, shall apply to auction of spectrum in 2100 MHz band.	No.2 to NIA.	
31.	NIA Ref: 2.2 Backhaul Spectrum (page 20) Allotment of spectrum for individual point-to-point fixed links i.e. Microwave Backhaul Spectrum would be subject to separate application and the allotment of the same is not linked to the compliance of rollout obligations. The allotment of backhaul spectrum is subject to the usual processes, terms and conditions, and applicable charges. The Government shall make available spectrum for these purposes under the terms and conditions specified by the WPC Wing, subject to availability. However, it must be noted that these frequencies are not part of the Auctions, and payment of the Successful Bid Amount does not ensure allotment of backhaul spectrum. Separate charges as prescribed from time to time, are payable for backhaul spectrum.	NIA is explanatory.	self-
	The terms and conditions for allocation of MW carriers are published on WPC website. The availability of MW carriers is published as per table 3.1 of TRAI consultation paper on MW. TRAI has recommended in Aug 2014 that MWA and MWB carriers should be allocated administratively along with access spectrum.		
	Q: It has been 10 months since the close of Feb"14 auctions but MW has not been allotted to us in new circle Assam. Such delay in allocation of MW impacts the ability to launch commercial services and significantly reduces the value of Access spectrum. We would request that specific timelines regarding allocation of MW access backhaul should be provided in NIA so that bidders can take considered decisions.		
32.	NIA Ref: -same-as-above	Not related present NIA.	to
	Q: DoT may confirm that the availability of MW carriers is correctly represented in table 3.1 of TRAI consultation paper (2 of 2014)? Specifically the availability of MW carriers in Assam		

Query No.	Query	Response
	service area in 13Ghz (3 out of 8 available), 18Ghz (25 out of 32 available), 21Ghz (35 out of 40 available) may be confirmed.	
33.	NIA Ref: 2.2 Backhaul Spectrum (page 20) The Government shall make available spectrum for these purposes under the terms and conditions specified by the WPC Wing, subject to availability.	The terms and conditions of allocation are as notified by the government from
	Q: The availability of MW spectrum is known and the terms and conditions of allocation are published on WPC website. What is the timeline for allocation of MW access and backbone carriers?	time to time.
	Certain operators who do not have pan India spectrum in 2100 Mhz are offering pan India 3G services.	
34.	NIA Ref: -same-as-above-	The license conditions are self-explanatory.
	<b>Q:</b> Whether a UL operator having 2100 MHz spectrum in any one telecom circle can offer 3G services in other circles where it does not possess 2100 MHz spectrum through inter/ intracircle roaming arrangement with other operators having 2100 MHz spectrum in those other circles.	
35.	NIA Ref: 3.6.1 Roll-Out Obligations for Spectrum in 1800 MHz, 900 MHz and 800 MHz Band in Service Areas other than Metro Service Areas. (page 26) b) Each milestone of the rollout obligations as mentioned in the clause (iii) and clause (iv)(a) above, would be considered as separate phase of rollout obligations. Thus for a 'New Entrant', there will be five phases of rollout obligations and for the 'Existing Licensee' acquiring spectrum in this auction process, there will be three additional phases of rollout obligations,	No changes in NIA conditions.
	The rollout obligation in all NIA"s from 2012 to 2014 is uniformly prescribed for liberalized	

Query No.	Query	Response
	spectrum across all bands put to auction.	
	Q: We would request that if an existing service provider which has acquired liberalized spectrum in 1800/900 bands through previous auctions and is already required to complete five phases of rollout, wins 800 or 2100 liberalized spectrum, in that case additional MRO should not apply since he is already doing MRO in the Circle using liberalized spectrum	
36.	NIA Ref:	Present
	-same-as-above-Q: However, if a service provider holding administrative spectrum acquires 800, 900, 1800, 2100 liberalized spectrum or a new entrant acquires liberalized spectrum on a standalone basis in a green field circle, then the minimum rollout may be prescribed.  NIA Ref:  BHQ rollout (page 26) As presently there are about 6770 Blocks in the 19 Non-Metro Service areas in India, any TSP with Pan India presence will have to get about 677 Blocks (10%) tested by TERM per year, from the 3rd Year onwards upto 5th year, as per the NIA provisions. This comes to about 56 blocks per month per TSP. Taking a conservative No. of TSPs as 6, it would come to 336 blocks per month. The number of BHQs is 10 times the number of DHQs, circle TERM cells may not be geared up for processing these many applications and may be a bottleneck.	Instructions are self-explanatory.
37.	NIA Ref: -same-as-above- Q: With multiple TSPs acquiring spectrum through auctions in each LSA, it would appear as humanly impossible for TERM/DoT to test each and every service approval application with the present setup we would request DOT to consider the following: Proposed: 1.TSPs may be allowed to self test the BHQ coverage and submit the self certification. TERM cells may perform sample testing of coverage of 10% of offered BHQs randomly selected. 2. The testing fee should only be collected by DOT for 10% sample sites.	Present Instructions are self-explanatory.
38.	NIA Ref: 3.6 Roll-out obligations (page 28) (v) The number of Block Headquarters will be taken as	No change in NIA conditions.

Query No.	Query	Response
	existing on the date of issue of the Notice Inviting Applications (NIA) for auction of spectrum.  Q: We find that the list of BHQs is varying from different websites as per census 2011. To preclude any ambiguity, authenticated list of BHQs to be provided by DoT.	
39.	NIA Ref: 3.6 Roll-out obligations (page 28) (viii) The allotment of spectrum for individual point-to-point fixed links i.e. Microwave Backhaul Spectrum would be subject to separate application and the allotment of the same is not linked to the compliance of rollout obligations.	No change in NIA conditions.
	Q: Microwave Backhaul spectrum is an integral requirement for speedy rollout of network. The availability of MW spectrum is now known (TRAI table 3.1 should be published by WPC on its website). We would request that the allocation of MW access carriers should be done along with the Access spectrum as recommended by TRAI on 29 Aug 2014.	
40.	NIA Ref: 3.6 Roll-out obligations (page 29): (x) For the purpose of verification of the rollout of the network and coverage testing as mentioned above, Successful Bidder shall register with the respective TERM cells of DoT.	No change in NIA conditions.
	Some TERM cells are refusing to accept additional applications.  Q: It should be clarified that there will be no restriction of offering only minimum mandated No. of DHQs / BHQs to TERM cells for testing in connection with rollout obligations compliance in a phase i.e. to encourage faster rollout of network in the LSA, the successful bidder should be allowed to register for TERM testing more than the minimum mandated No. of DHQs /BHQs in a particular phase. After testing, any excess service approval certificates in a particular phase should be considered applicable for the next phase.	
41.	NIA Ref: NIA clause 3.6.1 (xi) (b) (page 30):  It is mentioned in this clause that "For the purpose of calculating the delay in grant of SACFA clearance of individual Base Station site, the date of "WPC acceptance number" for SACFA clearance application would be treated as the "start date" and the date on which the SACFA	No change in NIA conditions.

Query No.	Query	Response
	clearance is granted in the online system of WPC would be considered as the "end date"".:	
	Q: As per the WPC online system, the "WPC acceptance number", "Acceptance date" and "status" are shown online simultaneously. The status can be "cleared" or "under process". When clearance is subsequently granted for an "under process" site after processing by WPC, there is no provision of knowing the "online clearance" date. As such the date of Hard copy SACFA clearance should be considered the clearance date for the site.	
42.	NIA Ref: NIA clause 3.6.1 (xi) (b) & (c) (page30): The maximum delay in grant of the SACFA clearance beyond the mentioned prescribed duration (of 60 days) shall be excluded from the duration set for each phase of rollout obligations The maximum (SACFA) delay is to be taken as per TDSAT judgement and is subject to the outcome of the appeal filed by the Union of India in Hon"ble Supreme Court on the above mentioned subject.	Matter is sub- judice.
	Q: Considering the above, provision regarding calculation of "Average SACFA delay" according to NIA, clause 3.6.1(xi)(c) becomes redundant and should be deleted.	
43.	NIA Ref: NIA clause 3.6.1 (xii) Performance Bank Guarantee (page 31): (a) (b) The PBG may be released only after the complete testing/verification of the compliance of the rollout obligations by the licensor and the recovery of the LD, if any, up to last phase of rollout obligations.	No change in NIA conditions.
	Q: As the PBG of Rs. 35.00 Crores / 21.00 Crores has been calculated as per the maximum Liquidated damages of Rs. 7.00 Crores per phase per service area, the release / reduction of PBG should also be linked to compliance of each phase of roll-out obligations service area wise. There is no rationale in retaining the whole PBG upto the last phase of roll-out obligations. We would request DOT"s consideration on this point.	
44.	NIA Ref: 3.8 Duration (page 31) The validity period of the Spectrum in 1800 MHz, 900 and 800 MHz band won in these auctions shall be 20 years from the "Effective Date". (b) In case of other successful bidders in 1800 MHz Band, date of issue of LoI.	No change in NIA conditions.

Query No.	Query	Response
	Q: In Feb"2014 auction right to use was given after a delay of 8 months, hence validity of spectrum should be from right to use (not date of LOI). The clause may be suitably amended.	
45.	NIA Ref: 3.2 (xii) (Page 23) Lock-in Conditions: There shall be a Lock-in period for equity of a person whose share capital is 10% or more in the bidding company/Group Bidding Entity/ Associated Licensee/Nominee Company on the effective date of Unified License and whose networth has been taken into consideration for determining the eligibility for bidding for spectrum, till completion of 3 years from the date of allotment of spectrum or till fulfilment of all the Roll-out obligations prescribed in the NIA, whichever is later. However, this is subject to revision in accordance with the Guidelines on Trading of Spectrum when issued. Further, these conditions are not applicable to existing licensees with effective date of licence as three years prior to date of allotment of spectrum as per terms and conditions of this NIA and period of license of existing operators as on date of auction shall be counted towards Lock-in period while being treated as new entrant for that service area. The Lock-in period commences from the effective date as defined in NIA.	Lock-in condition for promoters' equity will be applicable as per the NIA, if the existing licensee uses promoters' networth for gaining eligibility to bid in a band, where it does not hold spectrum. This is applicable to holders of expiring licenses as well.
	Q: DoT may please clarify that the entire shareholding of a promoter of existing licensee, holding spectrum in few service areas, whose net worth is taken into consideration for eligibility to participate by such existing licensee in new service areas (where such existing licensee does not hold spectrum) shall not be subject to fresh lock in i.e. lock in will not restart again.	
46.	NIA Ref: -same – as –above-	Lock-in condition for promoters' equity will be
	Q: We recommend that these provisions of Lock-in can be made applicable for the companies who are presently not providing services in any service area with any spectrum band. However, these provisions should be considered redundant and not applicable for companies which are at present providing services in any service area with frequency spectrum (any band) acquired	applicable as per the NIA, if the existing licensee uses promoters'

Query No.	Query	Response
	through auction.	networth for gaining eligibility to bid in a band, where it does not hold spectrum. This is applicable to holders of expiring licenses as well.
47.	NIA Ref: 2.1 (page 19) Bid amount as mentioned above will be collected subject to the condition that the amount to be collected in future at the time of providing balance spectrum would be the balance prorated bid amount indexed on the SBI PLR prevalent for the period between finalisation of bid price and actual allocation made. In all partial allotment cases where the successful bidders are more than one, the post auction allocation of balance spectrum will be made to all the successful bidders, district wise simultaneously.	No change in NIA condition.
	Q: DoT may please consider business impact on the successful bidders in the districts where spectrum is not presently available for the reasons beyond the control of such bidders which will only be made available by DoT in future. Keeping this in view, DoT may please consider to take away the condition of indexing of the final auction price on SBI PLR for pro rata payments towards spectrum in such districts.  If spectrum in such districts becomes available in phased manner i.e. not enough for allocation to all the successful bidders in relevant circle in first phase and so on, how DoT would deal with such a situation.	Kindly refer Amendment No.3 to this NIA.
48.	NIA Ref: 4.6 (page 44)	No change in NIA condition.
	Q: DoT may also please clarify that inclusion of spectrum to facilitate the funding of telecom projects shall also be notified under Unified License and tri partite agreement format under	

Query No.	Query	Response
	Unified License shall accordingly be notified as soon as possible.	
49.	NIA Ref: 4.7 (page 44) third bullet	Format is available on WPC website.
	Q: DoT may please prescribe the format for application for allotment of spectrum	
50.	NIA Ref: 6 (page 92) – Scanned soft copy to be submitted on CD-ROM/ DVD	Kindly refer to Amendment No.3 to this NIA.
	Q: DoT may please also allow to use other convenient electronic mediums to submit scanned soft copy such as USB drive, hard drives etc.	
51.	NIA Ref: 8.5.2 (page 124) – Bidder Technical Problems	Kindly refer to Amendment No.3 to this NIA.
	Q: DoT may please give the rationale behind "non reliance on the email communications with Auction Administrator" in the event of problem accessing EAS. DoT may please confirm that any telephonic and email communication with Auction Administrator can be relied upon by the bidders.	
52.	NIA Ref: 8.3.2 Format for Earnest Money Deposit (page111) 4. Notwithstanding anything contained above, our liability, under the Guarantee shall be restricted to <amount figures="" in=""> and our Guarantee shall remain in force until 31st March 2016 from the date hereof.</amount>	
	Q: It has been observed that tenor of EMD guarantee has been increased by one month as compared to last NIA requirement. DoT may please consider reduction of validity period of EMD guarantee to February 28, 2016 in line with the EMD validity requirement in last auction to avoid unnecessary cost on applicants.	No change in NIA condition.
53.	NIA Ref: Entire NIA: At various places SBI PLR/ Prime Lending Rate of SBI have been mentioned as reference rate for calculating the liability of TSPs.	No change in NIA condition.

Query No.	Query	Response
	Q: In present scenario Prime Lending Rate ("PLR") has become irreverent considering the guidelines from RBI to banks to disclose Base Rate and like credits to base rate. In fact while DOT mentions SBI PLR, the base rate is used. Necessary amendment may be issued to replace SBI PLR/ Prime Lending Rate of SBI with SBI Base rate.	
54.	NIA Ref: 4.2.1.1, Table 4-A,4-B and 4-C : Earnest Money Deposit	Kindly refer to Amendment No. 2 to this NIA.
	Q: It has been observed that Earnest Money Amount is not consistent as percentage of reserve prices across the Bands as well as within the same band. In Some of the cases it is as high as 55% (UP(W) and HP in 1800 MHz band). In last auction it was comparatively very low. DoT may consider to reduce it and make it consistent across all bands.	
55.	NIA Ref: 2.1 Spectrum to be auctioned (page 9 to 20)	No change in NIA condition.
	Q: The minimum amounts a bidder can bid for and win is pre-defined in section 2.1. Bidders may have different technology usage in mind and preferences. A bidder defined as a New Entrant in a band/LSA may have preference for bidding for a smaller amount than, say, 5 MHz and an Existing Licensee may have a preference for winning a minimum amount of, say, 5 MHz. It would therefore improve flexibility and reduce risk if bidders themselves were allowed to decide the minimum amount (equal to or higher than, say, 0.6 MHz) they could bid for in a specific band and LSA Implementation of bidder-defined minimum bidding amounts across bands and LSAs should be straightforward to implement in the auction software.	
56.	NIA Ref: 5.4.6 (page 7 to 73)	No change in NIA condition.
	Q: Section 5.4.6 (page 70-73) defines ranking criteria which are excessively complex. There is no merit in e.g. criterion 2 (number of unique clock round prices bid on) and criterion 5 (total value of all bids). We recommend that simplified rules are implemented with only three	

Query No.	Query	Response
	criteria:  1. Bid price (descending order)  2. Round in which the bid was submitted (increasing order)  3. Random draw	
57.	NIA Ref: 5.5 (page 79 to 84)  Q: Page 84 states that "Allocation of spectrum from the non-contiguous set will be allocated on random basis." Is every single block won allocated on a random basis? If spectrum is available in scattered (continuous) lots (e.g. NE), how is the sequence of lots assigned defined? Does DoT allocate spectrum from the "bottom" or "top" of a lot? Could DoT please clarify what "random basis" means in practice? Could DoT please provide an example?	Allocation of non-contiguous spectrum would be done on the basis of Ranking and best fit to the quantum of spectrum won. This is also applicable to the examples on pages 80, 81 and 82, in respect of allocation of non-contiguous.
58.	NIA Ref: 5.5 (page 79 to 84)  Page 84 states that "Frequency reconfiguration i.e. rearrangement of spot frequencies in the same band, from within the assignments made to the licensees, may be carried out".  Q: We support this. In order to facilitate such frequency reconfiguration, DoT should publish an updated overview of all concrete spectrum assignments (spectrum amounts and frequency positions) across all bands available on their website. Vacant spectrum should also be described.	Quantum of spectrum holdings (operator, and service area wise) may be seen on DoT website.
59.	NIA Ref: 5.6 (page 84 and 85)	

Query No.	Query	Response	
	Q: After each clock round bidders will be provided with information from the latest completed round. In addition, price information for the next round will be revealed (e.g. clock round prices next round). In the February-2014 auction, the auction software offered bidders to download this information (Excel format). We strongly support this, but in the February-2014 auction the information provided to bidders in the downloadable reports was incomplete. For instance, although stated on the screen the downloadable reports did not contain information about prices next round. Bidders are under a lot of (time) pressure in the auction. To be able to process new information in a secure and efficient way is critical. All information provided to bidders between rounds (information from latest and next round) should therefore be available in downloadable Excel reports.		
60.	NIA Ref: Annexure 2A and 2B	No change in NIA conditions.	
	Q: Significant amounts (in particular in the 1800 MHz band) of spectrum are "partial" spectrum with lower value. It is not possible for bidders, when bids are submitted, to foresee whether they bid for partial or non-partial spectrum. We recommend therefore that DoT auction partial and non-partial spectrum as separate categories. Reserve prices for partial spectrum should be set lower that for non-partial spectrum.		
61.	NIA Ref: Annexure 2 A (page 11) UP-West, Block A: Meerut, Saharanpur, Muzaffarnagar, Biznore and Firozabad	Kindly refer to Amendment No. 2 to this NIA.	
	Q: Shall we assume that in UP-West, Block A is available only in these 5 towns or available in entire service area except these 5 towns.		
62.	NIA Ref: Annexure 2A, 2B, 2C	Quantum of spectrum holdings (operator and	
	The operator wise allocation of GSM and CDMA spectrum was earlier published on WPC	service area wise)	

Query No.	Query	Response
	website in 2009 and 2011. The auction acquired spectrum has also been rearranged by mutual consent, these details are not available in public domain.  Q: We request DOT to publish the allocation of spectrum with the following details.  a) Band wise, operator wise allocation  b) Guard band  c) Unallocated spectrum  This should be made as part of the NIA as this forms the basis of Spectrum Caps.	may be seen on DoT website.
63.	NIA Ref: 8.3.1 General Instructions point 6. a) I. Networth (As on date)  Q: The computation of net worth is a time consuming process. It is requested to allow its submission basis the closing numbers and the exchange rates published by the RBI as at the previous working day instead of the date of the application.	The closing numbers and the exchange rates published by the RBI as at the previous working day to the date of application are allowed.
64.	NIA Ref: 8.3.1 Application Format for the 1800 MHz, 900 MHz and 800 MHz band Auction (page 100)  The present application is for 1800/900/800 band for which the details are published in the NIA.  Q: This application is silent on 2100 band, whether this same application will cover 2100 MHz also. DoT may kindly confirm.	Kindly refer to Amendment No.2 to this NIA.
65.	Section 1.3 The Auction  In the Clock stage it has been mentioned at Page 7 that for the purpose of status as a "New Entrant" or as an "Existing Licensee", 900 MHz and 1800 MHz bands would be treated as one. However, according to the details of 1800 MHz band in Section 2.1 at Page 10, those licensee	No change in NIA condition. May kindly refer to Amendment No.2 to NIA.

Query No.	Query	Response
	whose licences are not expiring in 2015-16 and holding 1800 MHz band spectrum will be treated as 'existing licensee'. Further, according to the details of 900 MHz band at page 12 the same licensee is treated at par with 'new licensee' for the purpose of bidding in 900 MHz band, which contradicts the statement at Page 7. Please clarify.	
66.	Section 2.1 (b) (900 MHz): As per TRAI recommendation dated 15.10.2014 Vodafone's License is expiring in Tamil Nadu LSA on 11.12.2015 with spectrum holding of 6.2 MHz (in 900 MHz band) and 1 MHz (in 1800 MHz band). However the list of Service Areas where 900 MHz spectrum is put to auction, as provided in Section 2.1 (b) of the NIA, does not contain Tamil Nadu Service Area. Please Clarify	Vodafone's License T Nadu - Chennai merger case is in process.
67.	<b>Section 2.1 (c) (800 MHz)</b> : We understand that in some of the services areas the spectrum put to auction in 800 MHz band also includes the spectrum that is being currently allocated to BSNL and MTNL. In view of this we request DOT to provide definitive timeline for allocation of spectrum in 800 MHz band to the successful bidders	Such spots would be made available in due course of time.
68.	Section 2.1 Notes (Partial Spectrum):  Note on Page 19 mention that "For the Service Areas, where the spectrum is not available in some of the districts, while the bids will be sought for spectrum in entire LSA, the bid amount will be collected only for the spectrum available and the balance collected as and when spectrum is made available in each District"	
	<ul> <li>(i) The Annexures indicate availability of partial spectrum in some of the LSAs. It is presumed that in all other LSAs, the spectrum is available in the entire LSAs. Please validate our understanding.</li> <li>(ii) What are the tentative timelines when the spectrum will be available in the districts,</li> </ul>	i NIA is self- explanatory. ii No change in NIA

Query No.	Query	Response	
	w	here it is not available at present in a particular LSA?	conditions.
	ill Ye no w	n Page 19, illustration regarding partial spectrum allocation has been provided. In the ustration, the prorated amount comes out 4500, however this has been indexed over 5 ears using SBI PLR and calculated as 8290.96. Partial spectrum is allotted to bidder with 5 fault of bidder and put him at the competitive disadvantages as compared to bidders, tho got spectrum in the entire LSA. What is the justification of indexing with SBI PLR? mould it not be prorated amount of 4500?	iii No change in NIA conditions.
69.		2.2 Backhaul Spectrum: Can the Government provide clear visibility on the availability,	NIA is self-
		g of new bands (such as E Band and V Band Spectrum), timeline for allocation and	explanatory.
		ng of backhaul spectrum for access and backbone in the interest of transparency and g bidders to make an informed bid.	
70.	spectru issues	Appropriate filters are to be provided at the respective interface in the device/BTS.	
	band, s		
	can be	at the level of UE to UE as well as Base station to Base Station. In this reference	
	(i)	Will the Government ensure sufficient guard band between 800 MHz band downlink and 900 MHz band uplink so that bidder's free choice for technology selection as provided by the NIA is not limited due to interference issues?	
	(ii)	Please confirm that the Government will take all necessary steps to ensure interference free operations, if cross technology interference is reported by the Licensees on account of inadequate guard bands.	
71.	Section	2.3 Technology: Clause 2.3 of NIA (Page 20) further states that in case of change of	

Query No.	Query		Response			
	regarding	technology, while rolling out the networks for compliance of roll out obligations, information regarding the new technology should be given at least one year before any new technology Base Station site is offered for testing.				
	In this co	In this context, please clarify the following:				
	(i)	If at the time of Auction, the successful bidder indicated 'GSM' as the technology to be used and at the time of rolling out of networks, it proposes to change it from 'GSM' to 'LTE', should such time limit of one year will be applicable? or the applicability of one year time frame is required only for the technologies other than GSM/WCDMA/CDMA/LTE?	i) NIA is self- explanatory.			
	(ii)	Please include LTE in the second last Para at Page 20 alongwith 'GSM/WCDMA/CDMA'.	ii) No change in NIA conditions.			
	(iii)	The spectrum is liberalised and there is mandate to adopt technology standards approved by ITU/TEC or any other International Standards Organization/ bodies/ Industry, in the dynamic and fast changing telecom sector, what is the rationale to provide information regarding the new technology at least one year before? Should this time period not be reduced to 3 months?	iii) No change in NIA conditions.			
	(iv)	In case of change of technology prior clearance is required to be ensured as per the NIA. It is presumed that such prior clearance will be within the stipulated information/notice period. If the time taken for the clearance is more than that, will the same be excluded for the purpose of roll out obligation and right to use the spectrum?	iv) NIA is self - explanatory.			
72.	Section 3	3.6.1 Rollout obligation:				
	(i) Pl	lease clarify that in case of LSA with partial spectrum availability, the coverage	i) Please refer			

Query No.	Query		Response	
		requirement in terms of DHQ / BHQ for each phase shall be the specified percentage of the DHQs / BHQs where the spectrum has been made available.	clause No. 3.6 (vii) of NIA.	6.1
	(ii)	Clause (ix) under this section mentions that the Licensee will have to inform the choice of technology other than GSM/CDMA/WCDMA within one month of obtaining license. At the same time section 2.3 specifies that "The successful bidder shall provide details of the technology proposed to be deployed for operation of its services using spectrum blocks allotted through this auction within one month of obtaining the license, if the technology happens to be other than GSM/WCDMA/LTE/CDMA." In view of this discrepancy, please include LTE technology in the clause 3.6.1 (ix).	ii) No change in N condition.	NIA
	(iii)	Please confirm that the DOT will prescribe separate Test Schedule / Procedure (TSTP) for each technology (GSM/WCDMA/LTE/CDMA) in each spectrum band (800 MHz / 900 MHz / 1800 MHz / 2100 MHz).	iii) NIA is se explanatory.	elf-
	(iv)	Clause (iv) (f) states "In case of Existing Licensees having spectrum in 900 MHz and 1800 MHz bands, the roll out obligation shall be applicable in respect to the network deployed using the spectrum acquired through auction. Accordingly, the roll out already achieved shall be counted in the same spectrum band. The roll out obligation relates to frequency band in which the spectrum is acquired through auction. For this purpose, 900 MHz band and 1800 MHz band will be treated as the same band."	iv) NIA is se explanatory.	elf-
		It is presumed that if a Licensee having 1800 MHz spectrum covered certain BHQ/DHQ, it will not required to again cover those BHQs/DHQs with 900 MHz spectrum allocated through this auction? Please clarify.		
73.	Sectio	n 3.7 Spectrum Usage Charges:	Orders w.	-
	For St	JC, this section refers two letters, P-11014/18/2008-PP dated Feb 25th 2010 & P-	applicable SUC m please be seen	•

Query No.	Query	Response
	14010/01/2014-NTG dated 31st October 2014. Letter dated 25.02.2010 is titled 'Revised	DoT website from
	Spectrum Charges for CDMA and GSM access networks of Telecom Service Providers' and	time to time.
	provides slab based SUC for GSM and CDMA. It is presumed that SUC specified as 3% for CDMA	
	up to 2x5.0 will be applicable for 800 MHz spectrum band. This is also consistent with the SUC	
	provided on page 35 of the NIA dated 28th September, 2012. Please clarify.	
74.	Section 3.8.1 Changeover of Frequency slots: DoT is requested to prescribe definitive timeline	NIA is self-
	and ground rules for changeover of frequency slots before the Auction for the bidders to make	explanatory.
	the informed bid. In case a new entrant acquire spectrum of an existing licensee expiring in	
	2016, then the delay in handing over frequency slots can have a major bearing in roll out	
	obligations and the period of right to use spectrum.	
75.	Section 4.5 Payment Terms: For the spectrum allocation expected to be made available at least	No change in NIA
	after 6 months of the date of declaration of final price, especially in case of changeover of	conditions.
	frequency slots, the bidders should be permitted to pay the upfront payment only at the time	
	of issuance of LOI. The Bank Guarantee for EMD amount can have appropriate validity for this.	
	DoT is requested to prescribe this change in the NIA.	
76.	Section 4.7 Allotment of Spectrum: The effective date for reckoning the period of 20 years	No change in NIA
	should be considered from the date of spectrum allocation, instead of date of issue of a Letter	conditions.
	of Intent.	
77.		Spectrum sharing
		trading guidelines
	Section 4.10 and 4.11 Spectrum Sharing and Trading: DoT is requested to issue detailed	are under
	guidelines on spectrum sharing and trading before start of the auction as these policies will	consideration &will
	have impact on the spectrum valuation	be issued in due
		course, which may
		not necessarily be

Query No.	Query	Response
		before the Auction.
78.	Section 5.5 Frequency Identification Stage: For allocation of contiguous blocks of spectrum many scenarios are discussed however we refer to DoT reply to the query <u>sr. no. 4 in thesupplementary queries &amp; responses dated 13th Jan 2014</u> , to NIA dated 12th December 2013, wherein DoT had clarified regarding allocation of 5 MHz blocks in 900 MHz. In this DoT had clarified that allocation of 5 MHz blocks will be done in a specific order as per the ranks of such bidders who win 5 MHz block spectrum and DoT had provided the rank wise spectrum blocks. DoT is requested to provide the same for current auction as well.	Kindly refer to Amendment No.3 to this NIA.
79.	Section 5.5 Frequency Identification Stage: Onpage 80 it is stated that "Note: The system does the frequency assignment based on a pre-defined set of rules considering Contiguous Set of 5 MHz (Full with Guard Band, Full without Guard Band, Partial) in that order followed by non-contiguous spectrum (Full, Partial) in that order."  However, the details regarding 1800, 900 and 800 MHz bands, as attached in Annexure 2-A, 2-B and 2-C respectively, do not contain any information about the Guard Band availability. Considering importance of this information for the bidders, DOT is requested to provide guard band details for each of the spectrum band in Annexure 2-A, 2-B and 2-C.	Kindly refer to Amendment Nos.2 & 3 to this NIA.
80.	Section 5.5 Frequency Identification Stage: Please confirm that the largest contiguous block, even if less than 5MHz, will be allocated to the highest ranked bidder. For example, please confirm that 885.36 – 887.82MHz (uplink) block will be allocated to the highest ranked bidder in the 800MHz frequency band in Orissa."	Allocation of non-contiguous spectrum would be done on the basis of Ranking and best fit to the quantum of spectrum won. This is also applicable to the

Query No.	Query	Response
		examples on pages 80, 81 and 82, in respect of allocation of noncontiguous.
81.	Section 5.5 Frequency Identification Stage:Those TSPs who have won 5MHz or more in	No change in NIA conditions.
	previous auctions and wish to acquire incremental spectrum of 0.6 MHz or more should be	conditions.
	allocated this incremental spectrum contiguous or closer to their existing spectrum holdings.	
	DoT may ensure this and explain in NIA, how it could be achieved.	
82.	<b>Section 8.3.1.1</b> (Page 107 of NIA) provides a Table to be Annexed with the Application. It has two columns existing licensee and new entrant. The Table may be Spectrum band wise as service provider can be treated as existing licensee in one spectrum band (900 MHz/1800 MHz) and may be new entrant in another spectrum band (800 MHz).	Kindly refer Amendment No.3to this NIA.
83.	Section 8.3.5 (Page 119)- Format for Ownership Compliance Certificate provides that "We, and, the undersigned, as Directors and Authorised Representatives of <name applicant")="" confirm,"<="" hereby="" of="" td="" the=""><td>Signatories should be one Director &amp; one Authorised signatory.</td></name>	Signatories should be one Director & one Authorised signatory.
	(i) The blank space should also be there after 'We'.	,
	(ii) The language indicates that both the signatory should be Director, however, no such condition is there in the NIA.	
	Please clarify.	
84.	Annexure 2-C Details regarding 800 MHz band Frequency Spots:	
	DoT is requested to clarify on the following and issue revised Annexure	
	There appears to be a typographical error wherein the uplink frequencies are marked as downlink frequencies and vice versa.	i) Kindly refer Amendment No 3 to this NIA.

Query No.	Query	Response
	2. NIA Section 2.1, paragraph c (800MHz), states the block size is 1.25MHz paired. Annexure 2-C (800MHz) specifies a carrier size of 1.23MHz increments. Therefore, a 4 carrier block size will only be 4.92MHz, whereas deployment of new technology such as WCDMA / LTE in 800 MHz will necessarily require Contiguous Set of 5 MHz spectrum allocation.	ii) No Change in NIA conditions.
85.	As per NIA for new entrant, it is mandatory to bid and get allocation for at least 5 MHz paired spectrum in either of the band. For use of spectrum in either of the bands for new technology applications like LTE, the requirement is of contiguous 5 MHz paired spectrum. Therefore, in the frequency identification stage if allocation of contiguous spectrum is not possible for a new entrant, such bidder should have choice for not accepting spectrum allocation identified by Electronic Auction System (EAS) and the next bidder can be made the provisional winning Bidder for the such frequency block.	No change in NIA conditions.
86.	Could you please indicate the likely schedule for auction of spectrum in (i) 700 MHz band; (ii) any other spectrum bands likely to be auctioned in next 1 to 2 years?	Schedule of subsequent auctions will be indicated from time to time.
87.	Bidders should be given additional opportunity to raise further queries once details about spectrum in 2100 MHz band are made available	Kindly refer to Amendment No.1 and Amendment No.2 to this NIA.
88.	In order to ensure complete transparency, DOT is requested to revalidate spectrum availability in all LSAs in view of representation from some of the operators during the pre-bid meeting that the actual spectrum availability only in few districts in some of the LSA instead of entire LSA availability as shown in the NIA.	Kindly refer Amendment No. 2 & 3 to this NIA.

Query No.	Query	Response
89.	DOT is auctioning 800 MHz spectrum in 20 circles i.e. except Kerala and Rajasthan.  Since the reserve price of the spectrum has been hiked steeply as compared to the last auction, there may not be very active participation by the operators for acquiring 800 MHz spectrum in all the 20 circles. DOT has also permitted through the NIA issued on 9th January, 2015 liberalization of 800 MHz spectrum by paying the auction determined price on pro rata basis for the balance period of the validity of the spectrum.	Not related to present NIA.
	DOT may kindly confirm that the price for liberalization of spectrum will be the Reserve Price instead of the auction determined price in respect of the circles where the spectrum will not be bid by any of the operators.	
90.	As per the DOT guidelines, the operators are free to provide any approved technology in the liberalized spectrum.  DOT may kindly confirm that the CDMA operators will be free to provide any technology for the mobile networks i.e. GSM / LTE after getting the existing 800 MHz spectrum liberalized and that the DOT will facilitate the harmonization of spectrum, wherever needed.	NIA is self- explanatory.
91.	The licenses of the CDMA operators are due for renewal in 2017 and onwards.  DOT may kindly confirm that the operators will be allotted spectrum in the existing 800 MHz band only and not 1900 MHz at the time of renewal as 1900 MHz spectrum reserved so far for the expansion of CDMA operators, will no longer be available as the same is being swapped with 2100 MHz.	Schedule & details of subsequent auctions will be indicated from time to time.
92.	Since no 800 MHz spectrum is being put to auction in Rajasthan and Kerala circles,  DOT may kindly indicate the likely availability of this spectrum in near future.	Schedule & details of subsequent auctions will be indicated from time

Query No.	Query	Response
		to time
93.	The existing operators are allowed to bid for a minimum of 0.6 MHz of spectrum in 900 MHz and 1800 MHz bands and a minimum of 1.25 MHz in 800 MHz spectrum band. The validity of this additional spectrum is 20 years. The existing spectrum will in anyway be having validity much less than 20 years. It is possible that due to certain reasons, the operator is not able to acquire sufficient spectrum in the auction at the time of renewal and accordingly will be left with inadequate spectrum for the continuity of the services.  DOT may kindly confirm that to take care of such exigencies, DOT will allow the operators to	No change in NIA conditions.
	get their existing spectrum liberalized by paying the market determined price in instalments in line with the current payment framework ,at any time before the expiry of the validity. The validity of this liberalized spectrum will be either coterminous with the validity of the spectrum acquired through auction or 20 years.	
94.	In the Feb 14 auction, EMD wrt 900 MHz was on an average 11.7% of the RP on per MHz basis. In the current NIA released on 9th January 2015, EMD for 900 MHz on per MHz basis is now working out to be 32.6% of the RP. In some of the circles like HP & WB, avg. EMD per MHz as %age of RP is as high as 42% and 47 % respectively. Similarly, for 1800 MHz average EMD per MHz as a percentage of RP has increased to 39% for Feb'15 auction as compared to an avg. of 27.7 % in Feb'14 auction. In fact, EMD as %age of RP is as high as 55% in circles like UP (E) &UP (W).	Kindly refer to Amendment No 2 to this NIA.
	DOT may kindly confirm that EMD amount will remain same or lower as in previous auction, as per table 1& 2 below :	

Que	r <b>y</b>								Response
Table 1, EMD proposed for 900 MHz auction of 2015 same as 20								per block	
Sr. No	. Circle	DoT - 900 MHz Reserve Price Feb'2014	EMD per block in Feb'14	EMD as % of RP in Feb/14	Reserve Price of 900 MHz per Block- NIA of Jan. 2015	EMD per Block as per NIA of 2015 900 MHz	EMD per block as a percentage of RP in 2015	Proposed EMD per block for 2015 using avg % of EMD in feb*14 auction	
- 1	Delhi	360	34.5	9.6	2			1 7	
2	Kol	125	18.75	15.0		7		1	
- 3	Mum	328	34.5	10.5		<u> </u>			
	Average			11		0 -		0	
- 4	AP	0 0			91.8	24.25	26.42%	10.10	
. 5	Assar	n	- 17	15	14.8	. 5	33.78%	1.63	
- 6	Bihar	(i)			29.6	10.5	35.47%	3.26	
- 7	Gujar	at .			84.8	24.25	28.60%	9.33	
- 8		na			15.2	5	32.89%	1.67	
- 8	1 411			2	5.4	2.25	41.67%	0.59	
- 1					74	24.25	32.77%	8,14	
_ 1		3			33.6	10.5	31.25%	3.70	
- 1					35	10.5		3.45	
1			- 1)	4	105	24.25	2 0000000	11.55	
1			- 0		5.8	2.25	38,79%	0.64	
1			- 0		13.4	5	37.31%	1.47	
					30.2	10,5	34,77%	3.32	
1			- 8	3	39.4	10.5	26.65%	4.33	
- 5		The state of the s			45.6	10.5	23.03%	5.02	
- 1		Nest			34	1.05	3.09%	3.74	
- 2	1.03.1910				22.2	10.5	47.30%	2.44	
	PANI	NDIA.	10	100	679.8	191.05	28.10%	74.78	

Quer	У									Response
			Table 2. EMD on	nansed for 18	00 MHz auction	of 2015 same a	2011			
			(acceptance pro	-p				er block		
Sr. No.	Circle	Reserve Price of 1800 MHz per Block- NIA of Dec. 2013	EMD per Block as per NIA of 2013 1800 MHz	EMD as a percentage of RP	Reserve Price of 1800 MHz per Block-NIA of Jan. 2015	EMD per Block as per NIA of 2015 1800 MHz	EMD as a percentage of RP	Proposed EMD per block for 2015 using 2013 % of EMD		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	- 8	9		
1	AP	32.6	7.25	22%	33.8	10.5	31.07%	7.52		
2	Bihar	7.4	1.5	20%	12.4	- 5	40.32%	2.51		
3	Gujarat	28.6	7.25	25%	47.6	10.5	22.06%			
4	Haryan	5.4		28%	6.4	2.25	35.16N			
5	KTK	1.2		42%	1.8	10.5	55.56N			
7	Kerala	10.4		23%	15	10.5	28.38%			
8	Kolkata	14.6		21%	14.6	- 5	34.25%			
9	N.E.	1.4		36%	2.2	1	45.45N			
10	Onssa	3.2	1.5	47%	4,6	2.25	48.91%	2.16		
11	Punjab	10.8	3	28%	14.2	5	35.21%	3.94		
12	Rajasth	5.2		29%	12	5	41.67%	3.46		
13	T.N.	41.6		17%	45	10.5	23.33%	7.84		
14	UP-E	12.2		25%	19.4	10.5	54.12%	4.77		
10	PANIN			23%	19	10.5 94.5	55.26%	4.60 67.89		
						3113				
licens reass out o	ses to n igned/r obligations (	new UL', revalidate ons. How Clause.	existing led covera	icensee age test rrent N	whose t certific IA does	licences ates issu not indi	have expire ed to them cate any si	ed or expiring as part of cuch condition	urces on expiry of g in future will be compliance to roll n in the Roll Out	In this respect, N and Amendment the UL guidelin are se
licens	see at	DHQ lev	el in cas	se of a	ddition	of spect	rum blocks	by existing	et by the existing licensee (whose	
licen	ces have	e expired	l or expir	ing in fι	uture) ho	lding 800	0/900/1800	MHz spectr	um.	
As no	r DoT a	mendm	ent to UI	L dated	13 Nov	2014 for	'Reassignn	nent of resou	urces on expiry of	In this respect, N
A3 pc			_			_0_1	110000161111	iciii di icadi	arees on expiry or	and Amendment

Query No.	Query	Response
	licenses to new UL', existing licensee whose licences have expired or expiring in future will be reassigned/revalidated 'Backhaul spectrum' on migration to new UL.  However current NIA does not indicate any such clause  DoT may kindly confirm that, DoT will re-assign backhaul MWA/MWB spectrum to the existing licensee whose licences have expired or expiring in future and migrating to New UL.	the UL guidelines are self-explanatory.
97.	Currently, RTL is currently holding 1.8 MHz of 1800 MHz in WB circle and the same is expiring in Dec 2015. However NIA has not included this 1.8 MHz for auction.  DoT may kindly confirm the Spectrum in 1800 MHz band will be made available in West Bengal circle.	No change in NIA conditions.
98.	Clause 3.2(xii) on page 23 of the current NIA mandates  A. 'a lock in period for equity of a person whose share capital is 10% or more in the bidding company/group bidding entity/associated licensee/Nominee company on the effective date of UL and whose Net-worth has been taken in to consideration for determining the eligibility for bidding for spectrum, till completion of 3 years from date of allotment of spectrum or till fulfillment of all roll out obligations whichever is later'.	
	Query A. DoT may kindly confirm, if in case the group bidding entity bids in the auction and spectrum is assigned to the associated licensee then the lock in condition of 3 years will be applied to group bidding entity or only the associated licensee.	NIA is self- explanatory.
	Query B. DoT may kindly confirm in case of licencees whose licences are expiring in 2015 will not be subject to lock in period as their licence have already been existing for 20 years.	Lock-in condition for promoters' equity will be applicable as per the NIA, if the

Query No.	Query	Response
		existing licensee uses promoters' networth for gaining eligibility to bid in a band, where it does not hold spectrum. This is applicable to holders of expiring licenses as well.
99.	Clause 4.10 of NIA on spectrum sharing states that only the liberalized spectrum will be allowed to share.  DoT may kindly confirm that no decision has been taken on guidelines on sharing of spectrum and DoT may kindly confirm that sharing will be allowed for administrative allocated Spectrum (unliberalized spectrum) as and when sharing is allowed for liberalized spectrum.	No change in NIA condition.
100.	NIA allows new entrants/licensees whose licences are expiring in 2015-16/existing licensees whose licences are not expiring in 2015-16 & holding spectrum only in 1800 MHz to bid for minimum of 5 MHz of spectrum in 900 MHz band, except in WB. Considering the availability of only 8.8 MHz of 900 MHz available for forthcoming auction in NE circle, both licensees whose licences are expiring in 2015-16 will not be able to win back their existing quantum of 4.4 MHz each in 900 MHz, thereby leading to a situation that only one of such licencee may be able to acquire 5 MHz of 900 MHz and other licencee will be deprived of the 900 MHz spectrum.  DoT may kindly confirm that it will allow the new entrants as well as existing licensees whose	No change in NIA condition.
	licenses are expiring in 2015-16 to bid for a block size of 4.4 MHz in 900 MHz in NE circle, so as to enable exiting licensees whose licenses are expiring in 2015-16 to win back their current	

Query No.	Query	Response
	holding.	
101.	Clause 2.1 (a) (ii) of the Unified licence allows a 'licensee to enter into mutual commercial agreements for roaming facilities (within same service area or other service areas) with other CMTS Licensees/ UASL/Unified License (Access Services)/ Unified Licensees with Access Service authorization, unless otherwise directed by Licensor, irrespective of spectrum band held or technology deployed by such licensees. However, any Roaming arrangement shall not entitle the Licensee to acquire customer in the spectrum band not held or technology not deployed or for services/facilities not offered by the Licensee in its network.'  Since 2100 MHz spectrum has been acquired through auction, Dot may kindly confirm that it	License conditions are self-explanatory.
	can be used for any technology and that subscribers of a Licensee holding only 2100 MHz (3G network) in a LSA will be allowed to roam in the 900/1800 MHz (2G network) of another licensee operating in the same LSA for voice and data services and can also roam in other LSA.	
102.	TTL is an existing licensee since 1997 in various circles including Mumbai. In case, TTL wants to bid for 2100 MHz in Mumbai where it has only spectrum bands of 800 & 1800 MHz, it would be deemed a New Entrant. It has negative networth. So it uses its promoters' networth to become eligible to bid. Para 3.2 (xii) in NIA, reads as follows"Further, these conditions are not applicable to existing licensees with effective date of license as three years prior to date of allotment of spectrum as per terms and conditions of this NIA and period of license of existing operators as on date of auction shall be counted towards Lock-in period while being treated as new entrant for that service area. The lock-in period commences from the effective date as defined in NIA".	Lock-in condition for promoters' equity will be applicable as per the NIA, if the existing licensee uses promoters' networth for gaining eligibility to bid in a band, where it does not
	A. Given that TTL's license in Mumbai is greater than 3 years old, TTL wants to bid for 2100 MHz in Mumbai where it has only 800 & 1800 MHz, it uses its promoters' networth to gain	hold spectrum. This is applicable to holders of expiring

Query No.	Query	Response
	eligibility, please clarify that lock-in provisions to its promoters' equity in the Company will not apply?  TTL is an existing licensee since 1997 in various circles. In case, TTL wants to bid for 2100 MHz in a circle where it has only spectrum bands of 800 & 1800 MHz, would it be deemed a New Entrant?  If answer above is yes, then because TTL also has negative Networth, it needs to rely on its promoters' Networth to become eligible to bid. Para 3.2 (xii) in NIA, reads as	licenses as well.
	follows"Further, these conditions are <u>not applicable to existing licensees</u> with effective date of license as three years prior to date of allotment of spectrum as per terms and conditions of this NIA and period of license of existing operators as on date of auction shall be counted towards Lock-in period while being treated as new entrant for that service area. The lock-in period commences from the effective date as defined in NIA".	
	Given that TTL's license in a particular circle is greater than 3 years old, TTL wants to bid for 2100 MHz in that circle where it has only 800 & 1800 MHz, it uses its promoters' networth to gain eligibility, please clarify that lock-in provisions to its promoters' equity in the Company will not apply?	
103.	As per the current NIA clause 3.9 (a), TSPs would be allowed to convert their existing spectrum holding in 800 MHz into liberalized spectrum only for the balance validity period of the spectrum assignment on payment of the auction determined amount. Please confirm:  A. When and how the conversions of the existing spectrum to liberalized spectrum happen?  B. Will it happen concurrently with the conclusion of the February 2015 auction or liberalization happen after the auction?	NIA is self- explanatory.

Query No.	Query		Response
	C.	When does the TSP need to effect the payment for liberalization of the spectrum? What about the credit for the price paid to get the License i.e., the operators have earlier paid (Rs 1658 crore per technology for pan India) for acquiring these spectrum, will this amount be adjusted while converting existing holdings into liberalized one?	
	D.	If a TSP wins 800 MHz spectrum in this auction which is liberalized and makes the currently held 800 MHz spectrum obtained through administrative allocation liberalized after paying the price differential, then its exiting spectrum and spectrum acquired through auction will be made contiguous as DoT is obliged to make this happen by whatever means necessary?	
	E.	Is liberalization of existing spectrum and to make this contiguous with the spectrum acquired through current auction is contingent upon any other terms to be full filled by the operators apart from effecting payment?	
	F.	Is there a fixed time frame to get the existing 800 MHz band liberalized after making the payment? Particularly, in view of the past instance when the DoT has taken 12 months to allocate the spectrum won in the last auction.	
	G.	Whether the conversion of the existing spectrum to liberalize can happen at LSA wise and quantum wise as per the option exercised by the operator?	
	H.	If a TSP does not proceed with immediate liberalization of the existing spectrum and opt for it at a later date, how will the liberalization take place? Will the total liberalized spectrum (i.e., administrated allocated spectrum liberalized through payment of fee plus spectrum allocated through the February 2015 auction) be made contiguous then as DoT is obliged to make this happen as per terms of NIA?	

Query No.	Query	Response
	As per the current NIA clause 3.9 (a), TSPs would be allowed to convert their existing spectrum	
	holding in 800 MHz into liberalized spectrum only for the balance validity period of the	
	spectrum assignment on payment of the auction determined amount. Please confirm -	
	A. When and how the conversions of the existing spectrum to liberalized spectrum happen?	
	B. Will it happen concurrently with the conclusion of the February 2015 auction or will liberalization happen after the auction? Is there a time limit from conclusion of the auction within which it should happen?	
	C. What about the credit for the price paid to get the License i.e., the operators have earlier paid (Rs 1658 crore per technology for pan India) for acquiring these spectrum, will this amount be adjusted while converting existing holdings into liberalized one?	
	D. Some TSP has kept one CDMA carrier in few circles above over & above its two carriers and it has been paying OTSC for the same. In case, said TSP liberalizes its administratively allocated 800 MHz spectrum holding in these circles by paying the market determined price. Will the already paid OTSC amount be adjusted while converting existing holdings into liberalized one?	
	E. When does the TSP need to effect the payment for liberalization of the spectrum? Is there a staggered payment option as is available for new spectrum i.e. some part paid upfront and the balance in instalments?	
	F. Does all spectrum holding of a TSP have to be liberalized at the same time? Please confirm that the spectrum can be liberalized circle by circle separately and there is no compulsion to liberalize a TSP's entire holdings at one go.  Also, if a TSP holds (say) 3.75 MHz of administered spectrum in a particular circle, then does all of this spectrum holding in that circle have to be liberalized at the same time	

Query No.	Query		Response
		or can the TSP liberalize (say) only 2.5 MHz out of 3.75 MHz at a point in time and do	
		the rest either sometime in the future or never at all till expiry?	
	G.	If a TSP wins 800 MHz spectrum in this auction which is liberalized and makes the	
		currently held 800 MHz spectrum, obtained through administrative allocation,	
		liberalized, either in part or in full, after paying the price differential, then does the	
		DoT undertake to make its existing spectrum and spectrum now acquired through	
		auction contiguous within a specified time frame? Since the reserve prices seem to	
		reflect the sue of this spectrum for technologies other than CDMA, and this is possible	
		only if the spectrum is contiguous, then it must be obligatory on DoT to ensure that	
		this happens. It would be unfair to expect the TSP to pursue making spectrum	
		contiguous on their own, especially if they have paid the high prices being asked for,	
		for this spectrum.	
	H.	Is the liberalization of existing spectrum and the process to make this contiguous with	
		the spectrum acquired through current auction contingent upon any other terms to be	
		fulfilled by the operators apart from effecting payment?	
	I.	Is there a fixed time frame to get the existing 800 MHz band liberalized after making	
		the payment? Particularly, in view of the past instance when the DoT has taken 12	
		months to allocate the spectrum won in the last auction.	
	J.	Is there a time frame for DoT to make available bands within its control for making the	
		acquisitions contiguous even if this band has not been put up for auction at this time	
		for various reasons? The reserve price being charged for the band is based on its being	
		usable for other technologies which only holds if it is in contiguous spots. Otherwise it	
		is not usable for any technology other than CDMA.	
	K.	As part of DoT's letter dated March 20, 2013 imposing levy of One Time Spectrum	
		Charges for CDMA Spectrum, TTL has surrendered certain carriers in the 800 MHz	

Query No.	Query	Response
	band to DoT. These have not been put up for auction in the current NIA. If TTL is successful in obtaining 800 MHz spectrum through the current February 2015 auction, would DoT allocate some or all of the returned 800 MHz carriers to TTL if it becomes necessary to achieve contiguity with the earlier existing and newly acquired spectrum.  L. If a TSP does not proceed with immediate liberalization of the existing spectrum and opts for it at a later date, how will the liberalization take place at that time? Also, will the total liberalized spectrum (i.e., administratively allocated spectrum liberalized through payment of fee plus spectrum allocated through the February 2015 auction) be made contiguous at that time as the DoT is obliged to do so as per the terms of NIA?	
104.	In case the lock-in applies to the Promoters' equity, would the lock-in apply to the entire promoters' holding in the Company? For example: if a company has a negative networth and wants to bid for one LSA say Mumbai, it requires a networth of Rs 100 Cr. If the promoter's networth is used to gain eligibility, and if the lock-in applies, will equity equivalent to Rs 100 Cr of paid-up value of the promoter in the Company will be locked-in. Please confirm.	Lock in will apply to the entire holding of promoter in the company.
105.	Reference clause 6 (2) (iii): <u>Audited certificates</u> for "Networth" and "Paid Up capital" as on 31.12.2014, signed by Company Secretary or <u>Authorized Certificates</u> would be submitted by the company, not later than 15-02-2015. Please clarify what is the meaning of Audited certificates and Authorized Certificates?	Kindly refer to Amendment No. 3 to this NIA.
106.	In the current NIA, a provision has been made for partial payment in case partial spectrum is available in a LSA. While so, TTL has made the entire payments for spectrum taken under Dual Technology in 2008 itself, but till date, TTL has not been allocated GSM start-up spectrum in Delhi LSA and in 39 crucial districts in 9 LSAs, despite having made full payments in 2008 itself. What's the status of allocation of start-up GSM spectrum to TTL in Delhi and in 39 districts in 9 LSAs?	No change in NIA conditions.

Query No.	Query	Response
107.	What is the time line of spectrum becoming available in districts where it is currently not available?	No change in NIA conditions.
108.	As per 4.6 (page 44) "The Tripartite Agreement (TPA) as in the UAS licenses may be modified appropriately to include 'Spectrum', to facilitate funding of telecom projects." – We request DoT to explicitly mention the time lines for signing such agreements to avoid delays.	No change in NIA conditions.
109.	What is the future road map for auction of spectrum in various bands?	Schedule of subsequent auctions will be indicated from time to time.
110.	As per NIA, SUC has been notified as per DoT letter P- 14010/01/2014-NTG dated 31.10.2014. However, this order talks about SUC for 900 & 1800 MHz band only. Please clarify regarding SUC for 800 MHz spectrum bought in the current auction.	Orders w.r.t. applicable SUC may please be seen on DoT website from time to time.
111.	TRAI has given its recommendation on spectrum sharing and trading long ago. DoT has not issued the final guidelines. This information is crucial for bidders for participating in the current auction. Will DoT announce the spectrum sharing and trading guidelines before the commencement of auction? Is there a linkage between trading/sharing and liberalization of spectrum? Our understating is that non-liberalized spectrum is also eligible for trading and sharing. Please confirm.	Spectrum sharing trading guidelines are under consideration &will be issued in due course, which may not necessarily be before the Auction.
112.	Is trading and sharing allowed at the districts level or at the LSA level?	No change in NIA condition.
113.	The NIA (Clause 4.10) states that operators whose entire spectrum holding in a particular band is/has been liberalized will be permitted to share spectrum. We understand that no final	No change in NIA condition.

Query No.	Query	,					Response
	guidel						
	wheth	ner allocated administrat	ively or through a	uction. Please cl	arify?		
114.	Spect	rum Caps as indicated in	Table 5-D of the	Notice Inviting	Application		Kindly refer to
		parison of the overall sp defined in Dec, 2013 NIA	•	•	t NIA with respect to th	ne overall	Amendment No.2 to this NIA.
	S.		Overall Cap	Overall Cap	Difference Overall		
	No.	Service Area	(MHz) as per	(MHz) as per	Cap Jan,15 NIA V/s		
			Dec, 2013 NIA	Jan, 2015 NIA	Dec, 13 NIA (MHz)		
	1	Kolkata	66.38	61.38	(5.00)		
	2	Karnataka	67.88	62.90	(4.98)		
	3	Delhi	63.60	59.23	(4.37)		
	4	Maharashtra	63.83	59.70	(4.13)		
	5	Gujarat	63.65	59.98	(3.67)		
	6	Mumbai	67.93	64.43	(3.50)		
	7	Andhra Pradesh	67.50	64.90	(2.60)		
	8	Tamil Nadu	72.58	70.10	(2.48)		
	9	Kerala	68.93	67.40	(1.53)		
	10	Bihar	62.98	62.35	(0.63)		
	11	West Bengal	61.43	61.15	(0.28)		
	12	Rajasthan	64.48	64.48	-		
	13	Uttar Pradesh (West)	61.13	61.13	-		
	14	Orissa	64.93	65.55	0.62		
	15	Himachal Pradesh	62.08	63.33	1.25		
	16	Punjab	65.75	67.00	1.25		

ry	Query						Response	
	17	Uttar Pradesh (East)	62.03	64.18	2.15			
	18	Madhya Pradesh	65.15	67.38	2.23			
	19	Assam	54.08	56.70	2.62			
	20	Haryana	62.23	65.35	3.12			
	21	Jammu & Kashmir	49.65	54.03	4.38			
	22	North East	59.35	64.98	5.63			
	opera not re the co possil Furth define retros attrib	nderstand that the caps ators. In this regard, it is esult in lowering of special little and should be assigned in the NIA. The late of the change and woutable to them. This is een made by the operation.	submitted that ctrum caps as a could be assign a subsequent the operators owering of Could force the also unjustifie	at the surrender of the surrendered in the present auction.  procure spectrocaps in the sure operator to read/ impractical of	of spectrum by and of spectrum is alrested spectrum. However, and on the basis the obsequent auction addice their holding considering that a	y operator should eady allocated for ever, if that is not the spectrum caps a tantamount to gs for no reason lot of investment		
	has been made by the operator in network deployment basis the allocated spectrum within the erstwhile specified caps.							

Query	Response
for allocation for commercial use, including the one on account of surrender by some	
operators, even if it is not being put in the present auction. In any case, the spectrum Caps	
should not be lower than the ones prescribed in a prior auction.	
DoT is requested to kindly correct the above anomaly in respect of Overall Spectrum Cap as	
defined in Table 5-D of the NIA.	
Table 5-D & 5-E: Overall spectrum Cap & Cap in 1800 MHz band	
<ul> <li>a) The overall Spectrum cap in respect of Delhi, Mumbai, Assam and Kerala service area is lower than what it should be even if all the possible surrendered spectrum is excluded. It appears to be a calculation error which needs correction.</li> </ul>	a) Kindly refer to Amendment No.2 to this NIA.
b) As per table 5-E, the cap in 1800 MHz in Bihar LSA has been mentioned as 28.55 MHz. It is submitted that this cap is significantly lower than the 1800 MHz Cap for Bihar LSA prescribed in Dec, 13 NIA (i.e. 44.15 MHz). We presume that this is a calculation error.	b) Kindly refer to Amendment No 2 to this NIA.
- DoT is requested to correct the errors and revise the spectrum Caps.	
- DoT is also requested to publish the basis on which spectrum caps have been derived	
including spectrum holding of the operators, so that the errors can be corrected.	
Quantum of spectrum put up for auction in 800 MHz band:	No change in NIA
As per the NIA, only 103.75 paired spectrum is put to auction in 20 service areas. The total	conditions.
available spectrum in 800 MHz band is 2x20 MHz which, as per our knowledge, is fully available	
for cellular telephony. The table below indicates the additional spectrum in 800 MHz band	
which should have been put to auction:	
	for allocation for commercial use, including the one on account of surrender by some operators, even if it is not being put in the present auction. In any case, the spectrum Caps should not be lower than the ones prescribed in a prior auction.  DoT is requested to kindly correct the above anomaly in respect of Overall Spectrum Cap as defined in Table 5-D of the NIA.  Table 5-D & 5-E: Overall spectrum Cap & Cap in 1800 MHz band  a) The overall Spectrum cap in respect of Delhi, Mumbai, Assam and Kerala service area is lower than what it should be even if all the possible surrendered spectrum is excluded. It appears to be a calculation error which needs correction.  b) As per table 5-E, the cap in 1800 MHz in Bihar LSA has been mentioned as 28.55 MHz. It is submitted that this cap is significantly lower than the 1800 MHz Cap for Bihar LSA prescribed in Dec, 13 NIA (i.e. 44.15 MHz). We presume that this is a calculation error.  - DoT is requested to correct the errors and revise the spectrum Caps.  - DoT is also requested to publish the basis on which spectrum caps have been derived including spectrum holding of the operators, so that the errors can be corrected.  Quantum of spectrum put up for auction in 800 MHz band:  As per the NIA, only 103.75 paired spectrum is put to auction in 20 service areas. The total available spectrum in 800 MHz band is 2x20 MHz which, as per our knowledge, is fully available for cellular telephony. The table below indicates the additional spectrum in 800 MHz band

Query No.	у					Response
	Service Area	The spectrum Cap as per NIA	Additional spectrum which can be put to auction =(2x20 - spectrum Cap)/2	Spectrum being put to auction	Total paired spectrum which should be put to auction (in MHz)	
	Andhra Pradesh	15.00	5.00	6.25	11.25	
	Assam	16.25	3.75	11.25	15.00	
	Bihar	13.75	6.25	5.00	11.25	
	Delhi	16.25	3.75	3.75	7.50	
	Gujarat	13.75	6.25	2.50	8.75	
	Haryana	15.00	5.00	7.50	12.50	
	Himachal Pradesh	15.00	5.00	8.75	13.75	
	Jammu & Kashmir	13.75	6.25	8.75	15.00	
	Karnataka	15.00	5.00	1.25	6.25	
	Kolkata	13.75	6.25	1.25	7.50	
	Madhya Pradesh	16.25	3.75	6.25	10.00	
	Maharashtra	13.75	6.25	3.75	10.00	

Query No.	Query						Response
	Mumbai	16.25	3.75	7.50	11.25		
	North East	16.25	3.75	11.25	15.00		
	Orissa	15.00	5.00	7.50	12.50		
	Punjab	13.75	6.25	3.75	10.00		
	Tamil Nadu	15.00	5.00	1.25	6.25		
	Uttar Pradesh (East)	12.50	7.50	3.75	11.25		
	Uttar Pradesh (West)	13.75	6.25	1.25	7.50		
	West Bengal	13.75	6.25	1.25	7.50		
	Total	293.75	106.25	103.75	210.00		
	As indicated in the table available for auction. This a more than 5 MHz is available DoT is requested to make auction.	also increasonle is all the	es the availabili 20 LSAs with gr	ty of 800 MHz t eater than 10 N	o 210 MHz paired. Fo ИНz available in 13 LS	urther, SAs.	
117.	800 MHz band:						No change in NIA conditions.
	The NIA gives preferent - EMD required as a preferent control of existing the state of the state	percentage	of Reserve price	9	f:		

Query No.	Query	Response				
	- Making contiguous spectrum in 800 MHz band					
	- Spectrum Usage Charges					
	DoT is requested to clarify the reason for preferential treatment to 800 MHz band as					
	compared to 900/ 1800 MHz band. DoT is requested to give same treatment for 900/ 1800 MHz band as given to 800 MHz since all spectrum is liberalized and can be used					
	interchangeably for different technologies.					
118.	As per clause 2.1 (b) of the NIA:					
	"II. New entrant / licensees whose licenses are expiring in 2015-16/ Existing licensees whose licenses are not expiring in 2015-16 & holding spectrum only in 1800 MHz band would bid for a minimum of 5 MHz (paired) of spectrum in the 900 MHz band, except in West Bengal service area.	No change in NIA conditions.				
	III. In the West Bengal service area new entrant / licensees whose licenses are expiring in 2015-16/ Existing licensees whose licenses are not expiring in 2015- 16 & holding spectrum only in 1800 MHz band would bid for a minimum of 4.4 MHz (paired), which is the amount of spectrum available in this service area in the 900 MHz band."					
	In this regard, it is submitted that the case of North East service area is similar to the case of West Bengal Service Area, as indicated below:					
	<ul> <li>In West Bengal, only one license (i.e. RTL) is expiring and the spectrum being vacated in 900 MHz band is 4.4 MHz.</li> </ul>					
	- In North East, two licenses (i.e. RTL and Bharti Airtel Limited) are expiring and the spectrum being vacated in 900 MHz band is 8.8 MHz (i.e. 4.4 MHz each)					
	While the existing operator RTL has been provided a fair opportunity to take back its spectrum					

Query No.	Query	Response
	by bidding 4.4 MHz in West Bengal, the same dispensation is not provided in case of North East service area. In case of North East service area, both existing operators are required to bid for a minimum of 5 MHz, thereby creating an auction design which itself leads to a situation where only one operator out of the two expiring can win back its spectrum in North East and the other operator has to shut down its operations - a bizarre situation and one that is surely not intended.	
	DoT is requested to reconsider its decision and mandate lowering the minimum bidding	
	quantum in 900 MHz band by a New entrant / Extension Licensee in North East service area	
	in line with its decision to allow the same for West Bengal service area.	
119.	As per clause 2.1 (b) of the NIA:	No change in NIA conditions.
	"II. New entrant / licensees whose licenses are expiring in 2015-16/Existing licensees whose licenses are not expiring in 2015-16 & holding spectrum only in 1800 MHz band would bid for a minimum of 5 MHz (paired) of spectrum in the 900 MHz band, except in West Bengal service area."	
	DoT has mandated a minimum quantum of 5 MHz considering that a minimum of 5 MHz contiguous spectrum is required for deployment of newer technologies like 3G. It is submitted that with the advancement in technologies, newer technologies like 3G can be deployed with 4.4 MHz contiguous spectrum. This quantum is also sufficient for deployment of GSM networks.	
	Since the available spectrum is not in the multiple of 5MHz e.g. 15.6 MHz in 1 circle, 14 MHz in 4 circles, 12.4 MHz in 5 circles, 8.8. MHz in 1 circle, 6.2 MHz in 5 circles and 4.4 MHz in 1 circle. Keeping a block size of 5 MHz will essentially result in spectrum in excess of a multiple of 5 MHz	

Query No.	Query	Response
	either remains unsold or sold as a price of initial 5 MHz by the extension licensee as it does not allow the entry of an additional operator unless some existing operator is outbid and forced to exist the business.  Considering the above submissions, it is suggested that the minimum quantum of spectrum in	
	900 MHz band that a new entrant/ Existing licensee expiring in 2015-16/ Existing licensees whose licenses are not expiring in 2015-16 & holding spectrum only in 1800 MHz be kept at 4.4 MHz.	
	This will lead to a possibility of entry of an additional operator having 900MHz spectrum in many circles and allow business continuity in some circles. Further, it will also lower the probability of having unsold spectrum, thereby maximizing revenues for the exchequer.	
	DoT is therefore requested to consider that the minimum amount of spectrum in 900 MHz band that a new entrant/ Existing licensee whose license is expiring in 2015-16/ Existing licensees whose licenses are not expiring in 2015-16 & holding spectrum only in 1800 MHz is required to bid is kept at 4.4 MHz for all service areas.	
120.	Interference Issue: The issue of heavy interference persists in 2100 MHz spectrum allocated to Airtel in J&K through auction in 2100 MHz band in April 2010.	Spectrum being put to auction is on "as is where is" basis.
	Airtel individually and vide the association has been representing this issue of interference in 3G band since 2011 to the DoT, WPC and WMOs at various occasions. While WPC, vide its letter dated July 11, 2011, has itself acknowledged that in some cases /locations the source of interference appears to be from across the border, till date the issue has not been resolved and Airtel is struggling to get clean spectrum in a large part of LSA, despite paying auction determined price for interference free and "usable" spectrum.	

Query No.	Query	Response
	The delay in resolution of this interference issue is adversely affecting the desired 3G expansion / roll out plans in J&K service area leading to a considerable and continuous drain on the operational cost and loss of potential revenues.	
	In this regard, your attention is also drawn towards TRAI's recommendations on Valuation and Reserve Price of Spectrum: 2100 MHz Band dated December 31, 2014;	
	"4.5 The Authority recommends that the issue of interference, reported in the 2100 MHz band in some LSAs, needs to be resolved before putting fresh spectrum blocks to auction in these LSAs. Further, it is imperative to ensure that spectrum blocks being put to auction are interference-free."  In view of the above and availability of spectrum in 2100 MHz for auction, we request DoT the following:	
	<ul> <li>a) Interference prone spectrum allocated to Airtel in J&amp;K is replaced with an equal amount of interference free spectrum before putting any new spectrum to auction.</li> <li>b) Since the networks could not be rolled out due to interferences, the period for rollout obligations should start from the date of provisioning of interference free spectrum.</li> <li>c) Further, DoT should guarantee that the spectrum coming up for auction in all bands is free from interference and suitable for rolling out a good quality communication networks.</li> </ul>	
121.	Spectrum Usage Charges payable on 800 MHz spectrum:  As per clause 3.7 of the NIA, the operator is required to pay Spectrum Usage Charges as per the present rates prescribed in letter nos. P-11014/18/2008-PP dated Feb 25 <sup>th</sup> 2010 & P-14010/01/2014-NTG dated 31st October 2014.	Orders w.r.t. applicable SUC may please be seen on DoT website from time to time.
	As per our understanding, the spectrum usage charge is 5% on the spectrum to be allocated via	

Query No.	Query					Response
	this au indicat escalat spectrubetwee					
	Theref	ore, DoT is requ	ested to ens	ure the same SUC for all type	of spectrum bands, including	;
	800 MI	Hz band, in this	auction.			
122.	Annex	ure 2B – 900 MH	Iz carrier			Kindly refer to
	Presen	tly, as per the in	formation a	vailable on 900 MHz, 1.6 MHz	of spectrum in AP, Karnataka	
	Punjab	& 3 to this NIA.				
	S No	LSA	Quantum	Frequency range (MHz)	Allocated in	
					(Operator)	
	1	AP	1.6 MHz	910.5 - 912.1/ 955.5 -	Hyderabad only	
				957.1	(Airtel)	
	2	Karnataka	1.6 MHz	910.5 - 912.1/ 955.5 -	Bangalore only	
				957.1	(Airtel)	
	3	Gujarat	1.6 MHz	910.5 - 912.1/ 955.5 -	Ahmedabad	
				957.1	(Vodafone)	
	4	Punjab	1.6 MHz	910.5 - 912.1/ 955.5 -	Chandigarh &	
				957.1	Ludhiana only (Airtel)	
	5	Maharashtra	1.6 MHz	910.5 - 912.1/ 955.5 -	- (Idea)	
				957.1		
	Howev entire					

Query No.	Query	Response
	DoT is requested to confirm in respect of all the service areas that the frequency spots as	
	indicated in Annexure 2B will be available in entire LSA.	
123.	Harmonization in 1800 MHz band to make available contiguous spectrum:	Harmonization of
	DoT vide the Feb, 14 auctions and the current auction is auctioning spectrum in 1800 MHz	spectrum is an
	band. A major chunk of the same is non-contiguous and cannot be used for deployment of	ongoing exercise.
	newer technologies. Therefore, harmonization of the spectrum is extremely critical for the use	
	of the spectrum by the operators.	
	Further, in order to plan their networks, operators require a timeline by which the allocated	
	spectrum will be made contiguous so that they can deploy newer technologies like LTE on the	
	spectrum acquired in 1800 MHz band.	
	DoT is requested to kindly indicate timelines by which the harmonization exercise will be completed for the spectrum in 1800 MHz band.	
124.	Gap between Mock Auction and Start of Auction:	Kindly refer to
	As per the timetable, indicated in Clause 1.4, there is no gap between the Mock Auction and	Amendment No.3
	the Start of the Auction.	to NIA. Further,
		handholding
	It is submitted that consequent to the mock auction, the bidder may be required to make some	sessions for
	technical changes/corrections which may arise during the mock auction. It is therefore	familiarization with
	essential to have some time gap between the end of mock auction and the start of the auction.	Auction engine would be provided
	DoT is requested to kindly provide a gap of at least two days between end of mock auction	to pre-qualified
	and start of auction.	bidders before
		mock auction,
		(session time/dates and details would
		be intimated to

Query No.	Query						Response		
							pre-qualified bidders by Auction Administrator).		
125.	Tables 5-H observed the	<b>EMD requirement as per Table 5-H and 5-J:</b> Tables 5-H & 5-J shows the amount of EMD required to be submitted by the bidders. It is observed that the EMD amount has been significantly increased as compared to last auction in Feb, 2014. Following table shows the quantum of increase in EMD requirement:-							
		Feb '14 Auction	900 MHz	1800 MHz	800 MHz	]			
		No. of Circles	3	22	-				
		RP per block (In Rs. Cr.)	813.0	353.0	-				
		EMD per block (In Rs. Cr.)	87.8	76.8	-	from the above			
		EMD as % to RP	10.8%	21.7%	-				
	lt in alasa	NIA Jan'15	900 MHz	1800 MHz	800 MHz				
	It is clear	No. of Circles	17	15	20				
	tables that:	RP per block (In Rs. Cr.)	679.8	285.0	4,278.8				
	triat.	EMD per block (In Rs. Cr.)	200.5	94.5	665.0				
		EMD as % to RP	29.5%	33.2%	15.5%				
	• E • T 1 • E DoT is reque	MD amount as % of reserve pr MD for 900/1800 MHz band is the EMD amount in some circ 800 MHz band is as high as 54 MD is higher than upfront pays ested to correct this anomaly reserve price for all bands u	almost double cles namely U -56% of the Re ment in some o <b>by reducing t</b>	of EMD for 8 P(East), UP(Veserve Price. Cases he EMD requ	300 MHz band Vest) & HP i	n respect of			

Query No.	Quer	У								Response	
	differential EMDs for bands.										
126.	Reserve Price of 900 MHz band as per Table 5-B of the NIA:  TRAI had determined the value of 900 MHz band as Rs. 338.64 Crs /MHz and Rs. 357.15 Crs/ MHz in AP and Karnataka service areas, respectively. Based on this, the reserve price is recommended to be Rs. 271 Crs/ MHz and Rs. 286 Crs/ MHz for AP & Karnataka service areas respectively.  However, there seems to be an arbitrary price increase (even beyond the value prescribed by TRAI) for service areas like Andhra Pradesh and Karnataka. The reserve price stated in the NIA								ed by	No change in condition.	NIA
127.	than there <b>DoT i EMD</b> The t	459 Crs/MHz and the value of the s is no rationale of s requested to co & Eligibility Point able below indica 800 MHz band.	pectrum are keeping the rect the res	rived by TR e reserve pr serve price	AI using vaice higher to	rious mod han the va z band for	lels for valualue recomm	ation. Therenended by Taka.	RAI.	Kindly refer Amendment to this NIA.	to No.2
			900 MHz b	and		1800 MH	Iz Band				
	SI. No	Name of Telecom Circle / Metro Service Area	Reserve Price per Block (In INR in Cr.)	Require d Deposit per Block (In INR in Cr.)	Eligibilit y Points per Block	Reserv e Price per Block (In INR in Cr.)	Required Deposit per Block (In INR in Cr.)	Eligibilit y Points per Block			

Query No.	Quer	у								Respons	e	
	1	Andhra Pradesh	91.8	24.3	143.0	33.8	10.5	58.0				
	2	Bihar	29.6	10.5	58.0	12.4	5.0	25.0				
	3	Gujarat	84.8	24.3	143.0	47.6	10.5	58.0				
	4	Haryana	15.2	5.0	25.0	6.4	2.3	10.0				
	5	Himachal Pradesh	5.4	2.3	10.0	1.8	1.0	4.0				
	6	Karnataka	74.0	24.3	143.0	37.0	10.5	58.0				
	7	Kerala	33.6	10.5	58.0	15.0	5.0	25.0				
	8	North East	5.8	2.3	10.0	2.2	1.0	4.0				
	9	Odisha	13.4	5.0	25.0	4.6	2.3	10.0				
	10	Punjab	30.2	10.5	58.0	14.2	5.0	25.0				
	11	Rajasthan	39.4	10.5	58.0	12.0	5.0	25.0				
	12	Uttar Pradesh (East)	45.6	10.5	58.0	19.4	10.5	58.0				
	13	Uttar Pradesh (West)	34.0	10.5	58.0	19.0	10.5	58.0				
	It car	n be seen from th	ne table abo	ve that wh	nile EMD a	nd Eligibili	ty points ar	e higher fo	r 900			
	MHz	compared to 180	0 MHz in an	y particulai	r service ar	ea, the sar	me is not the	e case in re	spect			
	of UP(East) and UP(West) service areas wherein the EMD and eligibility points for both 900											
	MHz and 1800 MHz band are equal.											
	It app	pears to be an err	or and henc	e DoT is re	quested to	correct th	e same.					
128.	Eligib	oility Points:								Kindly	refer	to
	Prese	ently,								Amendm	ent	No.2

Query No.	Query	Response
	<ul> <li>the eligibility points per block in respect of 1800 MHz band and 900 MHz band in the service areas have the following values i.e. 4, 10, 25, 58 &amp; 143.</li> <li>the eligibility points per block in respect of 800 MHz band have the following values i.e. 58, 143, 224, 377 &amp; 462</li> <li>It can be seen that the eligibility points in different service area are not in multiple of the minimum/ lowest eligibility points. For example: presently, 1 block of 900 MHz in AP is worth 143 points as compared to a 900 MHz block in Bihar which is 58 points. Thus, a bidder moving from say AP circle will be able to bid for two blocks in Bihar LSA but will lose 27 (143-116) points in the process. Consequently, it will not be able to return back to bidding 1 block in AP circle in case of an excess demand in Bihar.</li> </ul>	to this NIA.
	Eligibility Points per block should be in multiple of the lowest block to allow easy switching between service areas else there will always be some left over points and no switching will be possible.	
	It is, therefore, recommended that the eligibility points be in multiples of say 5 with eligibility points for different service areas per block being i.e. 5, 10, 25, 50, 100, 125 etc.	
	DoT is requested to consider this aspect and amend the eligibility points in respect of different bands and service areas.	
129.	Clause 4.10 & 4.11 on Spectrum Sharing and Spectrum Trading DoT is requested to indicate the timelines by which the guidelines on Spectrum Sharing and Spectrum Trading is likely to be issued.	Spectrum sharing trading guidelines are under consideration &will be issued in due course, which may
		not necessarily be before the Auction.

Query No.	Query				Response					
130.	spectrum allocated years in v Again, in	In reference to the auction of spectrum concluded in Feb, 2014, it is submitted that 1.6 MHz of spectrum ranging from 1715.3 – 1716.9 MHz /1810.3 – 1811.9 MHz is learnt to have been allocated to Vodafone in Gujarat despite it being allocated and in use by Airtel since last 12 years in various towns of Baruch and Rajkot district. The issue is yet to be resolved. Again, in the present NIA, following frequencies presently allocated to Airtel have been included for auction:-								
	S No	Service Area	Frequency Range put to auction in the NIA (MHz)	Remarks						
	1	UP (East)	890.1-896.3/ 935.1-941.3	Presently allocated to Airtel in entire service area						
	2	Bihar	890.1-896.3/ 935.1-941.3	Presently allocated to Airtel in entire service area						
	3	Orissa	890.1-896.3/935.1-941.3	Presently allocated to Airtel in entire service area						
	4	Assam	894.5-896.3/ 939.5-941.3	Presently allocated to Airtel in entire service area						
	5	Gujarat	1732.9-1733.1/ 1827.9- 1828.1	Presently allocated to Airtel in Ahmedabad, Baruch & Rajkot district						
	6	Karnataka	1744.5-1744.7/ 1839.5- 1839.7	Allocated to Airtel for entire LSA in Oct, 14 (Feb, 14						

Query No.	Query	Response
	auctions)	
	In view of above, DoT is requested to: - Correct the above mentioned spots in Annexure 2A - Verify and confirm that the frequency spots being put to auction have not been	
	already allocated and in use by some other operator.	
131.	Roll Out Obligations	NIA is self-
	Clause 3.6.1 (iv) (a) of Roll Out Obligations state the following:	explanatory.
	"However, all Unified Access Service Licensees who had won additional spectrum in	
	November, 2012 auction and whose UASL has already been amended to include roll-out	
	obligation as defined in Phase 3 to Phase 5 above, will not be required to undertake the	
	additional roll-out obligation other than what has already been specified as per amendment	
	issued in June, 2013 to their UASL in this respect."	
	It is submitted that additional roll-out obligations should not be applicable to the licensees who have won spectrum in Mar, 13 and Feb, 14 and whose licenses have been amended to include the same.	
	DoT is therefore requested to kindly amend the clause and include the spectrum won in Mar, 13 and Feb, 14 auctions.	
132.	In the Feb, 14 auctions, DoT indicated clearly the 5 MHz block that will be allocated in 900 MHz	
	band basis the ranking of operators as indicated below:	
	"Regarding allocation of 5 MHz blocks in 900 MHz, it is further clarified that allocation of 5 MHz blocks will be done in the following order, as per the ranks of such bidders who win 5	
	MHz block spectrum:-	Kindly refer to Amendment No.3 to this NIA.

Query No.	Query	Response
	Mumbai:	
	1. 910-914.8 MHz	
	2. 895.2-900 MHz	
	3. 890.2-895 MHz	
	Delhi:	
	1. 900.2-905 MHz	
	2. 895.2-900 MHz	
	3. 890.2-895 MHz	
	Kolkata:	
	1. 895.2-900 MHz	
	2. 890.2-895 MHz"	
	This gives better visibility to the operators in terms of the 900 MHz blocks that will be allocated	
	consequent to their rankings in the auction.	
	DoT should adopt the same rationale and the information in respect of the blocks that will be	
	allocated consequent to the rankings in each service area be made known in advance.	
	- DoT is therefore, requested to kindly provide similar confirmation for all services	
	areas for 900 MHz, 1800 MHz and 800 MHz band.	
	DoT is also required to slowify, how the spectrum ellegation take release arrange	
	- DoT is also requested to clarify, how the spectrum allocation take place among	

Query No.	Query		Response
	b	idders in circles with partial spectrum availability across circle?	
133.	As per c	ause 2.1 (b) (IV)	No change in NIA condition.
		existing licensees whose licenses are not expiring in 2015-16 and holding spectrum in MHz band in a service area may be allowed to bid for a minimum of 0.6 MHz (paired)."	
	In ca MHz via a with		
134.	Resourc	es Transfer:	In this respect, NIA
		ay please confirm that the clearances and approvals already taken by the	مممئلمامانيم اللممانيم
	compan	are self-	
	and app	explanatory.	
	continui inter alia		
	S.	Particulars	
	No		
	1	Frequencies for Microwave Backhaul (MW Access and Backbone carriers)	
	2	Access codes, MSC codes	
	3	MCC & MNC Codes	
	4	SP Codes	
	5	Mobile / Fixed Line Numbering Series	
	6	Telemarketing numbering series	
	8	Location Routing Number (LRN) codes	

Query No.	Query		Response
	9	SACFA clearance certificates	
	10	Wireless Operating License	
	11	Import Licenses	
	12	Other administratively assigned frequencies	
	13	Lawful interception clearances	
	14	Rollout obligations/certifications from TEC/ TERM cells	
	15	Approvals related to launch of 2G, 3G and fixed line services and other service	
		authorization permissions	
	16	EMF test certificates	
	17	Remote Access permissions	
	18	Various security clearances including security policy filed with DoT and Audit	
		Certificates	
	19	Permissions for various products/services and other miscellaneous approvals	
		is requested to kindly confirm the above.	
135.	Annexur	e to the application as indicated via clause 8.3.1.1	
	The Ann	exure to the application requires the applicant to submit its status as that of an existing	To be provided
	licensee	$^\prime$ new entrant. In this regard, it is submitted that the status of the applicant being an	band-wise, kindly
	existing l	icensee/ new entrant is dependent upon various spectrum band.	refer to Amendment No.3
		nerefore requested to make necessary amendments in respect of the annexure to the	to this NIA.
126		ion (Clause 8.3.1.1) to incorporate various spectrum bands.	Visalli, safas ka
136.	_	) of the Undertaking in respect of Service Area(s) where neither the Applicant nor any	Kindly refer to Amendment No. 3
		Associated Licensees has an existing UASL/ CMTSL/ UL(AS)/Unified licence with	to this NIA.
		ation for access service at Section 8.3.3 states as below:	to this MA.
	"(f) 1	hat in case an application for a Unified Licence with authorisation of access service in	

Query No.	Query	Response
	specified service area(s)/ authorisation of access service in specified service area(s) by the Nominated License Applicant /New Entrant Nominated Unified License Applicant has not been made within seven (7) days of the Applicant being declared a <b>Successful applicant</b> , the Government shall have the right to curtail / revoke the spectrum;"	
	In this regard, it is submitted that as per Supplementary Queries & Responses dated 13 <sup>th</sup> January, 2014, DoT clarified via Query No. 5 that "Successful applicant" may be read as "Successful bidder".  DoT is requested to make similar amendment in the present NIA.	
137.	Clause 2.3 (Technology) states the following:	No change in NIA conditions.
	"If the auction determined price is more than one year old then the prevailing market rates would be determined by indexing the last auction process at the rate of SBI PLR"	
	Similarly, Clause 2.1 in case of allotment of partial spectrum states the following:	
	"Bid amount as mentioned above will be collected subject to the condition that the amount to be collected in future at the time of providing balance spectrum would be the balance prorated bid amount indexed on the SBI PLR prevalent for the period between finalisation of bid price and actual allocation made."	
	In both the scenario of spectrum being liberalised at a future date or payment for partial spectrum, the SBI PLR is being used for indexing the auction determined price.  DoT is requested to kindly consider the indexation of the prices with the SBI Base Rate as against SBI PLR since the SBI Base Rate reflects the actual borrowing cost of government and operators.	
138.	Clause 2.1 in case of allotment of partial spectrum states the following:  "Bid amount as mentioned above will be collected subject to the condition that the amount	Kindly refer to Amendment No. 3

Query No.	Query	Response
	to be collected in future at the time of providing balance spectrum would be the balance prorated bid amount indexed on the SBI PLR prevalent for the period between finalisation of bid price and actual allocation made."	to this NIA.
	DoT is requested to kindly clarify the deferred payment methodology in case of allotment of partial spectrum with an example.	
139.	The licenses due for extension in 2015-16 are being termed as New Entrants and the New Entrants are being asked to furnish an Undertaking vide Clause 8.3.3 to obtain a Unified License.	NIA is self- explanatory.
	DoT is requested to kindly clarify that only the Group Bidding Entity is required to furnish the above undertaking on behalf of the Associated Licensee also in cases where the licenses are	
140.	expiring in 2015-16. 2100 MHz auction:	
	The NIA mentions that "The details regarding 2100 MHz band will be issued later."	
	In this regard it is submitted that the details in respect of 2100 MHz would include: - the quantum of spectrum being put to auction;	Kindly refer to Amendment No.1
	- the reserve price of auction;	and Amendment
	- categorization of operators;	No.2 to this NIA.
	- eligibility of operators;	
	- rollout obligations;	
	<ul> <li>provision of making new and existing spectrum allocation in 2100 MHz contiguous;</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>associated overall spectrum caps and band specific caps;</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>associated EMD, Eligibility points, price increments;</li> </ul>	
	- effective date of spectrum;	
	- spectrum usage charges etc.	

Query No.	Query	Response
	The details may require further clarification and amendments.	
	DoT is therefore requested the following:	
	<ul> <li>Provide sufficient time to the bidders for raising queries subsequent to DoT furnishing the details in respect of 2100 MHz band.</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Provide at least 15 days for submission of application from the date of issuance of all clarifications in order to enable the prospective bidders to take an informed decision.</li> </ul>	
141.	Clause 4.5 (Payment Terms)	No change in NIA
	The licenses of some operators are due for extension in Dec, 2015 to Apr-2016. The effective	conditions.
	date of spectrum allocation is also proposed to be from the date of expiry of existing licensees	
	2015-15 in respective service areas	
	However as per the NIA, the winning bidder is required to pay for the spectrum acquired	
	through the said auction upfront or through a deferred payment mechanism with an applicable rate of interest.	
	Considering the fact that the license of the existing players is valid up to Dec, 2015 – Apr, 2016,	
	the successful bidders, who would get spectrum in this auction, should be provided with an	
	option of making the payment at the time of acquiring spectrum. This is more so in case of	
	acquired spectrum having effective date as Apr, 2016 which is not even in the FY 2015-16 i.e. two financial years later.	
	DoT is therefore requested to give the option of paying for the acquired spectrum in 900 MHz band at a later date i.e. the effective date. This is more so in case of spectrum being made	
	available in Apr, 2016 which lies in FY 2016-17. DoT for the time period may get the payment secured with a bank guarantee. Please clarify?	

Query No.	Query	Response
142.	Clause 4.5 (a) states:  "Full upfront payment within 10 days of declaration of final price or pre-payment of one or more annual instalments; or"	NIA is self- explanatory.
	<ul> <li>Does this mean that a successful bidder can prepay one of the instalments resulting from this auction, in case deferred payment option is availed.</li> <li>DoT is requested to clarify the pre-payment with an example.</li> </ul>	
143.	Clause 4.5 (Payment Terms)  For deferred payments the interest rate indicated is 10% fixed.  Given the declining interest rate environment, can this rate be benchmarked to an external rate e.g. a SBI Base Rate or a GSEC rate that will reflect the base for a corporate's cost of borrowing. This can be done periodically, say on an annual date.  DoT is requested to clarify?	No change in NIA conditions.
144.	Clause 4.5 (Payment Terms)  As per the clause in respect of deferred payment, the successful bidder is required to securitize the next instalment by way of an equivalent FBG.  In this regard, it is submitted that clause 4.5 (b) (viii) & (x) already provide for penalties including termination of license and spectrum. Further, such FBGs cause a large burden on operators.  DoT is requested to dispense with the requirement of securing an instalment by equivalent FBG	No change in NIA conditions.
145.	Clause 4.5 (Payment Terms) As per payment terms (upfront or deferred), payment has to be made within 10 days.  In case the 10 <sup>th</sup> day of payment is a Sunday/Holiday, then the payment date should be the next business day.  DoT is requested to clarify.	NIA is self-explanatory.

Query No.	Query	Response
146.	In the Feb 2014 auction, EMD wrt 900 MHz was on an average 11.7% of the RP on per MHz basis. In the current NIA released on 9 <sup>th</sup> January 2015, EMD for 900 MHz on per MHz basis is now working out to be 32.6% of the RP. In some of the circles like HP & WB, avg. EMD per MHz as %age of RP is as high as 42% and 47 % respectively. Similarly, for 1800 MHz average EMD per MHz as a percentage of RP has increased to 39% for Feb'15 auction as compared to an avg. of 27.7 % in Feb'14auction. In fact, EMD as %age of RP is as high as 55% in circles like UP (E) &UP (W). Clarity is required on the reason for such a high variance/increase in EMD from the previous auction?	
147.	DoT vide its amendment to UL dated 13 Nov 2014 for 'Reassignment of resources on expiry of licenses to new UL' has allowed reassigning of coverage test certificates issued to existing licensees as part of compliance to roll out obligations. However current NIA is silent on this aspect. Please clarify?	Kindly refer para 3.2, 3.3 of NIA.
148.	DoT vide its amendment to UL dated 13 Nov 2014 for 'Reassignment of resources on expiry of licenses to new UL' has allowed 'Backhaul spectrum' to be reassigned to existing licensee on migration to new UL. However current NIA is silent on this aspect. Please clarify?	Kindly refer para 3.2, 3.3 of NIA.
149.	RTL is currently holding 1.8 MHz of 1800 MHz in WB circle and the same is expiring in Dec 2015. However current NIA has not included this 1.8 MHz for auction. <b>DoT may clarify the reason for not auctioning this spectrum in the forthcoming auction.</b>	No change in NIA conditions.
150.	Clause 3.2(xii) on page 23 of the current NIA mandates 'a lock in period for equity of a person whose share capital is 10% or more in the bidding company/group bidding entity/associated licensee/Nominee company on the effective date of UL and whose Net-worth has been taken in to consideration for determining the eligibility for bidding for spectrum, till completion of 3 years from date of allotment of spectrum or till fulfilment of all roll out obligations whichever is later'.	Lock-in condition for promoters' equity will be applicable as per the NIA, if the existing licensee uses promoters' networth for

Query No.	Query	Response
	A. Clarity is required if in case the group bidding entity bids in the auction and spectrum is assigned to the associated licensee then the lock in condition of 3 years will be applied to group bidding entity or only the associated licensee?	gaining eligibility to bid in a band, where it does not hold spectrum. This is applicable to
	B. DoT may kindly confirm in case of licencees whose licences are expiring in 2015 will not be subject to lock in period as their licence have already been existing for 20 years.	holders of expiring licenses as well.
151.	A TSP as an existing licensee since 1997 in various circles including Mumbai. In case, it wants to bid for 2100 MHz in Mumbai where it has only spectrum bands of 800 & 1800 MHz, it would be deemed a New Entrant. It has negative networth. So it uses its promoters' networth to become eligible to bid. Para 3.2 (xii) in NIA, reads as follows"Further, these conditions are not applicable to existing licensees with effective date of license as three years prior to date of allotment of spectrum as per terms and conditions of this NIA and period of license of existing operators as on date of auction shall be counted towards Lock-in period while being treated as new entrant for that service area. The lock-in period commences from the effective date as defined in NIA".  Given that TSP's license in Mumbai is greater than 3 years old, it wants to bid for 2100 MHz in Mumbai where it has only 800 & 1800 MHz, it uses its promoters' networth to gain eligibility, please clarify that lock-in provisions to its promoters' equity in the Company will not apply?	Lock-in condition for promoters' equity will be applicable as per the NIA, if the existing licensee uses promoters' networth for gaining eligibility to bid in a band, where it does not hold spectrum. This is applicable to holders of expiring licenses as well.
152.	Clause 4.10 of NIA on <b>spectrum sharing</b> states that only the liberalized spectrum will be allowed to share. Clarity is required on this aspect as TRAI guidelines have already allowed sharing of non liberalized spectrum?	Spectrum sharing trading guidelines are under consideration &will

Query No.	Query	Response
		be issued in due
		course, which may
		not necessarily be
		before the Auction.
153.	DOT is auctioning 800 MHz spectrum in 20 circles with high reserve price of the spectrum as	
	compared to the last auction, there may not be very active participation by the operators for	NIA is self-
	acquiring 800 MHz spectrum in all the 20 circles. DOT vide this NIA has also permitted	explanatory.
	liberalization of 800 MHz spectrum by paying the auction determined price on pro rata basis	
	for the balance period of the validity of the spectrum.	
	DOT may kindly confirm that the price for liberalization of spectrum will be the Reserve Price	
	instead of the auction determined price in respect of the circles where the spectrum will not	
	be bid by any of the operators.	
154.	As per the current NIA clause 3.9 (a), TSPs would be allowed to convert their existing spectrum	NIA is self-
	holding in 800 MHz into liberalized spectrum only for the balance validity period of the	explanatory.
	spectrum assignment on payment of the auction determined amount. Please confirm:	
	A. When and how the conversions of the existing spectrum to liberalized spectrum happen?	
	B. Will it happen concurrently with the conclusion of the February 2015 auction or liberalization happen after the auction?	
	C. When does the TSP need to effect the payment for liberalization of the spectrum?	
	What about the credit for the price paid to get the License i.e., the operators have	

Query No.	Query		Response
		earlier paid (Rs 1658 crore per technology for pan India) for acquiring these spectrum, will this amount be adjusted while converting existing holdings into liberalized one?	
	D.	If a TSP wins 800 MHz spectrum in this auction which is liberalized and makes the currently held 800 MHz spectrum obtained through administrative allocation liberalized after paying the price differential, then its exiting spectrum and spectrum acquired through auction will be made contiguous as DoT is obliged to make this happen by whatever means necessary?	
	E.	Is liberalization of existing spectrum and to make this contiguous with the spectrum acquired through current auction is contingent upon any other terms to be full filled by the operators apart from effecting payment?	
	F.	Is there a fixed time frame to get the existing 800 MHz band liberalized after making the payment? Particularly, in view of the past instance when the DoT has taken 12 months to allocate the spectrum won in the last auction.	
	G.	Whether the conversion of the existing spectrum to liberalize can happen at LSA wise and quantum wise as per the option exercised by the operator?	
	н.	If a TSP does not proceed with immediate liberalization of the existing spectrum and opt for it at a later date, how will the liberalization take place? Will the total liberalized spectrum (i.e., administrated allocated spectrum liberalized through payment of fee plus spectrum allocated through the February 2015 auction) be	

Query No.	Query	Response	
	made contiguous then as DoT is obliged to make this happen as per terms of NIA?		
155.	The licenses of the CDMA operators are due for renewal in 2017 and onwards. <b>DOT may clarify</b>	Not related to	
	that the operators will be allotted spectrum in the existing 800 MHz band only and not 1900	present auction.	
	MHz at the time of renewal as 1900 MHz spectrum reserved so far for the expansion of		
	CDMA operators, will no longer be available as the same is being swapped with 2100 MHz.		
156.	As per NIA, there is no 800 MHz spectrum is being put to auction in Rajasthan and Kerala	Schedule of	
	circles. DOT may kindly indicate the likely availability of this spectrum in near future.	subsequent auctions will be indicated from time to time.	
157.	The existing operators are allowed to bid for a minimum of 0.6 MHz of spectrum in 900 MHz and 1800 MHz bands and a minimum of 1.25 MHz in 800 MHz spectrum band. The validity of this additional spectrum is 20 years. The existing spectrum will in anyway be having validity much less than 20 years. It is possible that due to certain reasons, the operator is not able to acquire sufficient spectrum in the auction at the time of renewal and accordingly will be left with inadequate spectrum for the continuity of the services.  DOT may kindly confirm that to take care of such exigencies, DOT will allow the operators to get their existing spectrum liberalized by paying the market determined price in instalments in line with the current payment framework, at any time before the expiry of the validity. The validity of this liberalized spectrum will be either coterminous with the validity of the spectrum acquired through auction or 20 years.	No change in NIA condition.	
158.	Clause 3.6.1 (iii) & (iv) on page 26 of the NIA mandates, BHQ level coverage for meeting the Roll out obligations for the New and the existing Licensee. DoT may please confirm that self certificates from the licensees will be accepted for meeting the BHQ level Roll out coverage in case DOT still insist on testing to be done by TERM cell, self certified maps for the BHQ should	No change in NIA conditions.	

Query No.	Query	Response
	be accepted as BHQ level Maps have not been made available to licensees	
159.	DoT may please clarify that Roll out obligations met by a licensee for one band will be considered as compliance for the roll out obligation for another band in a same LSA i.e. can a Licensee be allowed to fulfill the roll out obligations via one band even if he has two or three set of frequency band in a given LSA.	No change in NIA conditions.
160.	Kindly refer to page 9 of the NIA - clause 2.1, regarding spectrum put up for auction. Kindly confirm whether all available spectrum in 2100/1800/900/800 MHz bands is being put up for auction as recommended by TRAI.	No change in NIA conditions.
161.	No spectrum has been identified or shown for 2100 MHz band. Kindly confirm that at least 4 blocks of 5 MHz spectrum are being put up for auction 2100 MHz band.  The DoT would acknowledge that never before has an NIA been issued where a spectrum band stated in the Title Header is not detailed in the main document. Even the pre bid conference has been held based on an incomplete and partial NIA.  a. Kindly inform the exact date on when all information necessary and critical for NIA would be available.  b. In view of partial information available, another pre-bid meeting based on a complete NIA would be necessarily required. Please inform the date of the pre-bid conference.  c. The timeline following the NIA can only start once the complete and correct NIA is issued. Besides the fact that there are no details pertaining to 2100 MHz in the NIA rendering it incomplete, the NIA also has several clear errors in spectrum details, EMD etc. and several anomalies, which are covered later. In light of this a new timeline is required providing adequate time (last time the gap was 53 days from Dec 12, 2013 to February 3, 2014) from the issue of complete and accurate NIA to the start of auction. Please inform the revised timetable for the auction based on the complete NIA.	NIA is self-explanatory.  a., b. and c. Kindly refer to Amendment No.1 and Amendment No.2 to this NIA.
162.	TRAI in its recommendation dated 15.10.2014 has recommended that DoT should announce the roadmap for auction of 700 MHz before the conduct of auction 900/1800 MHz band. Please provide details on spectrum availability and auction roadmap for 700 MHz spectrum band.	Schedule of subsequent auctions will be notified separately.

Query No.	Query				Response
163.	trading. T	he DoT has sta	ated that the guidelines would	trum sharing and clause 4.11 on spectrum be issued in due course. The same query too and the response from DoT was as	trading guidelines
	Nov'12 Auction	Queries & Responses 18th September, 2012	spectrum sharing/ transfer shall be allowed.	holding in a particular band (900 / 1800 & 800 MHz) is / has been liberalized, would be permitted to share spectrum without any additional onetime spectrum charge. Detailed guidelines regarding sharing of spectrum and one time charges payable for liberalizing spectrum currently held would be issued in due course.	course, which may not necessarily be before the Auction.
	Mar'13 Auction	Queries & Responses 20th February, 2013	When will DoT announce spectrum sharing guidelines?	Detailed guidelines regarding sharing of spectrum would be issued in due course.	
	Feb'14 Auction	Queries & Responses 2nd January, 2014	Please clarify the spectrum pooling /leasing policy for the spectrum put to auction before the auction so that Bidders can make informed decision.	The spectrum trading and sharing guidelines will be as notified by the government from time to time.	
	spectrum was issue to the ressent its reissued be	transfer have d and we can source being commendatio fore the start	been issued by DoT. More the thoot have auction after auction auctioned, especially when such on the subjects. In view of the auction so that operate	s, no guidelines on spectrum sharing and han a year has elapsed since the last NIA without clarity on basic policy applicable afficient time has elapsed since the TRA he above, kindly confirm guidelineswill be tors can participate with clarity on policy of guidelines on spectrum sharing and	
164.	Active an	on these till	date. It is recommended that	y. However no license amendment has at the same be done before start of the sharing to plan for bidding. Please indicate	sharing is

Query No.	Query	Response
	when the license amendment would be issued?	permitted as per extant guidelines/ license conditions.
165.	Please refer page 31 of the NIA – Clause 3.7, states as under – A spectrum usage charge in addition to the spectrum auction price as a percentage of the Adjusted Gross Revenue (AGR) shall be payable by the successful bidder as per the rates notified by the Government from time to time.  The present rates of SUC are as prescribed in letter nos. P-11014/18/2008-PP dated Feb 25th 2010 & P- 14010/01/2014-NTG dated 31st October 2014.  Letter of Feb 25, 2010 specifies SUC rate for GSM Spectrum and CDMA spectrum.  Letter of October 31, 2014 provides SUC rate for spectrum auctioned in Feb, 2014 (900 and 1800 MHz) and the principle of weighted average where applicable.  Please confirm and provide clarity for the following –  a. What is the rate applicable for spectrum to be auctioned in 2015? We understand that it is 5%, but it needs to be clearly stated to avoid any ambiguity for future.  b. What is the rate applicable for 800 MHz spectrum being auctioned now (not covered in WPC/DoT letter  c. The SUC rate for 2100 MHz spectrum auctioned in 2010 was stated as under –  (i) Based on the applicable slab for holding of GSM / CDMA spectrum; OR  (ii) 3% for a standalone 3G operator  With renewal of licenses where applicable, no reference rate is available based on original GSM spectrum held. In such a case SUC rate for 2100 MHz will have to be separately used for determining the weighted average. Please confirm that this rate will be 3% based on the NIA of 2010 for auction of 2100 MHz spectrum.  d. What will be the SUC rate applicable for 2100 MHz spectrum to be auctioned now?no. P-14010/01/2014-NTG dated 31.10.2014) – we understand that this is 5%, but this should be clarified.	Orders w.r.t. applicable SUC may please be seen on DoT website from time to time.
166.	8. Please refer page 19 of the NIA, Clause 2.1 states as under –	No change in NIA

Query No.	Query							Response
No.	"For the Servi bids will be so spectrum avail District, the are and the balant Bid amount in and actual allowing the issues here a. The value circle, which is the loss of opposition of the loss of opposition of paying the safe service area. b. Having suff of indexation a PV basis usithey would have spectrum allowing the safe spectrum allowing sufformation and paying the safe service area.	ought for special able and the mount being possessed on the possessed on t	ctrum in entire balance collectoro-rated to the 20 years). Indoor will be of time of proving SBI PLR previous and adjustment having the eparately covered and the covered and	e LSA, the bicketed as and whene population collected subjected subjecting balance shalent for the part based on part based on part based on part based on part based population cop of this the situation, whenever a mount based part is already gher amount based per salready gher sa	lable in some of amount will hen spectrum of that district ect to the concept of the value operiod between all to the value opulation is now the full service derived has no was partial spectrum as the spectrum lated on a definite suffering on accept the grame is depicted.	be collected of is made availables (s) (as of cense dition that the distribution that the distribution distri	e able in each sus of 2011)  e amount to ce prorated of bid price  across the account for the auction bether it will be alized by across the gain by way ng more on red to what of balance uncertainty, not able to	conditions.
	2110 01 1001	value	factor at	Value	factor @	Indexed	PV o	
		without indexation	SBI PLR @		10% p.a.	Pro rata	indexed	
		indexation	14.75% p.a.			amount	payment over	

Query No.	Query							Response
							upfront - payment -	
	1	2	3	$4 = 2 \times 3$	5	6 = 4 x 5	7 = 6 - 2	
	1	95%	1.1475	1.0901	0.9091	99%	4%	
	2	90%	1.3168	1.1851	0.8264	98%	8%	
	3	85%	1.5110	1.2843	0.7513	96%	11%	
	4	80%	1.7338	1.3871	0.6830	95%	15%	
	5	75%	1.9896	1.4922	0.6209	93%	18%	
	6	70%	2.2831	1.5981	0.5645	90%	20%	
	7	65%	2.6198	1.7029	0.5132	87%	22%	
	8	60%	3.0062	1.8037	0.4665	84%	24%	
	9	55%	3.4496	1.8973	0.4241	80%	25%	
	10	50%	3.9585	1.9792	0.3855	76%	26%	
	In light of this we reiterate that there should be no indexation of price in case partial spectrum is allocated to a bidder. Our suggestions are that —  (i) Bidding for full and partial spectrum should be done separately, as these are two different products and the value of these two products is different.  (ii) Indexation should not be done. Indexation is relevant if the spectrum is given on a future date for a period of 20 years. In this case the spectrum withheld earlier is being just provided with a time lag only for the remaining period of the initial 20 year period. Even where indexation is relevant and applied, it should be done either based on a suitable measure of inflation or at most equal to the rate of interest for deferred payment option under the NIA i.e. 10%.  Please confirm that the rate for indexation will be removed or changed as suggested and if not,							
		orm the ratio	nale of using S				•	

Query No.	Query	Response
167.	Kindly refer to Page 72 of the NIA – Clause 5.4.6 - point with ** states as under – "** In any case, a bidder would be declared as a Provisional Winning Bidder only if he is allocated the minimum number of blocks required for each category of bidders for each of the Service Areas in each of the bands."  If an operator is bidding for 5MHz or more with the objective of deploying new technology then the operator at the time of placing the bid should have the option to accept or reject an allocation of less than 5MHz contiguous spectrum, as the allocation of less than 5MHz contiguous spectrum will have no value for that operator. Please confirm that this option will be available.  For example if an existing operator who is using 1800 MHz for GSM wishes to acquire a 5 MHz contiguous block for deploying LTE, then that operator will have zero value for spectrum allocation of anything less than 5 MHz contiguous spectrum. Such an operator cannot use the spectrum for GSM as it already has what it needs for GSM and it cannot use it for LTE as a 5 MHz contiguous spectrum is not allocated. We request that this issue be addressed and operators at the time of application be allowed to mark the combination of circles and bands in which if they bid for 5 MHz or more, they are assured that the allocation will be atleast 5 MHz contiguous spectrum or else it will be zero. Please confirm.	No change in NIA conditions.
168.	Please refer to page 79 of the NIA - Clause 5.5 – Frequency Identification Stage While the NIA contains the details and logic regarding automatic identification of frequencies for the contiguous block of 5MHz, there is no mention of on what basis frequencies for "non- contiguous spectrum" will be allocated. NIA states that this will be on a random basis, but based on our analysis of Feb'14 auction results, this (random basis) was not followed in the last auction. The allocation in the last auction was done on the basis that "The highest ranked bidder who was to be given the non-contiguous spectrum was given the smallest block (s) and the lowest ranked bidders were given the largest block or where there was unsold spectrum the largest block was left unallocated. This can be seen based on spectrum allocation in Punjab, Haryana and there may be other cases". This is grossly unfair, where the highest ranked bidder has been given the most fragmented spectrum from the non-contiguous blocks. We suggest that the logic for allocation of non-contiguous spectrum should not be on a	Allocation of non-contiguous spectrum would be done on the basis of Ranking and best fit to the quantum of spectrum won. This is also applicable to the examples on pages 80, 81 and 82, in respect of

Query No.	Query	Response
	random basis and the logic should be just the opposite of that followed in the last auction i.e. the highest ranked bidder should be given allocation starting from the largest blocks and moving to the next largest band, so that the spectrum allocated to the highest bidder consists of minimum possible blocks. In any case the logic for allocation of frequency blocks should be transparently disclosed in the NIA. Please inform the logic for allocation of frequency blocks for non-contiguous spectrum to the winning bidders. the last auction. The allocation in the last auction was done on the basis that "the highest ranked bidder who was to be given the non-contiguous spectrum was given the smallest block (s) and the lowest ranked bidders were given the largest block or where there was unsold spectrum the largest block was left unallocated. This can be seen based on spectrum allocation in Punjab, Haryana and there may be other cases". This is grossly unfair, where the highest ranked bidder has been given the most fragmented spectrum from the non-contiguous blocks.  We suggest that the logic for allocation of non-contiguous spectrum should not be on a random basis and the logic should be just the opposite of that followed in the last auction i.e. the highest ranked bidder should be given allocation starting from the largest blocks and moving to the next largest band, so that the spectrum allocated to the highest bidder consists of minimum possible blocks. In any case the logic for allocation of frequency blocks should be transparently disclosed in the NIA. Please inform the logic for allocation of frequency blocks for non-contiguous spectrum to the winning bidders.	allocation of non-contiguous.
169.	Please refer page 20 of the NIA - Clause 2.3, para 2 "Existing Licensees will be allowed to use the additional spectrum block(s) allotted through this auction to deploy any technology other than GSM/WCDMA/CDMA, by combining". Please confirm that LTE would be added along with GSM/WCDMA/CDMA.	No change in NIA conditions.
170.	For auction in 800 MHz - In the last few years the number of subscribers on CDMA technology in 800 MHz have been constantly declining. Table on CDMA subscribers confirms the same :  Mar'12 Mar'13 Mar'14 Jun'14  CDMA 105.11 73.78 57.10 55.56  Base (in mn)	No change in NIA conditions.

Query No.	Query					Response
	Also the potential WCDMA technology 900 MHz band), where the for auctioning 800 this our suggestion a. Auction in 800 M blocks are currently b. In the remaining becomes available spectrum by currer In view of the above MHz auction only for there is currently not smaller blocks results.					
171.	of smaller blocks results in sub-optimal use of spectrum and lack of full price discovery.  For auction in 800 MHz - If spectrum in blocks of less than 5 MHz in 800 MHz band will be auctioned now, it will result in sub-optimal utilization of this spectrum band and the true value of this spectrum will not be discovered. This can be seen from the following price discovery of 800 MHz in earlier auctions in comparison to the price of other spectrum bands. Both 800 MHz and 1800 MHz bands can be deployed for LTE. 800 MHz being a lower frequency band is significantly better. TRAI has used a multiple of 1.5 to 2 times for valuation of 900 MHz vis-a-vis 1800 MHz. The same multiple will also apply to 800 MHz as 800 MHz and 900 MHz are similar in their reach and so we have used an average of 1.75 for the above.					No change in NIA conditions.
	Service Area  Karnataka		Price of 1800 MHz discovered in Feb'14 auction (Rs. Crs./ MHz)	800 MHz by	of 800 MHz in Mar'13 based on	

Query No.	Query					Response
	West Bengal	13.4	24.6	43.1	31.2%	
	Tamil Nadu	159.2	208.0	364.0	43.7%	
	Delhi	360.4	364.0	637.0	56.6%	
	Kolkata	59.1	73.0	127.8	46.3%	
	Kerala	34.0	52.0	91.0	37.3%	
	Uttar Pradesh (West)*	55.9	85.9	150.4	37.1%	
	Gujarat	116.9	237.8	416.2	28.1%	
	*Price discovered in	n Nov'12 for contigu	ous block of 5MHz			
172.	800 MHz ba	scovery is 28% to ow value for the e likelihood to aucer, this would also to 800 MHz) — arket determined pends through a transient use of spectrumer, please confirm the in contiguous managuer due to DoT aucested above.	63% % (average 4 Government exclution contiguous spectrum in the contrary to t	6%) below its estimequer, this would extrum in 800 MHz following objective 2100 MHz, 1800 MHz, 1	mated true value. d also imply the becomes difficult es specified in the MHz, 900 MHz and onducting the 800 ses faced by the he NIA rather than	No change in NIA
1/2.	states as under:	ation of spectrum	conditions.			
	"Existing CMTS/ UA	1ς/111/Δς)/111 with	access service authi	orization licensees	can liheralize their	
	existing spectrum					
	spectrum assignme	•	•	•		

Query No.	Query	Response
	validity period of the Spectrum Assignment." As has been explained in our query above, the true value of 800 MHz can be discovered only where contiguous blocks of 5 MHz are being put up for auction. As per our understanding, this was also the reason that while spectrum in 800 MHz bad was auctioned in Mar'13, it was not considered as liberalized spectrum. Since the price discovered for blocks less than 5 MHz is not the value of true liberalized spectrum which can be deployed for new technologies, the said price discovered cannot be used as a basis for liberalization of spectrum. Hence, our suggestion is as under-	
	a. 800 MHz spectrum should be auctioned only in service areas where 5 MHz contiguous blocks are available for auction. In such cases price discovered for 5 MHz contiguous spectrum can be used for liberalization of existing spectrum in 800 MHz band.	
	It may be noted that in case of 900 MHz band and 1800 MHz band, in all service areas where liberalization is possible, the price applied is one at which at least one contiguous block of 5 MHz contiguous spectrum has been won in an auction. Hence, 800 MHz should follow the same principle and price discovered for non-contiguous spectrum of less than 5 MHz should not be used for liberalization.	
	b. In case price of 5 MHz contiguous 800 MHz band spectrum is not available, then till the time such price becomes available, the price of 900 MHz discovered in Feb'14 or the forthcoming auctions can be used for liberalization of 800 MHz band spectrum.	
	Kindly confirm this change. If this not being done, then please inform the rationale for difference in principle for liberalizing 900 / 1800 MHz spectrum and 800 MHz spectrum, which would give unfair advantage to current holders of 800 MHz band spectrum.	
173.	Kindly refer to page 13 of the NIA - clause 2.1 b) II., The licensee is required to Bid for minimum  5 MHz in the service areas where the license is due for expiry. However, in case of North East	No change in NIA conditions.
	service area, only 8.8 MHz is put to auction in 900MHz and 2 licenses are due for expiry. Based on the auction design, one of the licenses cannot renew his existing spectrum. The license	

Query No.	Query				Response
	provided for ext same is not bein of the Licensor extension based holder of the ex opportunity of w licenses will be for Please confirm a right of the exist spectrum in the				
174.	Please refer pag auction covers 2 2100 MHz, we s Please confirm?	Kindly refer to Amendment No. 3 to this NIA			
175.	MHz is different	from the price ir given the same I	ncrease for 800 N	nd table 5K - The Price increase for 900/1800 MHz. The following table shows the difference emand for these bands:	No change in NIA conditions.
	Excess Demand (In MHz)	900/1800Mhz	For 800 MHz	Difference	
	Less than ZERO(Negativ e)	0%	0%	0%	
	1.0 MHz	1%	1%	0%	
	1.2 MHz	2%	1%	1%	
	2.4 MHz	3%	2%	1%	
	3.6 MHz 5.0 MHz	4% 5%	3% 4%	1% 1%	

Query No.	Query				Response
	6.0 MHz	6%	5%	1%	
	6.2 MHz	7%	5%	2%	
	7.4 MHz	8%	6%	2%	
	8.6 MHz	9%	7%	2%	
	10.0 MHz	10%	8%	2%	
	11.0 MHz	10%	9%	1%	
	11.4 MHz	10%	10%	0%	
	Please confirm t	hat DoT would	correct this and	omaly and also confirm that price increase	
	would be same f	or all bands. We	suggest the follo	wing to make the price increase uniform for	
	·	_	r increase than th	he 3G auctions held in 2010) –	
	Price Increase fo	r			
	Excess Demand	900/1800Mhz	For 800 MHz	Difference	
	(In MHz)				
	Less than ZERO	0%	0%	0%	
	(Negative)				
	From ZERO up	1%	1%	0%	
	to 1.25 MHz				
	Greater than	2%	2%	0%	
	1.25 up to 2.5				
	MHz				
	Greater than	3%	3%	0%	
	2.5 up to 3.75				
	MHz				
	Greater than	4%	4%	0%	
	3.75 up to 5.0				
	MHz	=0/	<b>5</b> 0/	00/	
	Greater than	5%	5%	0%	
	5.0 up to 6.25				
	MHz				

Query No.	Query				Response
	Greater than 6.25 up to 7.5 MHz	6%	6%	0%	
	Greater than 7.5 up to 8.75 MHz	7%	7%	0%	
	Greater than 8.75 up to 10.0 MHz	8%	8%	0%	
	Greater than 10.0 up to 11.25 MHz	9%	9%	0%	
	Greater than 11.25	10%	10%	0%	
176.	compared to the r for 800 MHz is lov	equirement in the	last spectrum auc 00MHz in relation	MD required for 900 MHz is very high tion (Feb'14). Further the EMD required to the Reserve Price to which it should ce in the EMD.	Kindly refer to Amendment No. 2 to this NIA.

Query No.	Query				Response	
	-	500 MHz	800 MHz			
	1. Feb '14 Auction					
	No. of Circles	3	4			
	RP per block	813	100			
	EMD per block	88				
	EMD ≥: % to RP	10.8%	9			
	2. NIA Jan'15		-			
	No. of Circles	17	20			
	AF per block	680	4,279			
	EMD per block	201	665			
	EMD as % to RP	29.5%	15.5%			
177.	The EMD and E	Kindly refer to Amendment No. 2 to this NIA.				
			•	•	to this MA.	
178.	for 900 MHz and to have a correla points prescribed prescribed per Gujarat, Karnata are only 1.0 elig	circles are higher for 900 MHz compared to 1800 MHz.DoT should correct this anomaly. Please make necessary changes and confirm.  Please refer page 86-89 of the NIA – table 5H - The table below compares the eligibility points or 900 MHz and 800 MHz with respect to reserve price. In general the eligibility points ought to have a correlation with reserve price of spectrum. The table below shows that the eligibility points prescribed for bidding for 800 MHz per unit of Reserve price is much less than that prescribed per unit of 900 MHz Reserve price. For example - for "A" Category circles (AP, Gujarat, Karnataka and Maharashtra) in case of 800 MHz, the eligibility points on an average are only 1.0 eligibility points per Rs.1 crore of reserve price, whereas for 900 MHz the same figure is 1.6, which is 53.5 % higher.				

	900 for 1.	2MHz		800 for 1.	25MHz		
Circles	Reserve Price	Eligibility Points	Ratio	Reserve Price	Eligibility Points	Ratio	
Maharashtra	630	858	1.4	424	377	0.9	
Andhra Pradesh	551	858	1.6	293	377	1.3	
Gujarat	509	858	1.7	344	377	1.1	
Karnataka	444	858	1.9	379	377	1.0	
Uttar Pradesh (East)	274	348	1.3	168	224	1.3	
Madhya Pradesh	210	348	1.7	114	143	1.3	
Uttar Pradesh (West)	204	348	1.7	119	143	1.2	
Punjab	181	348	1.9	106	143	1.3	
Bihar	178	348	2.0	106	143	1.3	
West Bengal	133	348	2.6	71	143	2.0	
Haryana	91	150	1.6	48	58	1.2	
Assam	89	150	1.7	35	58	1.7	
Orissa	80	150	1.9	48	58	1.2	
North East	35	60	1.7	14	25	1.8	
Himachal Pradesh	32	60	1.9	24	58	2.4	

Query No.	Query	Response
	service areas in a category with different reserve prices have same eligibility points). Hence, we suggest that necessary changes should be made to reasonably align the eligibility points with the reserve price across bands for a category of circles (A,B etc.)	
179.	Please refer to page 21 of the NIA, Clause 2.3 (iii) "For use of technology other than GSM, CDMA, WCDMA, LTE and WiMAX, prior clearance will be required to ensure that harmful interference is not caused to the already operating technologies either in the same band or in the adjacent bands."  From the above it is understood that no prior permission is required to launch WCDMA / LTE on any of the spectrum bands won in this auction. This is applicable both for abinitio use of the spectrum won in this auction for WCDMA / LTE and also for change in technology whether spectrum won in this auction or allocated administratively. Please confirm.	No change in NIA conditions.
180.	Spectrum being put up for auction (Annexure 2A, 2B & 2C of the NIA). Kindly re-confirm the following:  a) In 900 MHz - In UP-West, the spectrum blocks being put for auction to belong to an existing operator whose license is not expiring in 2015-16. Spectrum put for auction is 890-896.2 whereas it should be 896-902.4 MHz. Please review and rectify.  b) In case of 800 MHz, the uplink and downlink frequencies have seems to have been erroneously mentioned and interchanged. Kindly review and rectify.  c) Based on existing spectrum allocation, it is seen that for some spectrum spots the current allocation is partial, while in the NIA these are available across the entire LSA. Because of this there is a lack of clarity on the availability of these spectrum spots. Details as below. Please confirm that these spectrum spots are available across the entire service area.	a), b) & c) Kindly refer to Amendment No. 2 & 3 to this NIA.

Circle	Sp	ots	Operator	Quant	Total Quantum	Current allocation Remarks	NtA Remarks	
	1745.4	1746.6	Airtel	1.4		except Rangareddy		
Andhra Pradesh	1746.8	1747.2	Airtel	0.6	3	except Rangareddy& Hyderabad	Entire service area	
	1747.4	1748.2	Airtel	1		For 12 districts		
Guinest	1733		Vodafone /Airtel	0.2	2	Airtel-Ahm Dist excluding Dholka City + Rajkot & Bharuch; Voda- Entire Guj except Ahm, Jamnagar , Baroda	Entire Service Area except Ahmedabad , Jamnagar,	
Gujarat	1733.2	1733.8	Vodafone	0.8		Voda- Entire Guj except Ahm, Jamnagar , Baroda	Vadodara Vadodara	
	1734	1734.8	Vodafone	1		Voda- Only Surat		
	1764.6	1764.8	Vodafone	0.4	0.4	All of Gujarat excl Ahmd & Surat	Entire Service area	
UP(East)	1714.6	1716.2	Vodafone	1.8	3.8	For Shahjahanpur,	Entire Service Area	

Query No.	Query								Response
							Sitapur, Hardoi only	except Allahabad,	
		1716.4		Vodafone	0.2	5.5	For partial districts	Gorakhpur and Jhansi	
		1716.6		Vodafone	0.2				
		1716.8	1718.2	Vodafone	1.6		For partial districts		
	Rajasthan	1752.2	1754	Airtel	2	2	Entire SA	Entire Service area	
	Himachal Pradesh	1714		Unsold	0.2	0.2	Earlier put up for auction for entire SA	Entire service area except Solan	
	North East	1730	1731.6	Reliance/ BSNL	1.8	1.8	For BSNL- Meghalaya only, For Reliance-all SA except Meghalaya	Meghalaya only	
181.		the time	frame b				and shortly. Would be created a	•	
182.	is that it is in implemented	use by D , all spec	efence in t	those parts o ld become a	of LSA. W vailable a	e presume across the	available only par e that once the De entire LSA. Pleas ne spectrum corre	efence band is e confirm the	conditions.

Query No.	Query	Response
183.	Please refer to Page 31 of the NIA - clause 3.8 (d) on Duration which states that "In case of 800 MHz band, date of issue of LoI except for the carrier slots to be vacated by BSNL/MTNL, is indicated in Annexure 2C" — However, Annexure 2C (800 MHz spectrum), does not contain any information on spectrum vacated by BSNL/MTNL. Kindly provide the details of spots which are being vacated by BSNL/MTNL and have been included in Annexure 2C.	Kindly refer to Amendment No.3 to NIA. Such spots would be made available in due course of time.
184.	Also if BSNL / MTNL can vacate spectrum in 800 MHz band before the expiry of their licenses, BSNL can also vacate a small quantity of 1.2 MHz of spectrum in 900 MHz band as recommended by TRAI. In any case BSNL's spectrum utilization level in terms of subscribers per MHz is almost at one fourth level as compared to private operators of 900 MHz and hence they have excess spectrum. Hence, please inform as to why a small quantity of 1.2 MHz spectrum is not being taken from BSNL in 900/1800 MHz band to be able to create an extra 5 MHz slot in some circles as recommended by TRAI?	No change in NIA conditions.
185.	Interference in allocated spectrum won in Auction. We are already faced with a situation in 3G & 2G, where the spectrum allocated after auction and taken at a high cost is unusable in some service areas (J&K, Punjab, Gujarat) due to interference. Please confirm that the Government would plan to provide alternate interference free spectrum in LSAs where we are facing interference, before the current auction.	Spectrum being put to auction is on "as is where is" basis.
186.	What action does the Government propose in case interference in auctioned spectrum makes commercial use of spectrum not feasible?	Spectrum being put to auction is on "as is where is" basis.
187.	Spectrum Spot Allocation Logic For 900 MHz - In the last auction for renewal of licenses in Feb'14, there were at least 3 contiguous blocks of 5MHz available in the Delhi and Mumbai and 2 contiguous blocks were available in Kolkata (these were equal to no. of eventual winner in these service areas). Hence, all winner of 900MHz in these service areas got allocation of contiguous block. However, based on the details available in present NIA for 900MHz spectrum, there could be a scenario where the winner of 5MHz (or more) in 900 MHz may not get the allocation of contiguous spectrum. How does DoT propose to resolve this?	Kindly refer to Amendment No. 3to this NIA.

Query No.	Query	Response
188.	Kindly refer to page 32 of the NIA, clause Para 3.8.1 — Based on experience of actual implementation of switch over of 900 MHz in the Metros post Feb 14 auction, there is a need to lay down a process and time lags for date of use of spectrum to ensure smooth changeover of frequency spots. It is essential that in cases where the spectrum held by an existing operator of 900 MHz is won by an operator who is not an existing licensee whose license is coming up for renewal, sufficient gap of time should be provided between expiry of license of the existing licensee and the allocation of spectrum for use to the new operator who wins this spectrum in the auction. Kindly confirm and include the details of DoT Transition plan in the NIA.	NIA is self- explanatory.
189.	As per the NIA a licensee whose license is expiring in 2015-16 has to participate in the auction as "New Entrant". Please confirm that for such a "New entrant" (expiring licensee who currently holds 900/1800 MHz band and has completed all 5 phases of roll-out obligations) would not be required to re-offer his network for any further roll-out obligations, if he acquires 900/1800 MHz in forthcoming auction, irrespective of the technology he deploys post acquiring such 900/1800 MHz spectrum.	NIA is self- explanatory.
190.	Kindly refer to Page 21 of the NIA – Clause 3.1 on Eligibility criteria to participate in the Auctions  Please inform whether a licensee whose licenses are expiring in 2015-16 needs to provide the undertaking for obtaining Unified License as given in clause 8.3.3 of the NIA, along with the application for auction. In our opinion this is not essential as he has already established his eligibility for being a licensee by being an existing licensee.	NIA is self- explanatory.
191.	Kindly refer to page 8 of the NIA - Clause 1.3 of NIA, second last para — regarding rearrangement of frequencies. Please confirm all the scenario and circumstances under which rearrangement of frequencies would be permitted and also the time frame for WPC approval in such cases.	NIA is self- explanatory.
192.	There seems to be an arbitrary and significant price increase (even beyond the value prescribed by TRAI) for service areas like Andhra Pradesh and Karnataka. TRAI had determined a value of Rs. 338.64 Crs / MHz of 900 MHz spectrum in AP service area. Based on this, the reserve price was recommended to be Rs. 270.91 Crs / MHz. However, the reserve price stated in the NIA isRs. 459 Crs / MHz, which is significantly more than even the value of the spectrum arrived at	No change in NIA conditions.

Query No.	Query	Response
	by TRAI using various models for valuation. There is no rationale of keeping the reserve price higher than the value recommended by TRAI unless it is an error. Please confirm the reasons for such arbitrary price increase in Andhra Pradesh & Karnataka? Please also confirm whether DoT plans to reconsider the price increase in these service areas?	
193.	Kindly refer to the page Clause 3.8 of the NIA mentions the duration of spectrum to be 20 years from "effective date". However in practice there are delays in allocation of spectrum earmarking. In any case there need to be specific timelines for the DoT and WPC to process and make the spectrum available for use. The effective date of 20 years for the duration of spectrum should only start from the date the spectrum is made available for use. This is particularly important now, because the price of spectrum has increased manifold from the historical price levels. Please confirm that the effective date of spectrum would be the date of earmarking of spectrum, from which date spectrum can be commercially put to use. In any case, request DoT to confirm the exact time frame for earmarking of spectrum from the date of completion of auction.	NIA is self-explanatory.
194.	Please refer to Page 8 of the NIA - Clause 1.3, last para — "only when the entire spectrum held by them is liberalized or acquired through auction." should be reworded as 'only when the entire spectrum held by them in the same frequency band, in the specific service area, is liberalized or acquired through auction." Please include in the NIA and confirm.	No change in NIA condition.
195.	Please confirm time frame within which DoT would ensure transfer of all resources (refer amendment to Unified License dated 13.11.2014 regarding re-assignment of resources)? In past, DoT has delayed such transfer of resources by 1-2 years and hence this query.	NIA is self- explanatory.
196.	Kindly refer to page 31 of the NIA, Para 3.6.1.xii — Please confirm whether a licensee whose licenses are expiring in 2015-16 and who has already completed its first and second phase of roll-out, has won spectrum in auction held in February 2014 auction and has submitted the PBG of Rs 21 crores, would not be required to submit the any additional PBG, post acquiring fresh spectrum in forthcoming auction?	NIA is self- explanatory.
197.	Query in relation to EMF Self certification due to Change in Frequency spots post auction - Presently there is no clarity on the EMF regulation on the self-certification if there is a frequency change in a circle due to new frequency allocation after the spectrum auction. As the	NIA is self- explanatory.

Query No.	Query	Response
	result of the new spectrum auction, there can be the following scenarios for the existing TSP's in a service area :	
	a) The new frequency is a sub set of existing spots.	
	b) The new frequency is in the same band but the frequency spots are different.	
	c) The new frequency allotted is higher (eg.1800 MHz) than the existing frequency band (eg. 900 MHz). This would happen if a licensee fails to win the 900 MHz spectrum, but is able to win 1800 MHz in this auction or has won in the last auction.	
	d) A new Operator gets 900 MHz and rolls out a new network on that band. In view of above, our suggestions is as follows: Scenario-a) The new frequency allotted is exactly the same No change in frequency spots. So no fresh EMF self-certification required. Scenario: b) The new frequency is in the same band but the frequency spots are different.	
	There is very minuscule change in the EMF exposure value if the frequency is changed in the same band itself. EMF estimation and Self-certifying the sites should be exempted in this case for the frequency change if all the other parameters affecting the EIRP is the same. Scenario: c) The new frequency band is higher (eg.1800 MHz) than the existing frequency band on the lower spectrum (eg. 900 MHz).  The EMF exposure values "S" is dependent on the frequency (S=F/2000). If the frequency is changed from the lower to a higher frequency band, the exposure threshold value increases and there is no need to estimate the EMF and self- certify the sites if all the other parameters affecting the EIRP is kept the same.  Scenario d). New Operator launches network on 900 MHz  In case if the new frequency allotted is to a lower band, the operators should be given minimum one year time to estimate the exposure values for all the existing sites due to the following reasons.	

Query No.	Query	Response
	Multiple changes in the same site by different operators.	
	The implementation time available for the frequency change is very small after the frequency allocation is done.	
	The above is necessary for smooth transition and management of EMF certification issues. Please confirm the DoT stance in each of the above scenario? In case, of any other understanding, please let us know the same?	
198.	Query relating to power Output of a 3G Node B - Currently the spectrum allocation letter issued by the WPC Cell for 2100 MHz, stipulates the following as the usage of the allocated spectrum as "2/20W power, emission 5M00G7W." This implies that the radiation of the Node B cannot exceed 20 W. While the WPC has changed the channeling plan from 200 KHz to 5 MHz to cater for the change in technology, they have not taken into account the power requirement with the change in technology. In this regard it is submitted that:	NIA is self-explanatory.
	a. There is significant difference between GSM (narrowband technology) and 3G (broadband technology) which necessitates different treatment of RF Power related to these technologies. GSM has continuous power transmission irrespective of the traffic in the BTS, while 3G has discontinuous power transmission and only pilot power, which is typically 10% of the total transmit power, is continuous and total power transmitted is based on the amount of voice and data traffic in the Node B. Also, in LTE, MIMO is the basic functionality and transmit power should be minimum 20W+20W.	
	b. 3G as a wideband technology needs higher transmit power for coverage & capacity. Global deployments in US, Europe, China and APAC markets for 3G are using 40W to 80W of transmit power in the Node B irrespective of bands (900 or 2100) as increase in power is a means to increase the capacity of the Node B in 3G and thus improve customer experience.	
	c. Power density (RF power per MHz) in 3G is much lower than GSM even when Node B RF	

Query No.	Query	Response
	Power is 60W/80W. Both calculation method and field measurement results for a heavy loaded site have shown that the EIRP/EIRPth values of 60W/80W power of 3G sites are well within the limits of EMF guidelines prescribed DoT. We have shared detailed measurements / calculations on this to DoT in the past for relaxing the present guidelines.  In view of the above request DoT to confirm whether, TSPs would be allowed to configure transmit power in 3G Node B beyond 20W (up to 80W), irrespective of frequency bands, while maintaining compliance to the EMF norms.	
199.	Kindly confirm that DoT would not administratively allocate any spectrum or change the price of spectrum through administrative mechanism in future?	NIA is self- explanatory.
200.	Auction of spectrum currently held by existing licensees and existing license terms The NIA proposes auction of spectrum currently held by existing licensees. The current licensees have legal and contractual right over existing spectrum even beyond the initial license period of 20 years, as per their existing license terms & conditions. The issue of extension licenses is subjudice and pending before the Supreme Court, resultantly the DoT will have to abide by any decision rendered by the Supreme Court on the same; in which case we request DoT to spell out as to how will it compensate the winner in the auction for the investments made by it for the spectrum, the capex, the initial losses if any and the opportunity cost of investment so made?	NIA is self-explanatory.
201.	Please clarify the process followed by the DoT in responding to the queries:  a. The Authority (level) at which the Response to the queries are cleared; b. Please provide the details of the competent Authority which approves the responses to queries raised by the bidders. c. Please confirm that the responses to queries are based on licensing and policy regime. If not, then the basis on which the responses are based.	The responses to the queries are given by the Department for and on behalf of the President of India.
202.	Please confirm the representations made by the DoT in the NIA and responses to the queries will be legally binding on the DoT.	NIA is self- explanatory.

Query No.	Query	Response			
203.	Q1. Please refer to the rules governing determination of Provisional Winning Blocks and Provisional Winning Bidder stated on Page 72 of the NIA. There is a bullet with 1 asterisk mark after point a).	NIA is self- explanatory.			
	It states:				
	*It is important to note that in such cases, the Provisional Winning Bidders from an earlier round with Clock Round Price less than the Current Clock Round, R, will be considered in order of their ranking.				
	<ul> <li>From the 2014 auction, we understand this applies only to point a) on this page, and not to points b) and c) on pages 72-73.</li> <li>Again from the 2014 auction, we understand that in cases where this rule applies then only the Provisional Winning Blocks held by each such bidder at the end of the previous Clock Round will be considered.</li> </ul>				
	Please confirm that this understanding is correct, and that the Electronic Auction System for the upcoming auction will behave in the same way as the 2014 system.				
	As a concrete example, please consider the following scenario in the 1800MHz band auction in a particular service area:				
	<ul> <li>Total of 95 blocks are being sold</li> <li>At least one chunk of contiguous 5MHz (paired) is available</li> <li>There are three bidders, A, B and C</li> <li>B is a New Entrant while A and C are Existing Licensees</li> </ul>				
	If bidding progresses as below, please confirm that the PWBs and order of ranking will be as				

ery	Query										Response
	shown in the table.										
	Roun d No.	CRP	(No	mitte	bids ed of	Aggreg ate deman d	Exces s dema nd	Escalati on	PWBs and Ranks	Remarks	
			<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>						
	1	100	65	25	10	100	5	1%	1A - 65 blocks 2B - 25 blocks 3C - 5 blocks	PWBs determined based on ranking rule no. 4	
	2	101	75	25	-	100	5	1%	1A - 75 blocks B - 0 blocks C - 0 blocks	B cannot be awarded at least 25; C's PWB from round 1 is notconsidered	
	3	102	75	-	-	75	-20	0%	1A – 75 blocks	C's PWB from round 1 is <b>not</b>	

Query No.	Query	Response
	considered.	
204.	Q2. Please refer to the rules governing determination of Provisional Winning Blocks and Provisional Winning Bidder stated on Page 73 of the NIA. There is a bullet with 2 asterisk marks after point c).  It states:	NIA is self- explanatory.
	**It is important to note that if any of the bidders' does not satisfy the requirement of winning the minimum number of blocks based on their respective categorization, in which case, the next ranked bidder in that order will be considered for the allotment of Provisional Winning Blocks. In any case, only the bidders who are allotted the Provisional Winning Blocks will be ranked as Provisional Winning Bidders.	
	<ul> <li>From the 2014 auction, we understand that this applies only to point c) on this page, and not to points a) and b) on page 72.</li> <li>Again from the 2014 auction, we understand the "next ranked bidder" refers only to the bidders who have bid at the current clock round price, and not to provisional winning bidders at a previous clock price. In particular, if the number of provisional winning blocks that can be allotted at the current Clock Round Price turns out to be less than the number of blocks put up for auction, then we understand that blocks will be left unsold in this round, and that PWBs at the previous price will not be considered.</li> </ul>	
	Please confirm that this understanding is correct, and that the Electronic Auction System for the upcoming auction will behave in the same way as the 2014 system.	
	As a concrete example, please consider the following scenario in the 1800MHz band auction in	

Query No.	Query											Response
	<ul> <li>a particular service area:</li> <li>Total of 95 blocks are being sold</li> <li>At least one chunk of contiguous 5MHz (paired) is available</li> <li>There are three bidders, A, B and C</li> <li>B is a New Entrant while A and C are Existing Licensees</li> </ul>											
	If biddii shown i		able.		below bids	, please c	onfirm t	hat the P	WBs and	order and	of ranking will be as	
	d No.					ate deman d	s dema nd	on	Ranks	anu	Kemarks	
			<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>							
	1	100	65	25	10	100	5	1%	1A - blocks 2B - blocks 3C - blocks	65 25	PWBs determined based on ranking rule no. 4	
	2	101	75	-	-	75	-20	0%	1A -	75	B's round 1 PWB can't	

Query										Respon
								blocks	continue; C's	
								B – 0 blocks	round 1 PWB is <b>not</b> considered	
								C – 0 blocks		
oe as s	hown:	•							order of ranking will	
Roun	CRP	Nev		bids	Aggreg	Exces	Escalati	PWBs and	Remarks	
d No.		sub	mitte	ed	ate deman	s dema	on	Ranks		
		(No	١.	of	deman	nd				
		blo	cks):		<b>"</b>	lia lia				
		<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>						
2	101	75	-	3	78	-17	0%	1A - 75	B's round 1	
								blocks	PWB can't	
						1	1	1	continue; C's	
								2C – 3	,	
								2C – 3 blocks	round 1 PWB is not considered	
									round 1 PWB is	
								blocks	round 1 PWB is	

Query No.	Query										Response
	be as sh	own:									
	Roun d No.	CRP	(No	mitte	bids ed of	Aggreg ate deman d	Exces s dema nd	Escalati on	PWBs and Ranks	Remarks	
			<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>						
	2	101	75	25	3	103	8	1%	1A – 75 blocks 2C – 3 blocks B – 0 blocks	B cannot be awarded at least 25; C's round 2 bid is considered	
205.	Q3. Plea Page 65		er to	the (	Conseq	uences of	Rules fo	or Provisio	nal Winning B	idders (Section 5.4.2,	NIA is self- explanatory.
	It is stat	ed tha	t:								
	previous Service	s Clock Area in	Rour a pa	nd R, ırticu	(due to lar ban	Excess Do	emand b der can l	eing Posit bid for Any	ive in Clock Rou	Clock Round Price of and R) for a particular ks depending on their and."	

Query No.	Query											Response
	•	Al in po re Al th tc bi di bi	rea in a p creased; a rovisional v equirement gain from t nen his dem equal the dder may fferent bid	articu nd th vinnin ). he 20 nand a numb be all ranks blocks	lar band is "new" g blocks of 14 auction and bid action of prolocated points at two distances at two distances and the properties and the properties and the properties and the properties are the prop	can mal bid can currently n, we und tivity is as visional v rovisiona y sees on lifferent	ke a "new be for a held by the derstand to ssumed no winning book winning book I winning e Bidder F price poin	w" bid if the quantity I he bidder ( that if the leither to in locks curre blocks at Rank. In parties, then or	the dilated in the control of the co	ning bidder in a Sercolock round price rethan the number least his minimum er performs such a se nor to decrease, held. Further, that different prices (var, in the case whe is blocks at the higher	has r of bid bid, but the with	
	As a co	comin oncreto cular ees).	g auction we example, service are	vill bel pleas ea who	nave in the	e same w r the follo upply is	ay as the owing sce 81 blocks	2014 syste nario in the , and with	m. e 180 thre	nic Auction System  DOMHz band auction  ee bidders (all Exist  of PWBs and orde	n in ting	
	Rou nd No.	CR P	New submitted (No. blocks):	bids d of	Aggreg ate deman d	Excess dema nd	Escalat ion	PWBs Ranks	and	Remarks		

Query No.	Query										Response
			<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>						
	1	10 0	61	15	5	81	0	1%	1A - 61 blocks 2B - 15 blocks 3C - 5 blocks	determined based on	
	2	10	25	-	-	25	-56	0%	1A - 25+36 blocks 2B - 15 blocks 3C - 5 blocks	A will see two sets of blocks at two prices	
	3	10	-	30	5	60	-21	0%	1A - 25+21 blocks 2B - 30 blocks 3C - 5 blocks	A has fewer blocks at the lower price, despite A still having rank 1	

Query No.	Query	Response
	At the end of round 2, the auction system will first award blocks against bids made at the current price. Since Aggregate Demand < Supply, it will next pick provisional winning bidders from the previous round to satisfy the balance demand. Thus, the identification of provisional winning blocks will be:	
	<ul> <li>A (25 blocks) – bid at current price</li> <li>A (36 blocks) – bid at previous price after deducting the blocks bid at current price</li> <li>B (15 blocks) – bid at previous price</li> <li>C (5 blocks) – bid at previous price</li> </ul>	
	Similarly, at the end of round 3, the identification of provisional winning blocks will be:	
	<ul> <li>A (25 blocks) – bid at current price</li> <li>B (30 blocks) – bid at current price</li> <li>C (5 blocks) – bid at current price</li> <li>A (21 blocks) – bid at previous price, after deducting the blocks bid at current price, and reducing from 36 to 21 to fit into supply</li> </ul>	
206.	Q4. Page 72 of the NIA (first bullet with asterisk) states: "Ranking will be generated for each Service Area in each of the band at the end of each Clock Round."	NIA is self- explanatory.
	<ul> <li>From the 2014 auction, we understand however that a new ranking will only be generated after a round in which there is new activity in this Service Area and band. If no further bids are received in a round in that particular Service Area and band, then the ranking of PWBs from the previous round is retained unaltered.</li> <li>Again, from the 2014 auction, we understand that in rounds when a re-ranking is triggered (by new activity) then the relative ranking of provisional winning bids at the previous clock price will not change, but will be carried over unaltered from the ranking created in the round when Excess Demand was &gt;=0 at that previous clock price.</li> </ul>	

Query No.	Query										Response		
	Please of the upco												
	As a cor a partic Licensee												
		If bidding progresses as below, please confirm that the PWBs and order of ranking will be as shown in the table.											
	Roun d No.	CRP	(No	mitte	bids ed of	Aggreg ate deman d	Exces s dema nd	Escalati on	PWBs and Ranks	Remarks			
			<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>								
	1	100	40	15	-	55	-40	0%	1A – 40 blocks 2B – 15 blocks	Ranks are based on rule no. 4			
	2	100	-	-	50	100	5	1%	1A – 40 blocks 2B – 15 blocks 3C – 40 blocks	A and B ranked above C because of rule 2			

Query No.	Query										Response
	3	101	-	35	-	35	-60	0%	1B – 35 blocks	B ranked first	
									2A – 40 blocks	because of Rule-1; relative	
									3C – 20 blocks	ranks of A and	
										C carried over	
										from round 2	
	4	101	40	-	-	75	-20	0%	1B – 35 blocks	A and B tied on	
									2A – 40 blocks	Rule-1; B ranked higher	
									3C – 20 blocks	because of	
										Rule-2	
	5	101	-	-	-	75	-20	0%	1B – 35 blocks	Ranks are not	
									2A – 40 blocks	recalculated, because there	
									3C – 20 blocks	is no new activity	
	-	4), and	that	Bidde			_			t (carried over from ule 4 (resolving a tie	
207.	UL(AS),	networ /UL lice	ensee	s sh	all be		as 'Exist	ing Licens	-	xisting UASL/CMTS/ rvice areas for the	No change in NIA conditions. It is also clarified that

Query No.	Query	Response
	* 900MHz/1800 MHz bands would be treated as same band for this purpose; 800 MHz and	i) The requirement
	2100 MHz would be treated as separate bands.	of networth (100
	1. Spectrum band wise Net-Worth criteria for existing licensee should be amended, as it would	crores/ 50 crores)
	reduce ability of existing licensees to participate, decrease competitive bidding, and defeat the	to be shown is per
	following objectives of the Auction	service area (and
	a. Objective #1 "Obtain a market determined price of Spectrum in 2100 MHz, 1800 MHz, 900	not band) for such
	MHz and 800 MHz bands through a transparent process;"	service areas in
	b. Objective #3 "Stimulate competition in the sector;"	such bands, where
	c. Objective #5 "Maximise revenue proceeds from the Auctions within the set parameters."	that bidder is a
	2. TRAI has recommended auctions should not be converted into Incumbent favouring	new entrant.
	constrained auction. Quoting from the "Recommendations On Valuation and Reserve Price of	Therefore, a
	Spectrum: 2100 MHz Band" dated 15th Jan 2015, Clause 7.c. "Since the willingness to pay depends on initial endowments (income and wealth), a supply-constrained auction only plays	bidder, who is a new entrant in all
	into the hands of incumbents with deep pockets. They are the ones most likely to win the	the three bands
	single block of 2100 MHz on auction. This would further entrench their dominant market	(2100, 1800/900
	position, as other smaller players fall by the wayside. This cannot (and should not) be the	and 800) will need
	intended outcome of a spectrum auction." Clear inference can be drawn from this which	to show a networth
	support removal of net-worth criteria for all existing licensee, irrespective of spectrum bands in	of 100 crores (or 50
	which they hold spectrum.	crores in case of
	3. Considering the above observations, shouldn't the above criteria be amended to read as	NE, J&K) only for
	* 800 MHz/900 MHz/1800 MHz/2100 MHz bands would be treated as same band for this	bidding in all the
	purpose"	three bands.
	With suitable substitutions across NIA sections where above sentence is reproduced.	ii) Further, e.g
		an existing licensee
		with negative
		networth and
		holding spectrum
		in say 1800 or 900
		MHz band, can bid

Query No.	Query	Response
		in 1800/900 band. But, such a bidder would not be eligible to bid in 2100MHz or 800
		MHz bands, unless it uses the networth of its promoters (>= 10% direct equity holding).
208.	Clause 1.3 Clock Stage: The Clock Stage will establish the bidders and the number of block(s) to be awardedin each of the service areas. In this stage, in each service area, bidders will bid for blocks ofspectrum of 200 kHz Paired in 1800 MHz & 900 MHz bands and 1.25 MHz Paired in 800 MHzBand (i.e. the right to use spectrum blocks not linked to any frequency) as per their respectivepermissible limit depending upon their categorization as a "New Entrant" or as an "Existing Licensee". The status as a "New Entrant" or as an "Existing Licensee" would be separate in900/1800* MHz band and 800 MHz band and this status may be different for different ServiceAreas depending on the holding of spectrum in such band and in such Service Area.  * For this purpose, 900 MHz and 1800 MHz bands would be treated as one.	Kindly refer to Amendment No.2 to this NIA.
	What is the purpose of spectrum band wise categorization of existing licensee as it would complicate bidding and limit ability of existing licensees to participate in competitive bidding and not permit  a. Objective #1 "Obtain a market determined price of Spectrum in 2100 MHz, 1800 MHz, 900 MHz and 800 MHz bands through a transparent process;"  b. Objective #3 "Stimulate competition in the sector;"	

Query No.	Query	Response
	c. Objective #5 "Maximise revenue proceeds from the Auctions within the set parameters."	
	Considering the above observations, shouldn't the above criteria be amended to read as "* For this purpose, 800MHz, 900 MHz 1800 MHz bands and 2100MHz would be treated as one."	
209.	Clause 3.1 Eligibility with regard to the number of blocks a bidder can bid depends on its categorization as an 'Existing Licensee' or as a 'New Entrant' in a particular Service Area in a particular band. Details may be seen below in Section 3.2.	No change in NIA conditions.
	What is the purpose of spectrum band wise categorization of existing licensee as it would complicate bidding and limit ability of existing licensees to participate in competitive bidding and not permit  a. Objective #1 "Obtain a market determined price of Spectrum in 2100 MHz, 1800 MHz, 900 MHz and 800 MHz bands through a transparent process;"  b. Objective #3 "Stimulate competition in the sector;"  c. Objective #5 "Maximise revenue proceeds from the Auctions within the set parameters."  Considering the above observations, Shouldn't the above criteria be amended to read as "* For this purpose, 800MHz, 900 MHz 1800 MHz bands and 2100MHz would be treated as one."	
210.	Clause 3.2 (xii) Lock-in conditions (as per the NIA) There shall be a Lock-in period for equity of a person whose share capital is 10% or more in the bidding company/Group Bidding Entity/Associated Licensee/ Nominee Company on the effective date of Unified License and whose networth has been taken into consideration for determining the eligibility for bidding for spectrum, till completion of 3 years from the date of allotment of spectrum or till fulfilment of all the Roll-out obligations prescribed in the NIA,	Lock-in condition for promoters' equity will be applicable as per the NIA, if the existing licensee uses promoters'

Query No.	Query	Response
	whichever is later. However, this is subject to revision in accordance with the Guidelines on Trading of Spectrum when issued. Further, these conditions are not applicable to existing licensees with effective date of licence as three years prior to date of allotment of spectrum as per terms and conditions of this NIA and period of license of existing operators as on date of auction shall be counted towards Lock-in period while being treated as new entrant for that service area. The Lock-in period commences from the effective date as defined in NIA. Consider an operator has UASL licensee with effective date of license as 01 Jan 2004, and having 4.4MHz of 1800MHz administratively allotted spectrum, would the lock-in clause apply for case produced below considering "with effective date of licence as three years prior to date of allotment of spectrum as per terms and conditions of this NIA".  a. Would this licensee acquiring spectrum in 1800MHz band in the current Auction be considered as "Existing" licensee?  b. Would the lock-in clause apply to the operator in this case "a" above?  c. Would this licensee acquiring spectrum in any other band (other than 1800MHz band) in the current Auction be considered as "Existing" licensee?  d. Would the lock-in clause apply to the operator in this case "c" above?  Lock-in is 3 years of allotment or fulfillment of roll-out conditions. Roll-out conditions specify 5	networth for gaining eligibility to bid in a band, where it does not hold spectrum. This is applicable to holders of expiring licenses as well.
	years and certifications by Licensor, hence in effect the lock-in appears as 5 years plus. Please confirm that lock-in would only be applicable for 3 years and/or Registration of Application for rollout obligation testing with TERM cell, whichever is earlier?  If investor has fulfilled the rollout obligations and invested in the network, he should be exempted from the DOT/TERM testing of rollout before the lock-in criteria is considered	
	completed.  Will the lock-in period be applicable for licenses expiring in 2015-16? These operators who have fulfilled the rollout obligations and invested in the network should be exempted from the DOT/TERM testing of rollout before the lock-in criteria is considered completed.	

Query No.	Query	Response
211.	5.5 Frequency Identification Stage The Clock Stage will be followed by a Frequency Identification Stage that will identify specific frequencies for the Winning Bidders.	NIA is self- explanatory.
	Allocation of Spectrum will be conducted separately for Contiguous set(s) of 5 MHz and for Noncontiguous blocks of spectrum. The bidders who have won a minimum of 5 MHz spectrum at theend of the clock stage will be considered for the allocation of Contiguous Set(s) of 5 MHz spectrum in the order of their ranking.	
	How would the ranking rules for the partial spectrum, ie., spectrum not available across all district of LSA be allotted?	
	Would the following section #4 from clarifications issued by DOT (No. 1000/12/2013-WF/Auction Dated 13-01-2014) may please be added to the NIA to clarify this? "frequency assignment based on a pre-defined set of rules considering Contiguous Set of 5 MHz (Full with Guard Band, Full without Guard Band, Partial) in that order followed by non-contiguous spectrum (Full, Partial) in that order."	
212.	Section 2.1 NOTE on Page 19 of 129 Balance payments (as per the NIA) Balance will be collected as and when spectrum is made available in each district, the amount being pro-rated to the population of that district(s) (census 2011) and the balance period (of the 20 years). Amount to be collected in future at the time of providing balance spectrum would be the balance prorated bid amount indexed on the SBI PLR prevalent for the period between finalization of bid price and actual allocation made	
	Scenario 2:- If the deferred payment option was chosen by the bidder, then same methodology and tables given in the NIA (for ten instalments) would apply for calculating upfront payment and instalments (or values of annuities) for amount of present value 8290.96. This includes part	

Query No.	Query	Response
	upfront payment (33% of this amount – in case of 1800 MHz band), 2 year moratorium on payment of instalment, 10% rate of interest and 10 equal instalments (if 12 or more years are remaining in the right to usage period, otherwise lesser number of instalments would be allowed, up to the end of right of usage period of 20 years, with same rate of interest of 10%.).	
	A Price of the partial spectrum should be kept same as determined in this auction, irrespective of any subsequent auctions or price discovered. Please confirm?	A: No change in NIA
	NIA should provide a road map for allocation of the remaining part of the partial spectrum with the specific time lines, hence please provide the same.	conditions as NIA is self-explanatory.
	An exit option to the successful bidders should be provided to the said bidders after frequency identification stage in case it is allocated partial spectrum. Please confirm?	
	If the Partial spectrum cannot be allocated within 2 years of close of auction then an exit option to bidders with refund should be provided, please confirm?	
	Allocation of Partial spectrum to a bidder is not an ideal scenario, which is resorted to when DOT does not have spectrum with full LSA coverage. In such case, the SBI PLR which is significantly prohibitive rate and is not referred as default rate by majority of institutions should not be applied, Please confirm?	
	RBI instructions to all scheduled banks may also be considered in the case, ""RBI/2010-11/72 DBOD.No.Dir.BC.9 /13.03.00/2010-11 dated July 1, 2010" is inferred to read as the Base rate should replace PLR for all purposes.	
	The payment terms as illustrated in the "Para 1, NOTE on Page 20 of 129" and "Clause 4.5.b.iii" are also based on Interest rate of 10% which is similar to the SBI Base rate being applied for the	

Query No.	Query	Response
	calculation of Equal Annual Instalments, please confirm similar rate would be applied instead of SBI PLR?	
	Request the sections last section of the clause may be amended to read as "indexed on the SBI Base rate prevalent for the period between finalization of bid price and actual allocation made", please confirm?	
	В	
	Request to confirm that fresh Rollout obligations should not be applicable for an operator when the partial spectrum is allotted for remainder geography?	B: NIA is self- explanatory
	c	
	Please confirm that 5% SUC applicable for new spectrum being acquired should be prorated for partial spectrum allocation on basis of population coverage?	C:NIA is self- explanatory
	D	D: No change in
	4.10 Spectrum Sharing	NIA conditions.
	Operators whose entire spectrum holding in a particular band is/has been liberalized will be permitted to share spectrum as per the guidelines issued from time to time without any additional one time spectrum charge	
	Has DOT decided the norms for Sharing would include mandatory criteria of liberalized spectrum?	
	A Pre-condition for spectrum sharing in form of "liberalized spectrum" would not permit most	

Query No.	Query	Response
	efficient use of spectrum. Please note the NIA states Objective #2 "Ensure efficient use of spectrum and avoid hoarding;"	Spectrum sharing
	We humbly submit that these criteria may be amended be removing following sections "is/has been liberalized".	trading guidelines are under consideration &will
	Can the operators having liberalised spectrum in a circle be allowed to share spectrum with immediate effect as per the NIA?	be issued in due course, which may not necessarily be
	We further request Government to issue the spectrum sharing guidelines at earliest and also state the specific timeline for the same as part of clarifications.	before the Auction.
213.	4.11 Spectrum Trading "Detailed guidelines would be issued in due course."  We request Government to issue the spectrum trading guidelines at earliest and also state the specific timeline for the same as part of clarifications.	Spectrum sharing trading guidelines are under consideration &will be issued in due
		course, which may not necessarily be before the Auction.
214.	1.1 2100 MHz Spectrum The details regarding 2100 MHz band will be issued later	
	A Whether the Government will provide clean and interference free spectrum in the 2100MHz spectrum band before Auctions to existing operators with extremely inference prone spectrum in various LSAs, such as Punjab for Aircel?	Spectrum being put to auction is on "as is where is" basis.

Query No.	Query	Response
	When specific details of this band in terms of quantum, reserve prices and rules are expected to be announced?	Kindly refer to Amendment No.1 and Amendment No.2 to this NIA.
	The details of 2100MHz related criteria may be furnished for various clauses such as clause 3.1 page 21, 4.2.1.1 page 37, 4.5 page 41 and clause 5.2 page 47, etc.	
	If there is a possibility that 2100 MHz may not be auctioned simultaneously, then entire 2100MHz as suggested by TRAI recommendations should be put for Auction within a specified timeline to be mentioned as part of clarifications?	
215.	Clause 2.1 Spectrum to be auctioned	
	It must be confirmed by DoT that the spectrum being put to auction is free from any interference/un-encumbered, domestic or otherwise. Please confirm.	Spectrum being put to auction is on "as is where is" basis.
	Has DoT /WPC conducted any interference test on the spectrum put to auction? If yes, the reports should be made public.	
	In case of any interference observed post auction immediately upon allocation or number of years post auction, DoT should also prescribe a time bound approach to allocate alternate frequency or provide an exit path for the operator from the specific spectrum facing interference.	
	If the spectrum is being returned would the amount deposited be returned in a time bound manner?	
216.	8.3.1.1 Annexure to the Application (Service Area-wise information where the Applicant is an Existing	Kindly refer to Amendment No.3

Query No.	Query	Response
	Licensee / New Entrant)	to this NIA.
	The annexure of Application should not be required to be filled "spectrum band wise" (regardless of clarification issued for Query 1), pls confirm?	
	"Spectrum band wise" – As the licensee appear to be have different treatment – "new" or "existing" on spectrum band basis, for eg., a licensee with 1800MHz spectrum would be considered new licensee for 2100MHz but existing licensee for 900MHz.	
217.	Section 3.6 Roll Out Obligations	No change in NIA conditions.
	What if the BHQ list is not shared by the state govt.? What if the maps are not published or provided by state govt., owing to national security reasons or otherwise?	
	Availability of BHQ list is not under the control of TSP - as such any delay in its availability should be excluded while calculating the delay for the purpose of roll-out. Please confirm	
	Could BHQs as per NIA roll-out obligations be from the same DHQs (with different town being selected to cover BHQ coverage as stipulated in NIA) which have been tested under existing UASL under present roll-out obligations?	
	While calculating the delay for the purpose of rollout obligations, the delay due to factors beyond the TSP's control need to be excluded such as maximum no. of days delay at SACFA, delay while allocating backhaul spectrum, provisioning of POIs, RoW permission delays, LI testing delays, List of BHQs, maps etc.	
	The Rollout should be Technology and Spectrum band agnostic, please confirm.  It may be noted that Rollout PBG is for service area and not linked to spectrum band or technology, the MRO should also thus be technology and spectrum band agnostic?	

Query No.	Query	Response
218.	Clause 3.6.1 (xii) (a) Clause 3.6.1 (xii) (b) Performance Bank Guarantee (PBG) in prescribed format shall be submitted for the amount equal to Rs. 35.00 Crores by the 'New Entrant' and Rs. 21.00 Crores by 'Existing Licensee' per Service Area valid for a minimum period of Six years before signing the License Agreement. The validity period of PBG can be further extended by the licensor depending upon the requirement for a period not exceeding two years from the due date of expiry of the earlier PBG. The PBG is to be submitted only once either as existing licensees for an amount equal to Rs.21 crores per service area or as new entrant for an amount equal to Rs.35 crores per service area.  If the PBG has already been submitted as per terms and conditions of NIAs for auction of spectrum in November 2012/March 2013/ February 2014, no additional PBG is required. (b) The PBG may be released only after the complete testing/verification of the compliance of the rollout obligations by the licensor and the recovery of the LD, ifany, up to last phase of rollout obligations.	No change in NIA conditions.
	DoT should link PBG separately to each phase and amount should be proportional to LD applicable for such phase as suggested in section 3.6. Please confirm.	
	DoT should provide a time bound plan for reduction/release of PBG on fulfilling the respective phase of roll-out obligation	
	DoT should provide a time bound plan for testing of Rollout Obligation from the date of registration of the Rollout tests with the TERM Cell.	
	DoT should provide a time bound plan for release of PBG post successful test of rollout leading to compliance of any specific phase of roll-out obligations	
	Please confirm that PBG applicable for partial spectrum allocated would be prorated on basis	

Query No.	Query	Response
	of population coverage?	
219.	Clause 3.6.1 (xi) Liquidated Damages (LD) Existing licence conditions for LD is maximum of Rs. 7 crore whereas NIA states five separate phases of rollout obligations for the spectrum under auction	No change in NIA conditions.
	Existing UASL/CMTS has a cap of INR 7 Cr for roll-out obligations. The proposed phase-wise LD is onerous and may be decremented as the phases are covered e.g. 7 Cr for phase 1, 6 Cr for phase 2 and so on.	
220.	Section 2.1 Note (3rd para) on Page 19 of 129 In all partial allotment cases where the successful bidders are more than one, the post auction allocation of balance spectrum will be made to all the successful bidders, district wise simultaneously.  Request that spectrum allocations are made as and when spectrum becomes available for allocation to the successful bidders as per their rank. Bidders should not be made to wait for all spectrum blocks for all bidders to be available.  We humbly request that NIA is amended as below for this: "In all partial allotment cases where the successful bidders are more than one, the post auction allocation of balance spectrum will be made to all the successful bidders in order of their ranks."	Kindly refer to Amendment No. 3 to this NIA.
221.	Clause 3.2 (viii). d & 8.3.1 Application form (page 101 - point 6 and page 104- point 14) Net Worth requirements (as per the NIA) The networth requirement is not applicable in case of Existing licensee  Since Net Worth is not applicable for Existing Licensee, will a 'Not Applicable' entry suffice in the application form	Information has to be provided.

Query No.	Query	Response
222.	8.3.1 Application form (page 101 - point 6.b)	Information has to
	Net worth details of Promoter/ Partner/ Shareholder	be provided.
	Since Net Worth is not applicable for Existing Licensee, the applicant / promoter's own Net	
	Worth need not be submitted by Existing Licensee. Please confirm.	
223.	Clause 3.2 (ix)  "The Applicant Company shall have a minimum paid up equity capital equal to one tenth of networth prescribed above and shall submit a certificate to this effect (provided by the Company Secretary/ Statutory Auditors of the applicant company and countersigned by duly authorized Director of the Company) while applying for participation in the auction of spectrum. This paid up equity requirement would be in addition to the prescribed paid up	
	equity for obtaining UL."	NIA is self-
	This clause should not apply to Existing Operators. Please confirm.	explanatory.
	Also, what should the paid up capital be if the net worth of existing operator is negative?	NIA is self- explanatory.
	The networth and paid up capital should be accepted from last audited statement, even if it is	
	greater than one year. For example a particular shareholder with more than 10% equity may have last audited statement of Dec 2013, this should be acceptable, please confirm.	No change in NIA conditions.
224.	Clause 4.7 Allotment of spectrum	No change in NIA conditions.
	Upon receipt of the Successful Bid Amount, the WPC Wing of DoT shall issue a Letter of Intent	
	(LoI) earmarking the frequencies to the Successful Bidder ("earmarking of frequency"). The	
	effective date for reckoning the period of 20 years for which the right to use the spectrum has been acquired by the licensee through this auction shall be as defined in Section 3.8;	
	Upon successful bidder obtaining the letter amending the Existing License by way of inclusion of an addendum comprising of this NIA, and fulfilment of conditions as may be specified, the allotment of spectrum shall be made in response to an application, on prescribed format, for	

Query No.	Query	Response
	such spectrum;	
	Shouldn't the payment be made simultaneous to allocation of spectrum or within 10days of allocation of spectrum?	
	If the Spectrum Allotment is subsequent to the date of LOI, the Effective Date should be from the date of first allotment and not LOI date? Please confirm.	
	Within how many days of payment would the LOI be issued?	
	There is no time period specified from the date of issuance of LOI to Spectrum allotment. Please specify the time line for allotment of spectrum post issuance of LOI.	
	The conditions which may require to be fulfilled may be please specified now as part of the NIA. The conditions, if essential, to the process of "allot the rights to use certain specified radio spectrum frequencies" should be specified within NIA.	
	How would the spectrum allocation take place among bidders in circles acquiring spectrum being released by two different licensees who renew their license in 2015/16? For example, how would the timeline and methodology of spectrum allocation for a bidder which acquires 0.6 MHz of spectrum from licensee "A" renewing spectrum in Oct 2015 and remainder spectrum from licensee "B" renewing spectrum in Aug 2016. A simultaneous allocation is essential as the usage of entire spectrum acquired is required for provisioning of service and 20 years effective date should be calculated from this date or later date in case the allocation is staggered.	
225.	Clause 4.2.3 (a) Pre-qualification Conditions – (a) Application requirements	No change in NIA conditions.
	Request the DoT to provide additional time / opportunity to rectify any clerical/missing	

Query No.	Query	Response
	information in the application form, annexure and supporting documents — instead of summarily rejecting the application	
226.	Clause 5.1  Note: Each pre-qualified bidder can access the EAS from a maximum of four (4) different Public Static IP addresses. The pre-qualified bidders need to submit the details of the Public Static IP addresses.  A soft copy of the Public Keys of all the Digital Signature Certificates of the authorized personnel needs to be sent to the Auction Administrator.	No change in NIA conditions.
	Would the Auction system have flexibility to permit connectivity from Dynamic IP ("internet dongles") in case of major technical difficulties.  Would the DSC pairs as acquired last time and having validity be acceptable for authorised signatories?	
227.	Clause 8.3 Certified copy of Certificate of Registration along with Articles of Association and Memorandum of Association. Company Secretary to certify the copy (in case the Applicant is not an Indian company, copies of the incorporation documents of the Company have to certified by a Company Secretary registered in India)	In case of vacancy in the post of Company Secretary,an authorised signatory's signature will
	In the absence of Company Secretary, will the Director's certification suffice? If yes, will it then require 1 or 2 Director's sign?  Pls note - Section 203 (4) of Companies Act, 2013 provides that a vacancy of a Key Managerial Personnel (Company Secretary is a KMP) ought to be filled in within a period of 6 months. In case of such temporary vacancy the application should be allowed with signature of alternate signatory such as suggestions produced above.  Section 203 (4) of Companies Act, 2013:-  "If the office of any whole-time key managerial personnel is vacated, the resulting vacancy shall	suffice.

Query No.	Query	Response
	be filled-up by the Board at a meeting of the Board within a period of six months from the date of such vacancy."	
228.	Clause 2.1 Spectrum to be auctioned	
	The existing licensees with spectrum in 900 MHz and 1800 MHz band are required to bid for minimum 3 blocks, while the existing licensees with spectrum in 800 MHz band is permitted to bid for minimum 1 block. Shouldn't the existing licensee with spectrum in 900 MHz and 1800 MHz band be permitted to bid for minimum 1 block?	No change in NIA conditions.
	Would the E-GSM technology be permitted and facilitated for the Spectrum allotted in this Auction.	NIA is self- explanatory
229.	Clause 3.2, ii & Clause 2.1 b. (ii) 3.2 Associated Eligibility Conditions	NIA is self- explanatory.
	(ii) Existing UASL/CMTS/UL(AS)/UL licensees shall be treated as 'Existing Licensee' in those service areas for the frequency band(s) in which they already hold spectrum. Their eligibility to bid for spectrum blocks will be that of an existing operator. For the limited purpose of this provision, 900 MHz band, 1800 MHz band will be treated as the same band.  2.1 b Details of 900 MHz band	
	II. New entrant / licensees whose licenses are expiring in 2015-16/ Existing licensees whose licenses are not expiring in 2015-16 & holding spectrum only in 1800 MHz band would bid for a minimum of 5 MHz (paired) of spectrum in the 900 MHz band, except in West Bengal service area.	
	2.1 a Details of 1800 MHz band ii. The existing licensees would be required to bid a minimum of 0.6 MHz spectrum (paired).	
	The clause 3.2 states that licensee whose license is not expiring in 2015/2016 will be treated as	

Query No.	Query	Response
	"Existing licensee" and for this porpuse 900MHz or 1800MHz is treated as same band. The clause 2.1.a stipulates that "Existing licensee" can bid of 0.6 MHz spectrum (paired), However the clause 2.1.b contradicts this by categorising the existing licensee with new licensee. This is contradiction and it may be confirmed that existing licensee can bid for 0.6 MHz spectrum (paired) of 900MHz.	
230.	Clause 3.9 Liberalisation of existing spectrum holding in 800 MHz band  (a) TSPs would be allowed to convert their existing spectrum holding in 800 MHz into liberalised spectrum only for the balance validity period of the spectrum assignment on payment of the auction determined amount. The auction determined amount will be prorated for the balance validity period of spectrum assignment.  (b) In case more than one set of market determined prices are available, the latest market determined prices available at the time when the TSP wants to liberalise its spectrum holding, would be applied.  (c) If the market determined prices are more than one year old, the prevailing market rates proposed to be applied by indexing the last auction prices at the rate of SBI PLR.  How would a liberalisation charges be calculated in case no market determined prices is available?	NIA is self-explanatory.
231.	Clause 4.5 vii The successful bidder will have to submit an FBG equal to the annual instalment along with the upfront payment which shall be valid for 3 years. The format for the FBG is at Annexure 8.3.2.1. Can the FBG be submitted with one year validity and renewed annually prior to expiry instead of 3 year validity?	No change in NIA conditions.
232.	Clause 4.5 All payments will need to be made by the Successful Bidders through Real Time Gross	NIA is self- explanatory.

Query No.	Query	Response
	Settlement (RTGS) into the designated account that shall be specified by DoT.	
	Can the payment be made via a "Demand Draft"	
233.	Clause 2.1 a 2.1 a Details of 1800 MHz band In the LSAs, where total of 5 MHz (paired) or more spectrum is not available or where 5MHz (paired) or more spectrum is available but contiguous chunk of 5 MHz (paired) is not available in 1800 MHz band, the new entrants as well those licensees whose licenses are expiring in 2015-16 would be required to bid for a minimum of 0.6 MHz (paired).  Can a new entrant meet the network rollout obligation with only 0.6MHz of 1800MHz spectrum? The minimum bid size should be set according to minimum usable block size for a new entrant, please confirm?	NIA is self-explanatory.
234.	Clause 1.3.1 read with Clause 1.4 of NIA provides only two days for Mock Auction with Auctions to start immediately after the Mock Auction. We request that there should be more time given either for Mock Auctions or between end of Mock Auction and the Auction so that any glitches / issues found can be removed with due information and comfort to all stakeholders.	Kindly refer to Amendment No.3 to NIA. Further, handholding sessions for familiarization with Auction engine would be provided to pre-qualified bidders before mock auction, (session time/dates and details would be intimated to pre-qualified bidders by Auction

Query No.	Query	Response	
		Administrator	).
235.	In Clause 2.1 (a) (iv) (c) regarding 1800MHz band it is mentioned that those licensees whose licenses are expiring in 2015-16 and hold Spectrum in 1800 MHz band won through Auctions in November 2012 and February 2014 would be required to bid for a minimum of 0.6 MHz (paired). Thus, for this purpose, such Licensees will be in different category from "new entrants" as mentioned Clause 2.1 (a) (iv) (d) thereof. How will such a licensee be treated for the purpose of Clause 3.2 of the NIA and the Annexure 8.3.1.1 at Page 107 of the NIA? Please clarify the same and we request that this clarity be specifically given in line with Clause 2.1 of the NIA at all relevant places in the NIA, including the Application and Annexures thereto (including Annexure 8.3.1.1 at page 107 of the NIA), to avoid any confusion between provisions of this Clause and the term "New Entrant" defined in 3.2 of NIA and being used at other places in the NIA.  Similar clarification is requested in NIA in consonant to Clause 2.1 (b) regarding 900MHz band	NIA is explanatory.	self-
	where again there are two categories of "new entrant" and "licensees whose licenses are expiring in 2015-16".		
236.	Clause 3.1 of the NIA states that "Eligibility with regard to the number of blocks a bidder can bid depends on its categorization as an 'Existing Licensee' or as a 'New Entrant' in a particular Service Area in a particular band. Details may be seen below in Section 3.2.". However, this eligibility as to number of blocks is stated otherwise in Clause 2.1 (a) (iv) and 2.1(b) where "new entrants" and "licenses expiring in 2015-16" are treated differently. Request clear classifications for all purposes in the NIA (including Clause 3.1/3.2) and request necessary amendments in these Clause in line with and in consonance of Clause 2.1 of the NIA and request other corresponding necessary amendments in Application and the Annexures thereto for 'New Entrant", "new entrants", "Those licensees whose licenses are expiring in 2015-16 and hold spectrum in 1800MHz band won through Auctions in November 2012 and February 2014" and similar other reference in Clause 2.1 (a)(iv) and 2.1(b).	NIA is explanatory.	self-

Query No.	Query	Response
237.	In Annexure 2B for 900MHz band UP(West), frequencies of Vodafone South Limited, which are	Kindly refer to
	in use i.e. 890.2-896.2/935.2-941.2 have wrongly being mentioned. It may be corrected. Kindly,	Amendment No. 2
	also recheck all the frequencies for all circles.	to this NIA.
238.	Vodafone India Limited (VIL ) is a 100% holding company of:	
	a. Vodafone West Limited (UAS Licensee for Gujarat Service Area);	
	b. Vodafone Cellular Limited (UAS Licensee for Maharashtra and Kerala Service Areas);	
	c. Vodafone Digilink Limited (UAS Licensee for Rajasthan, Haryana and Uttar Pradesh (East) Service Areas);	
	Clause 3.2 (iii) of the NIA states that the bidders whose licences are due for expiry in 2015-16 and their spectrum put to auction will also be treated as 'New Entrants'.	
	Vodafone West Limited (VWL), Vodafone Cellular Limited (VCL) and Vodafone Digilink Limited (VDL), have licenses (as mentioned above) which are expiring in 2015-16 (as per the NIA issued by the DoT) and whose spectrum has been put for auction and who intend to participate and	
	bid in the Auction for these respective service areas .	A & B: Common parent
	Can VIL being the Common Parent of VWL, VCL and VDL, be the Applicant Company for these service areas of VWL, VCL and VDL?	company can bid on behalf of
	B. Please clarify further that in caseVI L is declared a Successful Bidder in Service Area(s), namely Gujarat, Maharashtra, Kerala, Rajasthan, Haryana and Uttar Pradesh (East), then	associate company (ies). However, for grant
	applications for Unified License for authorization for access services can be made in following	of unified licence,
	manner:	the applicant
		company has to

Query No.	Query		Response
	i.	VWL, a wholly-owned subsidiary of VIL can apply for Unified Licence with authorisation	fulfil the
		for access service for Gujarat Service Area,	requirements as prescribed in the
	ii.	VCL, a wholly-owned subsidiary of VIL can apply for Unified Licence with authorization	guidelines for grant
		for access service for, Maharashtra and Kerala Service Areas; and,	of unified licence.
	iii.	VDL, a wholly-owned subsidiary of VIL (as VIL holds 100% holding in Vodafone East Limited which in turn has 100% holding in VDL) can apply for Unified Licence with	
		authorisation for access service for Rajasthan, Haryana and Uttar Pradesh (East) Service Areas.	
		ill furnish an undertaking in this respect as part of the application in accordance with ement specified under section 8.3.3 of the NIA.	
	This v	vill be similar to procedure that was followed in last auctions for the other group anies.	
	A conf	firmation to the above is requested.	
	DoT. A merge and the to VM	ere is a merger application of Vodafone Mobile Services Limited (VMSL) pending before As per this merger, VCL, VDL, Vodafone East Limited and Vodafone South Limited are to in VMSL. In the event if any or all the four merging entities win spectrum in the auctions he merger is approved then as per merger scheme existing license will stand transferred ISL and VMSL will take authorisations for access services for respective service areas of and VDL. The spectrum won in auction by all four merging entities shall be accordingly	C: NIA is self-explanatory.
	transf subsid	erred to respective licenses/authorizations held by VMSL. VMSL shall remain 100% liary of VIL, the Applicant Company, post this merger. Kindly confirm that this standing is correct.	

Query No.	Query	Response
239.	Clause 3.2(iii) mentions that Bidders whose licenses are due for expiry in 2015-16 and whose spectrum in 900 and 1800 MHz band has been put to auction will also be treated as 'New Entrants'. The case of merger of TN (except Chennai) service area license, which is in name of Vodafone Cellular Limited, with Chennai Service Area is under consideration of DoT. The effective date of TN (except Chennai) service area license is 11 December 1995 and neither its 900MHz nor its 1800MHz spectrum have been put to auction. Hence, pending merger approval, Vodafone Cellular Limited for TN (except Chennai) and Vodafone South Limited for Chennai can through a common bidding entity participate as Existing Licensees in the manner as followed in earlier auctions.	DoT has already issued, in principle, permission for merger. The prescribed conditions have to be fulfilled by the concerned company.
	In respect of minimum spectrum to bid in 1800Mhz band they shall be governed by Clause 2.1(a)(II) of the NIA and will be required to bid a minimum of 0.6MHz spectrum(paired).	NIA is self- explanatory.
	Further both Vodafone Cellular Limited for TN (except Chennai) and Vodafone South Limited for Chennai will qualify as Associated Licensees under Clause 3.4 of the NIA since they are controlled by single entity Vodafone India Limited. Please clarify and confirm.	NIA is self- explanatory.
240.	The words wholly owned subsidiary as used in Clause (c) of the undertaking format in 8.3.3 of the NIA will include wholly owned indirect subsidiary (i.e.100% subsidiary of an 100% subsidiary). Please clarify in light of the fact that one of our group company which is an existing licensee and which has to be nominated for taking license for the purpose of continuity in case the Applicant company is successful bidder is and will remain the wholly owned indirect subsidiary of the Applicant. This will also be in line with the definition of Associated Licensee in which Common Parent should have at least 26%, directly or indirectly, in the company.	No change in NIA conditions.
241.	Clause 3.2(xii) of NIA in respect of Lock in Conditions states that "Further, these conditions are not applicable to existing licensees with effective date of licence as three years prior to date of allotment of spectrum as per terms and conditions of this NIA" Please confirm that this means that there is no lock in condition applicable in respect of a UAS licensee having effective	Lock-in condition for promoters' equity will be applicable as per

Query No.	Query	Response
	date of License in 1995 even after migrating to UL in 2015 due to end of initial period of 20 years as per the UL guidelines.	the NIA, if the existing licensee uses promoters' networth for gaining eligibility to bid in a band, where it does not hold spectrum. This is applicable to holders of expiring licenses as well.
242.	Clause 8.2 of Unified Guidelines dated 13.11.2014 state that "Further, on expiry of any of their current license(s), the Telecom Service Providers shall have to migrate its relevant licenses to Unified License with relevant authorization at the time of renewal/extension of license and obtain spectrum separately, which is delinked from Unified License, if required." This mandatory migration procedure is defined in Clause 8.3 of these guidelines requires payment of entry fee for such migration. There is no requirement of net worth at the time of migration in this Clause 8.3, rightly so since it is a mandated requirement of migration from an existing license to a new license for an on-going operation due to policy change. Please confirm that for such migration to UL there is no net worth condition applicable.	NIA is self- explanatory.
243.	DoT is requested that the requirement to show networth should not be applicable to extension licensees who have acquired spectrum in 1800MHz in Nov-12/Feb-14 auctions.	No change in NIA conditions.
244.	It may be noted that for the companies having financial year end as at 31 March and having last audited statements as on 31 March 2014 can provide Networth Certificate and Paid Up Capital Certificate signed by the Company Secretary or the Authorised signatory as on that date. In regard to Networth as on date or as on 30.09.2014 or as at 31.12.2014 a certificate given by Company Secretary or Authorized signatory of that Company(based on unaudited accounts) should meet the requirement together with a certificate of paid up capital signed by them.	Confirmed.

Query No.	Query	Response
	It may be noted that "Audited Certificates" for networth as on any other date except 31.03.2014 will not be possible since such audited certificates will require a detailed audit which cannot be conducted even up to 15.02.2015. In light of this practical problem we request that certificate signed by Company Secretary or Authorized Signatory as on Date or as on 31.12.2014 based on unaudited accounts should suffice. Please confirm.	
245.	Can DoT let us know the date on which it will publish the EMDs submitted by the each prequalified bidder and the associated eligibility points?	The date when prequalified bidders list is published. The Initial Eligibility points for each bidder would be determined by the amount of EMD which they have submitted to the Government. The same will be calculated by the Auction Administrator based on the EMD made by the Bidder. Specifically, the Initial Eligibility of a Bidder will equal the highest eligibility amongst those combinations

Query No.	Query	Response
		of Blocks per Service Areas that could be obtained with the EMD
		applied for by the Bidder.
246.	In terms of Note 1 and 3 and the explanations thereto on page 101/102 of the NIA, if networth	Certificates need
	of promoter is not being considered, the net worth and paid-up capital certificates in respect of promoters are not to be provided. Kindly confirm.	not be provided in such a case, however, information needs
		to be provided.
247.	In Clause 8 of the Application format at page 103 of the NIA, should reference to point 6(a) in the note be read as 6(b)?	Kindly refer to Amendment No. 3 to this NIA.
248	Clause 3.8 of the NIA mentions that validity period of spectrum shall be 20 years from the "Effective Date". We request that such Effective Date for the computation of validity period	No change in NIA conditions.
	should commence from the date of allocation of spectrum and not from the Date of LOI or the Preferred Date of Allotment indicated by successful bidder. This is essential otherwise if there	
	is a gap between the date of Allocation and Date of LOI or the Preferred Date then the	
	Successful Bidder will have to pay for 20 years but will be able to use spectrum for a lesser	
	period of time. We request that condition be amended accordingly.	
249.	Can DoT prescribe definitive timeline for allocation of spectrum in Clause 3.8 of NIA? This is necessary seeing the past experience where there have been delays.	NIA is self-explanatory.
250.	Page 37 of NIA mentions that "For service areas where neither the Group Bidding Entity, nor any of its Associated Licensees are existing UAS/CMTS/UL(AS)/UL Licensees with access service authorization, an undertaking confirming that, if the Applicantissuccessful in winning spectrum in	Kindly refer to Amendment No.3 to this NIA.

Query No.	Query	Response
	any such service area, it shall acquire a Unified License in advance of starting commercial operations."	
	In such a case the Applicant is allowed as per respective undertaking format in 8.3.3. of the NIA to also acquire the License with respective authorization for access service through its Associated Licensees or through a wholly owned subsidiary or accompany nominated by the Applicant Company where the Applicant has at least 26%. We therefore request that provisions at page 37 of NIA be amended accordingly and these should be made in consonance with the corresponding clause in undertaking format given in Annexure 8.3.3. Please clarify.	
251.	DoT may kindly share the spectrum holding of all existing licensees for all service areas in all the bands being considered for Spectrum Holding Capping Rule. This will provide transparency to all stakeholders on the spectrum caps.	Quantum of spectrum holdings (operator, and service area wise) may be seen on DoT website.
252.	The current EMF self-certification norms/guidelines, especially those pertaining to upgradation, do not take into account the scenario of spectrum changeover and further changes/up-gradations on account of spectrum in new band allocated to different operator. The certification is impossible to be met in case of such changeover processes. The concerns have been shared with DoT in regard to Delhi, Mumbai and Kolkata having such changeovers etc. at an unprecedented scale. DoT and DoT is fully aware of the issues involved as regard to self-certification. DoT is requested to issue guidelines EMF certification to take care of such a spectrum changeover scenario.	No change in NIA conditions.
253.	The NIA states that in case of New Entrants, upon declaration as successful applicant, the	Licensees whose licences are

Query No.	Query	Response
	applicant Company can apply to AS Wing of DoT for grant of anLoI for Unified License for applicable service area for which it proposes to participate in the auction. The date of validity of LoI to be issued on completion of the application for grant of Unified License shall be 20 days after the date of declaration of successful bidder. This provision does not address the case of licensees issued in 1995-96. DoT may kindly clarify the process for migrating to a Unified License in case of such licensees.	expiring in 15-16 are to apply for UL.
254.	The Eligibility Points per block in table 5-H for 900MHz for UP(East) and UP(W) are mentioned incorrectly. They are same as that of Eligibility Points for 1800MHz. DoT may increase the eligibility Points for 900MHz for UP(East) and UP(West) to 143.	Kindly refer to Amendment No.2 to this NIA.
255.	Clause 1.3 of NIA mentions as follows: Frequency reconfiguration i.e. rearrangement of spot frequencies in the same band, from within the assignments made to the licensees, may be carried out, with the authorization of WPC Wing, among the licensees, only when the entire spectrum held by them is liberalized or acquired through auction conducted in 2010, 2012, 2013, 2014 and onwards. No charges will be levied for rearrangement of frequency spots.  We submit that as per DoT spectrum allocated before 2010 auctions needs to be liberalized for the purpose of frequency reconfiguration/ rearrangement or harmonization amongst operators. Without prejudice, we submit that such reconfiguration may be required for overall efficient use of spectrum by all users and where the technology and service will remain same for operators having spectrum allocated to them before 2010. Thus, this condition to pay for liberalization even for harmonisation purposes may go against overall policy objectives of efficient use of spectrum. We request that such condition should not be imposed on the users. This condition in the NIA is also against TRAI's recommendations on frequency rearrangement. Please clarify.	No change in NIA conditions.
256.	NIA mentions simultaneous auction of 2100 MHz along with other spectrum bands in this NIA.	Kindly refer to

Query No.	Query	Response
	However, the NIA mentions that details regarding 2100MHz will be issued later. This is a key factor without which this NIA is an incomplete notice, unascertained and cannot be further acted upon used for making decisions. Even the pre bid conference has been held based on this incomplete NIA and same concerns were raised by most of the stakeholders present. In light of this even our queries may not be correct or may not be complete or there may be more queries once the NIA is fully provided by including all relevant details regarding 2100MHz. We have requested DoT to consider inclusion of 15-20 MHz of 2100 MHz spectrum (as recommended by TRAI for valid reasons and reiterated by it in its response to reference back on 15 January 2015) in the NIA, which NIA should be issued afresh followed by the prebid conference, queries and responses with remaining timelines to be scheduled accordingly. We request consideration of the same and request an early clarity.	Amendment No.1 and Amendment No.2 to this NIA.
257.	In respect of existing 2100MHz spectrum allocations in 2010, DoT is fully aware and accepts that there is heavy interference in service areas of Haryana and Gujarat. Kindly confirm and ensure that issue of this major interference being faced will be addressed now by swapping, if there is no other solution with WPC-DoT, so that only clean spectrum, for which DoT has been paid the consideration as per 2010 Auctions, is put to use at least henceforth to enable provision of services which have been severally hampered.	Spectrum being put to auction is on "as is where is" basis.
258.	In respect of quantum of spectrum as mentioned in Clause 2.1 of the NIA, it is submitted that TRAI has clearly cautioned that "There is a severe shortage of spectrum in both the 900 and 1800 MHz bands. Auctioning spectrum of expiring licences in such a supply- constrained situation will lead to serious problems and unintended outcomes." We submit that the supply constrained situation continues seeing the quantum of spectrum in the NIA. Supply of both 900 and 1800 MHz can be augmented by unilateral action of the DoT. Specific measures were suggested by TRAI in this regard. TRAI has further mentioned that the end result of such an auction can only be what the Authority sketched in its Recommendations of October, 2014,	These are as per the decision(s) of the Government.

Query No.	Query	Response
	namely, industrial distress, a sharp fall in sectoral investment, a deterioration in the quality of service and consumer difficulties (in terms of access and/or higher tariffs) and such an auction with constrained supply of spectrum will defeat the objectives of Auction as set out in the NIA. We request you to clarify why such measures have not been taken by DoT despite recommendation of expert body like TRAI and despite the requests of various stakeholders.	
259.	In respect of minimum spectrum for bidding bid as mentioned in Clause 2.1 of the NIA, we submit that keeping in view the limited availability of spectrum it is preferable to reduce the requirement of the minimum quantum of spectrum that each bidder is required to bid for in the 900 MHz band. There have been detailed reasons given by stakeholders and by TRAI in support thereof. However, from the reference back of DoT it can be seen that DoT has not furnished any grounds for not agreeing with the reasons given by TRAI to reduce the minimum quantum from 2x5 MHz to 2x3.6/2.4 MHz. We request reconsideration and reduction of the "minimum spectrum for bidding.	No change in NIA conditions.
260.	When will the guidelines for spectrum trading and spectrum sharing be announced by DoT? Spectrum Sharing and Trading are the main strategies regarding Spectrum Management in NTP12 to enable optimal utilisation of spectrum through appropriate regulatory framework. Respective recommendations have long back been given in this respect by TRAI. It is imperative that for fair, efficient and transparent process and for meeting the objectives of Auction as set out in the NIA, these guidelines be announced before the ensuing auctions. Is there any reason for DoT to hold back these guidelines? Kindly give some clarity.	Spectrum sharing trading guidelines are under consideration &will be issued in due course, which may not necessarily be before the Auction.
261.	The NIA (Annexure 2C) states that each carrier in existing quantum in 800MHz is associated with 1.23 MHz bandwidth (i.e. 0.615 MHz on either side) i.e. Carrier 875.55 MHz is 874.935 to 876.165 MHz. Please clarify that since existing allocations are in block size of 1.23MHz, while current spectrum is being auctioned in blocks of 1.25MHz, how such existing blocks can be	NIA is self- explanatory.

Query No.	Query	Response
	made contiguous with new blocks?	
262.	With reference to Clause 3.9/3.10 of the NIA, in the context 800MHz spectrum, and without prejudice to our contention of the existing spectrum being technology neutral  (a) How will the reference "market price" be determined in service areas where no contiguous 5MHz spectrum is being auctioned? Any price discovered in this auction in such service areas will be for non-contiguous spectrum and therefore not representative of the value of "liberalised" spectrum.  (b) In service areas where contiguous 5MHz spectrum is being put to auction, how will the reference "market price" be determined in case (i) no spectrum is sold in the current auction; and (ii) some but not all spectrum is sold in the current auction.	NIA is self-explanatory.  NIA is self-explanatory.
263.	DoT is requested to clarify why 1.8MHz in 1800MHz is still being reserved for Aircel in case DoT has not realised the money as per its demand. This 1.8MHz should be made available for auction and should not be with-held. Please clarify	Matter is subjudice.
264.	The SUC on 800MHz spectrum allocated pursuant to this auction will be @5% of AGR as in the case of 900/1800MHz bands. Please confirm.	Orders w.r.t. applicable SUC may please be seen on DoT website from time to time.
265.	The Press Release dated 31-January-2014 sated that the Cabinet noted that as a matter of policy, it is desirable to move to a flat rate SUC and adoption of a weighted average would provide a path for such transition. It further stated that the above decisions are expected to improve the bidding sentiment in the forthcoming auction. Is there any time line to move to a flat rate of SUC?	NIA is self- explanatory.
266.	DoT may clarify that he CDMA operators will be free to provide any technology for the mobile networks i.e. GSM/LTE after getting the existing 800MHz spectrum liberalized and that the DoT will facilitate the harmonization of spectrum, wherever needed.	NIA is self- explanatory.

Query No.	Query	Response
267.	Request to allow Existing Licensees whose licenses are expiring in 2015-16 to bid for minimum	No change in NIA
	of 4.4MHz of 900 MHz spectrum in North East Service Area.	conditions.
	Clause 2.1(b) of the NIA allows new entrants / licensees whose licenses are expiring in 2015-16	
	/ existing licensees whose licences are not expiring in 2015-16 & holding spectrum only in	
	1800MHz to bid for minimum of 5 MHz of spectrum in 900MHz, except in WB.	
	Considering the above-said auction design rule and availability of only 8.8mHz of 900MHz	
	available for forthcoming auction in N.E. circle, both existing licensees whose licences are	
	expiring in 2015-16 will not be able to win back their existing quantum of 4.4MHz each in	
	900MHz, thereby leading to a situation that only one of such licencee may be able to acquire	
	5MHz of 900MHz and other licencee will be deprived of the 900MHz spectrum.	
	We believe that equal opportunity should be provided to the existing licensees whose licences	
	are expiring in NE in 2015-16 to win back their current holdings of 4.4 MHz in 900mHz.	
	It is therefore pertinent that DoT may kindly revisit its decision and allow existing licensees	
	whose licences are expiring in 2015-16 to bid for a block size of 4.4MHz in 900MHz in N E	
	circle, so as toenable existing licensees whose licenses are expiring in 2015-16 to win back their	
	current holding and continue serving the existing subscriber base. It may kindly be noted that	
	the TSPs have been permitted to bid a minimum of 4.4MHz instead of 5 MHz in respect of West	
	Bengal service area also where the quantum of available spectrum was less.	
268.	This is in reference to the above-mentioned NIA dated 9.01.2015.	No change in NIA
		conditions.
	In this regard, we would like to highlight a serious issue which has the potential to impede	It is also clarified
	achieving the objectives laid down in the NIA and seriously jeopardize and restrict competition	that
	in bidding. The extract of NIA as well as details of issue is captured below:	i) The requirement
	ers are reduired to snow a networth of Rs. 100 crores per service area irs. 50 crores each i	of networth (100
	for J&K and North East Service Areas), in which bidder wants to submit bids. The networth	crores/ 50 crores)

Query No.	Query	Response
	requirement is applicable in case of 'New Entrants'. For this purpose:-	to be shown is per
		service area (and
	a) Existing Unified Licence (Access Service)/ Existing UASL/CMTS/UL licensees shall be treated as	not band) for such
	'New Entrant' in those service area(s) for the frequency bands* in which they do not hold spectrum at present.	service areas in such bands, where
	b) Bidders whose licenses are due for expiry in 2015-16 and whose spectrum in 900 and 1800 MHz band has been put to auction will also be treated as 'New Entrants'.	that bidder is a new entrant.
	c) Entities, who are not an existing licensee, will be treated as 'New Entrants'.	Therefore, a
	d) The networth requirement is not applicable in case of Existing licensee. Existing UASL/CMTS/UL(AS)/UL licensees shall be treated as 'Existing Licensee' in those service areas for the frequency band(s)* in which they already hold spectrum.	bidder, who is a new entrant in all the three bands (2100, 1800/900
	* 900MHz/1800 MHz bands would be treated as same band for this purpose; 800 MHz and 2100 MHz would be treated as separate bands.	and 800) will need to show a networth of 100 crores (or 50
	The definition of networth shall be as prescribed in Guidelines for grant of Unified License issued vide DoT no. 20-281/2010-AS-I (Vol VI) dated 13.11.2014. This networth requirement is to be met at the time of application for participation in the auction. The applicant shall submit a certificate to this effect signed by the Company Secretary or authorized signatory of the Company while applying for participation in Auction."	crores in case of NE, J&K) only for bidding in all the three bands.  ii) Further, e.g an existing licensee
	To explain the anomaly e.g. for 2100 MHz expected to be put up for auction under this NIA, it is unfair to treat it as a different band from 900/1800 MHz, for the purpose of Networth. By treating 2100 MHz as a different band, excessive restrictions are being placed on the existing operators indirectly thus, making it impossible for some of the existing players to meet the same and hence, participate. Since, no existing operator has got earlier pan-India 2100 MHz spectrum, if the restriction applies some of the major players may get ineligible for participation in auction merely because so far they are not been able to become Networth positive even though they have made huge investments to the extent of Thousands of Crore	with negative networth and holding spectrum in say 1800 or 900 MHz band, can bid in 1800/900 band. But, such a bidder would not be

Query No.	Query	Response
	INR and contribute hundreds of Crore via means of license & spectrum fees.	eligible to bid in 2100MHz or 800
	For example, Aircel Group has Pan-India access license, with 900/1800 MHz spectrum in 22 service areas, 2100 MHz in 13 service areas and 2300 MHz spectrum in 8 service areas. With such large investment and capital spent in procuring license, 2100, 2300 and 1800 MHz spectrum across so many areas, Aircel Group and all similarly placed operators would be denied an opportunity to bid in the 2100 MHz auction, in circles where it does not have existing 2100 MHz spectrum.	MHz bands, unless it uses the networth of its promoters (>= 10% direct equity holding).
	Therefore, if this anomaly is not corrected such players can never have pan-India footprints thereby not only penalizing the operators but, its subscribers as well. At the same time, it would tend to selectively help the operators with deep pockets and thereby seriously jeopardizing the level playing field and competitive forces.	
	Contrary to Objectives of NIA: We would like to reproduce the extract of objectives stated in the said NIA, as follows:-	
	<ul> <li>"Obtain a market determined price of Spectrum in 2100 MHz, 1800 MHz, 900 MHz and 800 MHz bands through a transparent process;</li> <li>Stimulate competition in the sector;</li> </ul>	
	Maximise revenue proceeds from the Auctions within the set parameters."	
	The above-said issue of Networth would hinder and derail the objectives stated-above. By putting artificial restrictions and making serious existing operators ineligible to participate, the market determined price would not be available and revenues from auctions may not be maximized. It will only lead to operators having deep pockets, who may then get spectrum with lessor bidding/price.	
	Liberalized and technology neutral spectrum band do not need legacy based categorization: With the present licensing policy & technological evolution, all the spectrum bands band can be	

Query No.	Query	Response
	used to provide technologically neutral 2G/3G/4G services, for example 900MHz band & 2100 MHz band are now both developed 3G bands. Similarly, both 1800 MHz and 800 MHz bands are being extensively used for LTE services providing high speed data services that are superior to 3G services. Now, an existing operator with spectrum holding in 1800 MHz or 900 MHz band can bid for these bands but, will not be able to bid for 800 MHz / 2100 MHz for providing same set of high speed services (4G/3G), in case the operator is unable to meet the networth criteria. Therefore, this way of spectrum band classification for the purpose of eligibility / networth creates restriction thereby limiting the number of participants in auction.	
	Our Submission on Networth:  Considering all above plausible reasons, we request you to kindly intervene and remove Networth eligibility criteria for existing telecom operators, who have spectrum in any band i.e. 800 MHz / 900 MHz / 1800 MHz / 2100 MHz in a service area. A suggestive change in the text of NIA is also given below:	
	"3.2 (vii) d)	
	* 800 MHz/900MHz/1800MHz/2100 MHz bands would be treated as same band for this purpose; 800 MHz and 2100 MHz would be treated as separate bands."  Similar references in the NIA may please be amended. We hope above would meet DoT's kind consideration and an amendment to NIA would be issued timely.	
269.	Subject: Interference Free Spectrum to be made Available	Spectrum being put to auction is on "as
	heavy interference in 2100 MHz spectrum allocated in LSAs like Punjab, Gujarat, J&K and Haryana through auction in 2100 MHz band in April 2010.	is where is" basis.
	The TSPs as well as the Associations have been representing this issue of interference in 3G band since 2011 to the DoT, WPC and WMOs at circle levels at various occasions. WPC has	

Query No.	Query	Response
	itself acknowledged vide its letter dated July 11, 2011 that in some cases / locations the source of interference appears to be from across the border, however; till date the issue has not been resolved and the TSPs are still struggling to get clean spectrum in these LSAs, despite paying huge market rice at the time of auction for interference free and "usable" spectrum.	
	It has already been conveyed that the delay in resolution of this interference issue is adversely affecting the desired 3G expansion / roll out plans of the TSPs in these areas which means considerable and continuous drain on the operational cost and huge potential revenue loss.	
	We request your urgent intervention in the issue and request that: Before putting the 3G blocks for auction, the interfered spectrum of existing operators needs to be resolved - in all the above mentioned service areas. Our member operators should be allocated an equal amount of interference free band for providing adequate quality of 3G services in these service areas.	
	In this regard, it is also pertinent to note that the TRAI has also recommended the following in its recommendations on Valuation and Reserve Price of Spectrum: 2100 MHz Band dated December 31, 2014:	
	"4.5 The Authority recommends that the issue of interference, reported in the 2100 MHz band in some LSAs, needs to be resolved before putting fresh spectrum blocks to auction in these LSAs. Further, it is imperative to ensure that spectrum blocks being put to auction are interference-free."	
	In the absence of clean frequency, the services itself could not be offered commercially in the complete service area, then it would not be possible for our member operators to meet the 3G Minimum Rollout Obligations in such LSAs in a timely manner. Hence the Rollout Obligations neither can be met, nor should be enforced upon till the existing spectrum block is replaced by totally interference free spectrum block by the DoT.	
	We thus request the Government to kindly accept the TRAI recommendations in this regard we	

Query No.	Query					Response	
	also look forward fo	or an early resolutio	n of the issue.				
270.							No changes in NIA condition.
	•	ntum of spectrum at amended to allow		•	this restriction	on be	
271.	the auction held in 2014 mentions the following about emissions from the base station			As per prevailing procedures and norms.			
	SA	Freq carriers in MHz	Power in Watts	Emission	Hours operation	of	
	ABC	As per annexure	2/20 W	5MOOG7W 3MOOG7W 1M4OG7W	H-24		
	<ul> <li>20 watts of per 5 MHz of this auct band, which he will be a</li> </ul>	power is allowed perhannel. In may be contiguous to may be contiguous to mad 5 MHz channel.	er transmit port of acquires second 5 s or non-contiguou	the base station (e MHz channel in the s with the first 5 M	NodeB) secto ne same spec MHz channel,	er and etrum then	
272.	•	ncy Identification St MHz band, in such Se	_	•			Allocation of non- contiguous

Query No.	Query	Response
	(2 blocks) spectrum is available, for contiguous spectrum, allocation will be performed for bidders who have won a minimum of 3.75 MHz or 2.50 MHz spectrum respectively. All such bidders will be considered for contiguous spectrum in order of their ranking, subject to availability of contiguous spectrum."  In view of this please confirm our understanding as per following. In 800 MHz band, in such Service Areas (such as Haryana, Orissa etc.), where spectrum availability is 5 MHz or more but the largest contiguous spectrum available is 3.75 MHz or 2.5 MHz, allocation will be performed for bidders in the order of their ranking. For bidders who have won a minimum of 5 MHz the allocation will contain the largest contiguous spectrum available (e.g. In Orissa Service area the	spectrum would be done on the basis of Ranking and best fit to the quantum of spectrum won. This is also applicable to the examples on pages 80, 81 and 82, in respect of allocation of non-
	first ranked bidder with 5 MHz will necessarily get allocation of 3.75 MHz contiguous spectrum + 1.25 MHz non-contiguous spectrum).	contiguous.
273.	Discrepancies:  1)  Annexure 2A-1880 MHz  Karnataka — Slot C mentions two carriers 1743.6/1838.6 and 1744.6/1839.6 which are not contiguous. Hence in our opinion the second carrier should be 1743.8/1838.8 instead of	Kindly refer to Amendment No.2 to this NIA.
	1744.6/1839.6 to maintain the contiguity. Secondly the carrier 1744.6/1839.6 is the centrefrequency of 1744.50/1839.5 (UL) and 1744.70/1839.7 (DL) allocated to Airtel post auctions held during 2014.	
	2) Annexure 2B- 900 MHz	
	UP West- Vodafone spots (890.2- 896.2/935.2-941.2 – 6.2MHZ) have been shown which is not part of the auction spectrum. This should be replaced with IDEA spectrum (896.4-902.4/956.4-	

Query No.	Query	Response
	947.4- 6.2MHz) – Already raised in earlier query	
	UP EAST- Airtel spots (890.2- 896.2/935.2-941.2 – 6.2MHZ) have been shown which is not part of the auction spectrum. This should be replaced with Vodafone spectrum (896.4-902.4/956.4-947.4- 6.2MHz)	
	Maharashtra- Vodafone has 890.2- 896.2/935.2-941.2 – 6.2MHZ in 900 MHz except 4.4 MHz in GMRT area in 1800 MHz.	
	You are requested to consider the same.	
274.	In case of a 1995-96 license for a particular Service Area, expiring as per DoT and its spectrum allotted before 2010 in 1800 & 900 is put for auction (which license say is in name of X company), if a Group Bidding Entity (GBE) of X (say Y company)) participates in the auction and acquires spectrum in that particular service area (s) then can the Unified License (Access Services) for such service area(s) be taken in name of the GBE ( Y company) or any of its other Associated Licensees instead of X Company? It should be possible, since the choice has been given to take License in name of GBE or its wholly owned subsidiary company or its associated company.	As per UL guidelines.
	In such case the spectrum acquired by X under UASL through auctions carried out in 2010/2012/2014 and resources held by X, will stand transferred to the Unified License (Access Services) taken by GBE or any of its other Associated Licensees for the respective service areas. Please clarify.	
275.	1. We write this letter in the context of Notice for Inviting Applications for Auction of spectrum in 2100, 1800, 900 and 800 MHz bands, issued on 9 January 2015 (" <b>NIA</b> ") and more particularly Clause 3.10 of the NIA, which reads as under:	No change in NIA conditions.

Query No.	Query	Response
	"Regarding rate of charging for making spectrum contiguous, acquired in the auction held in March 2013, the licensee in such cases would be charged differential of the latest auction price and the March 2013 auction price on pro-rata basis on the balance period of right to use the spectrum."	
	2. A reading of the aforesaid clause of the NIA shows that for making spectrum acquired in 800 MHz band in the 2013 auction contiguous, the licensee has to make the payment at differential of the latest auction price and the March 2013 auction price on pro rata basis on the balance period of right to use spectrum. We are quite surprised by the aforesaid prescription of levy of additional charge. You would appreciate that SSTL was the only bidder in 800 MHz in 2013 and as such this clause is applicable only to SSTL.	
	3. While clause 3.10 seems to suggest that payment has to be made to make the spectrum in 800 MHz acquired in 2013 contiguous, the NIA at the same time at pages 8 and 84 has also provided for following:	
	"Frequency reconfiguration i.e. rearrangement of spot frequencies in the same band, within the assignments made to the licensees, may be carried out, with the authorization of WPC Wing, among the licensees, only when the entire spectrum held by them is liberalized or acquired through auction conducted in 2010, 2012, 2013, 2014 and onwards. No charges will be levied for rearrangement of frequency spots."	
	4. You would appreciate that the sole purpose for frequency reconfiguration is nothing but contiguity and for such frequency reconfiguration no payment is to be made as per the NIA. Strangely for making the spectrum in 800 MHz band acquired in 2013 auction contiguous, additional payment has to be now made in terms of clause 3.10 of NIA. The aforesaid clauses of NIA, in our view, are contradictory.	
	5. Apart from above, we are also at loss to understand and have not appreciated as to what was so peculiar about the spectrum auction in 800 MHz band in March 2013 which was	

Query No.	Query	Response
	not there in the auctions conducted prior and after March 2013 i.e. 2010, 2012 and 2014 that clause 3.10 has been incorporated. Moreover, similar condition of payment of additional charge for contiguity is not there for other bands and operators are free to rearrange/seek contiguous spectrum free of charge. While the price for contiguous and non-contiguous spectrum in 1800 MHz and 900 MHz bands is same in the proposed auction, making a condition of payment for making contiguous spectrum in 800 MHz acquired in 2013 auction is discriminatory and devoid of any rationale/basis. This clearly shows that SSTL has been singled out for discriminatory treatment. Thus clause 3.10 is <i>per se</i> discriminatory in nature. The acquisition of spectrum in auction by SSTL is no less valued than the spectrum acquired by other operators in other bands and there cannot be any discriminatory treatment between different types of spectrum particularly when the spectrum is acquired through auction.	
	6. Clause 3.10 of the NIA dated 9 January 2015 is also unreasonable in as much as it seeks to make a distinction between contiguous and non-contiguous spectrum in 800 MHz band by prescribing additional charge for making spectrum acquired in 2013 auction contiguous. You would appreciate that TRAI also did not take into consideration the contiguity/non-contiguity aspect into consideration while fixing the reserve price for auction in its recommendations dated 22 February 2014 and as explained in response dated 27 November 2014 to reference from GOI on the aforesaid recommendations as is clear from the following relevant extract:	
	"The Authority did not proceed on the basis of any presumption while recommending the reserve price for the spectrum in the 800 MHz spectrum. The Authority, in making its Recommendations on contiguity, was pointing to the need for contiguity to unlock the value of spectrum by increasing spectral efficiency and reducing associated transaction costs. The valuation and reserve prices set were not contingent on the contiguity of spectrum. Nowhere had the Authority presumed that contiguous blocks of spectrum would be available while making its Recommendations on valuation and reserve price. The burden of any presumption to the contrary will lie with DoT, and not with the	

Query No.	Query	Response
	Authority."	
	This means that the TRAI itself was conscious that there is no separate charge for contiguity and basic premise is that every operator who takes part in auction and is successful shall be given the contiguous spectrum and if this contiguous spectrum is not available, then the reconfiguration/rearrangement of frequencies will take place without any charge. Clause 3.10 also goes against the said understanding of the sector regulator, TRAI.	
	7. Without going into history / circumstances in which the auctions were conducted in 2012, 2013 and 2014, you would appreciate that SSTL took part in the auction of spectrum in 800 MHz on the terms and conditions contained in NIA issued by GOI on 30 January 2013. The terms and conditions of aforesaid NIA govern, the acquired spectrum, during the entire period for which spectrum was acquired and no onerous condition can be applied subsequently. Our decision to participate in the said auction was based upon the clear understanding of the legal and regulatory framework and the promises made by GOI, which suggested that 800 MHz would be treated fairly like any other spectrum band and all opportunities like rearrangement of frequencies into contiguous spectrum, when possible, would be available for the future growth and up-gradation of networks. In fact clause 6.5 of the NIA dated 30 January 2013 interalia provides as under:  "Frequency reconfiguration i.e. rearrangement of spot frequencies in the same band, from within the assignments made to the licensees, may be carried out, with the authorization of WPC Wing, among the licensees, only when the entire spectrum held by them is liberalized.  No charges will be levied for rearrangement of frequency spots."	
	8. The NIA dated 30 January 2013 nowhere suggested that in future, for making spectrum	
	acquired in the 2013 auction contiguous additional payments have to be made. Gol cannot now go back on the express terms and conditions of NIA dated 30 January 2013 particularly when SSTI has acted upon said promises and made investment. Incorporation of clause 3.10 in	
	when SSTL has acted upon said promises and made investment. Incorporation of clause 3.10 in NIA dated 9 January 2015 amounts to going back and reneging from the promises made by	

Query No.	Query	Response
	GOI. Clause 3.10 of NIA dated 9 January 2015 implies that the new terms and conditions are being imposed qua the spectrum acquired by SSTL in 2013; which amounts to unilateral and retrospective amendment of the contract . This is impermissible.	
	9. You would appreciate that the spectrum auctioned in 2013 and in the forthcoming auction is a liberalized spectrum i.e. operators are free to deploy any technology including Next Generation Technologies like LTE for which requirement of contiguous spectrum is inherent. Therefore allocation of spectrum in contiguous blocks, wherever available, is inherent in the scheme and no additional charges can be levied subsequently for rearrangement of frequencies into contiguous spectrum.	
	11. SSTL has made long term investment in India in excess of USD 3.6 billion and has clear strategy to make significant additional investment in network upgradation. However, it would not be possible unless frequencies are allocated in contiguous block and/or if SSTL is called to make payments for making spectrum acquired in 2013 auction contiguous.	
	<u>Request</u>	
	In view of the above, we urge the Government of India to delete Clause 3.10 of NIA dated 9 January 2014	
276.	Clause 2.1 c) II (Details of 800 MHz band) mandates the minimum blocks a new entrant is required to bid based on the spectrum availability in a particular LSA. However the reading of this clause along with the annexure 2C indicates than in many LSAs the availability of contiguous blocks of spectrum is much lower than the availability of total spectrum. For instance in Orissa total 6 blocks are available for auction and whereas only 3 blocks of contiguous spectrum is available.	No change in NIA conditions.
	In such scenarios the new entrant will be unnecessarily burdened with non-contiguous spectrum along with the contiguous blocks of spectrum, without being able to effectively utilize	

Query No.	Query	Response
	it. It should also be noted that the new and efficient technologies like LTE etc. can be deployed even with contiguous spectrum which is less than 5 MHz such as 1.4 MHz or 3 MHz.	
	Taking this into consideration, the NIA already has made provision for 1800 MHz spectrum band that "In the LSAs, where total of 5 MHz (paired) or more spectrum is not available or where 5MHz (paired) or more spectrum is available but contiguous chunk of 5 MHz (paired) is not available in 1800 MHz band, the new entrants as well those licensees whose licenses are expiring in 2015-16 would be required to bid for a minimum of 0.6 MHz (paired)."	
	In view of this and to serve the auction objectives of stimulating competition in sector and to ensure efficient use of spectrum, the provision made for 1800 MHz band should be extended to the spectrum in 800 MHz band. Therefore the minimum blocks bid requirement for a new entrant in 800 MHz band should be amended as per following	
	"II. A new entrant is required to bid	
	a. For a minimum of 4 block, in those LSAs where 4 or more contiguous blocks are available	
	b. For a minimum of 3 block, in those LSAs where less than 4 contiguous blocks but equal to 3 contiguous blocks is available	
	c. For a minimum of 2 block, in those LSAs where less than 3 contiguous blocks but equal to 2 contiguous blocks is available"	
277.	Clause 2.1 c) I (Block size in 800 MHz band) The NIA has specified 1.25 MHz as block size in 800 MHz band and the calculations for reserve price, EMD, eligibility points are all based on this quantification of the spectrum block size. However the Annexure 2C provides for 1.23 MHz	No change in NIA conditions.

Query No.	Query	Response
	block size. We therefore request DoT to clarify this anomaly. In the event the block size is going	
	to be 1.23 MHz, the reserve price, EMD amount etc. in the NIA should be revised accordingly.	
278.	Clause 8.3.1 6-3-I-(ii) (Application format) states that "Audited certificates for "Networth" and	
	"Paid Up capital" as on 31-12-2014, signed by Company Secretary or Authorized Certificates,	
	would be submitted by the Company, not later than 15-02-2015." In this respect please confirm	
	our following understanding	
	a. Ifnetworth of promoter is not used for meeting the eligibility criteria for the auction by the applicant company, audited certificate need not be given for the promoter networth and paid up capital.	a. Certificates need not be provided in such a case, however, information needs to be provided.
	b. Certificate from the Auditor of the applicant company would also be required certifying Networth and Paid up capital as on 31-12-2014	b & c) Please refer Amendment No. 3
	c. The date for submission of such certificate from the auditor would be suitably extended in view of revised schedule	
279.	Section 5.5 Frequency Identification Stage: In case of 800 MHz band NIA provision states that	Allocation of non-
	"However, in 800 MHz band, in such Service Areas, where only 3.75 MHz (3 blocks) or 2.5 MHz	contiguous
	(2 blocks) spectrum is available, for contiguous spectrum, allocation will be performed for	spectrum would be
	bidders who have won a minimum of 3.75 MHz or 2.50 MHz spectrum respectively. All such	done on the basis of Ranking and best
	bidders will be considered for contiguous spectrum in order of their ranking, subject to	fit to the quantum
	availability of contiguous spectrum."	of spectrum won.
	In view of this please confirm our understanding as per following. In 800 MHz band, in such	This is also
	Service Areas (such as Haryana, Orissa etc.), where spectrum availability is 5 MHz or more but	applicable to the
	the largest contiguous spectrum available is 3.75 MHz or 2.5 MHz, allocation will be performed	examples on pages

Query No.	Query	Response
	for bidders in the order of their ranking. For bidders who have won a minimum of 5 MHz the allocation will contain the largest contiguous spectrum available (e.g. In Orissa Service area the first ranked bidder with 5 MHz will necessarily get allocation of 3.75 MHz contiguous spectrum + 1.25 MHz non-contiguous spectrum).	80, 81 and 82, in respect of allocation of noncontiguous.
280.	Reference Amendment no 2 Annexure 2-A 1800 MHz Carriers	Kindly refer to Amendment No. 3 to this NIA.
	According to "Annexure 2-A, Amendment 2" the number of blocks sold in the 1800 MHz band in Gujarat has been increased from 17 is 18. This will impact all tables in the NIA including blocks and amounts sold (e.g. Table 5.A). Could DoT please publish amendments to the NIA accordingly"  Recommendation: We fully support that DoT publishes amendments to the NIA. When new amendments are made, could DoT please also publish a complete updated last version of the NIA on DoT"s auction website	
281.	Reference Following New Clause 3.6.1.2 Rollout Obligations for Spectrum in 2100MHz band is inserted (page 7) Q : The DHQs should be replaced with "DHQs or towns in lieu thereof". This has been the norm for rollout obligations as prescribed in earlier NIAs.  DOT may issue the necessary amendment.	No change in NIA conditions.
282.	Reference 3.6.1.2 (ii) New Entrants acquiring spectrum 2100 MHz band will have the following rollout obligations: Q: What is the cumulative percentage of DHQs or towns in lieu thereof, that a new entrant has to	NIA is self- explanatory.

Query No.	Query	Response
	cover at the end of 5 years in order to fulfill the minimum rollout obligation prescribed in	
	3.6.1.2(ii)	
283.	Reference	Kindly refer to
	Page 7, Para 5 – Clock Stage This Para is modified and may be read as:	Amendment No.2 to NIA.
	The following note has been taken out in the Amendment No 2 * For this purpose, 900 MHz	
	and 1800 MHz bands would be treated as one.	
	Q:	
	DOT may kindly retain the above note below the para 5 on page 7.	
284.	Reference	No change in NIA
	Overall NIA	conditions.
	Q	
	In view of the above we request DoT to publish a complete updated last version of NIA on the	
	website.	
285.	Reference	This is not related
	Annexure 2 A, B, C	to present auction.
	WPC has published the quantum of spectrum held by various licensees in different bands as on 31.Jan.2015.	
	While the spot frequencies from Y2010, Y2012, Y2013, Y2014 auctions are available in publicdomain, there has been mutual exchange of frequencies (not available in public domain).	
	The information on administrative allocations prior to Y2010 as well as the guard band and	
	vacant spectrum is not available in public domain. These are valuable information for spectrum evaluation.	
	Q:	

Query No.	Query	Response
	We request that the following information may be published on a pan-India basis and made	
	part of NIA:	
	Spot frequency of allocations to TSPs	
	Guard band	
	Vacant spectrum	
286.	An Existing Licensee, holding UAS License in a Circle, wants to bid for 2100 MHz in the same	UL guidelines are
	Circle wherein it does not hold the 2100 MHz. So, it will bid as New Entrant. If 2100 MHz is won	self-explanatory
	through the auction, does such Existing Licensee compulsorily need to migrate to Unified	
	License or its existing UAS license will be amended for usage of 2100 MHz band?	
287.	The current Spectrum Usage Charges for 2100 MHz is governed by the clause 3.5 of NIA issued	Orders w.r.t.
	on 25.02.2010 for Auction of 3G and Broadband Spectrum. Please confirm that same clause is	applicable SUC may
	applicable for SUC for the /spectrum won in 2100 MHz band during the current auction	please be seen on
		DoT website from time to time.
288.	Amendment No 2 to NIA issued on 29.01.2015, has introduced an additional clause 3.6.1.2 on	No change in NIA
	Rollout Obligations for Spectrum in 2100MHz band only at DHQ level. Please clarify whether	conditions.
	Block Headquarter (BHQ) level rollout obligation is also applicable to newly acquired spectrum	
	in 2100 MHz band.	
289.	When will DoT come out with final TSTP for 2.1GHz spectrum given in 2010? TRAI has	This is not related
	recommended that the final TSTP be issued before the auctions. This will lead to informed	to present auction.
	bidding.	
290.	In respect, the revision in Clause 3.7 pertaining to spectrum usage charges vide Amendment	Orders w.r.t.
	No. 2 to Notice Inviting Applications Dated 9th Jan 2015 issued on 29 January 2015 request that	applicable SUC may
	DoT may clearly state the rates at which SUC will be charged for all the bands being put into auction.	please be seen on DoT website from
	adetion.	time to time.

Query No.	Query	Response
291.	There is severe interference in the already auctioned spectrum in 2.1GHz in Haryana and Gujarat. Annexure 2-D , Amendment 2 to NIA shows that following blocks in Haryana and Gujarat are being put for auction:	No change in NIA conditions.
	Gujarat - 1954-1959 MHz 2144-2149 MHz	
	Haryana - 1954-1959 MHz 2144-2149 MHz	
	In past, WPC has been giving reason that interference cannot be resolved as there is no spectrum available to replace. Now when the spectrum is available to address the issue, it is being put to auction and is not being used to resolve the interference issue. Thus, these two blocks in Haryana and Gujarat must be taken out of auction considering that there is severe interference in the already auctioned spectrum in 2.1GHz and the above mentioned bands must first be used to overcome the interference issue of the operators who have already paid the consideration to DoT, set up their networks but they cannot render the services due to interference in spectrum leading to extreme customers' dissatisfaction. Request response on the same so that interference issue can be resolved.	
292.	Please confirm that the amendment in UL guidelines regarding transfer of resources will continue to apply to all applicable cases of 1995-96 licenses.	UL guidelines are self-explanatory.
293.	We have tried to reconcile the spectrum caps published by the DoT in the NIA (Table 5-D, as amended) with the spectrum holdings of the operators (as on 31st January, 2015, as published by WPC) and the spectrum put up for auction. In Assam and North East, the data is not reconciling. Request DoT to clarify whether the spectrum caps for Assam and North East are correct.	Kindly refer to Amendment No.2.
	Assam North East	

Query No.	Query				Response
	Total spectrum of all operators				
	900MHz	24.8	21.2		
	1800MHz	69.1	71.8		
	800MHz	5	5		
	2100MHz	40	40		
	2300/2500MHz	60	60		
	Sub-total (A)	198.9	198		
	Total spectrum put up for auction				
	900MHz	12.4	17.6		
	1800MHz	0	16.8		
	800MHz	22.5	22.5		
	2100MHz	10	10		
	Sub-total (B)	44.9	66.9		
	Overall spectrum cap – 25% of (A	60.95	66.23		
	+ B)				
	Overall spectrum cap in NIA	62.2	67.48		
294.	In light of amendments to the NIA in DoT should hold one round of Presissues in an integrated manner.	-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Further Pre-Bid Conference is not proposed.
295.	The NIA and its amendments use n Odisha; Kerala/ Kerela; Tamil Nadu/ spellings are used by the auction produced)? This is important as bid generated data in order to take info board etc. If the service area names a of errors in analysis and bid preparat	Tamilnadu). ( n software d dders will uso ormed decisicare not consis	Could it please be (including the e look-up function as well as to	be ensured that the consistent various round-wise outputs tions to process the auctionapprise senior management/	Noted for the purpose of auction software.

Query No.	Query	Response
296.	Simultaneous auction across 4 bands has never been carried out before in India. Bidders will have to place bids in 69 different circle/ band combinations in each round (this number was 25 in the Feb '14 auction). Hence, time required for bid preparation will be a lot more than in earlier auctions. Hence, it is requested that at least 30 minutes extra be provided for submitting bids. i.e. clock round duration should be increased to at least 90 minutes	Kindly refer to Amendment No.3 to NIA.
297.	TRAI in its recommendations on valuation and RP of 2100 MHz dated 31 Dec 2014 recommended to assign the contiguous blocks of spectrum in the upcoming auction. However current NIA does not indicate any such condition.  DoT may kindly confirm that it will make efforts to make the block being allocated in this auction to the winning bidder, contiguous to the existing holding of 2100 MHz of the licensee.	TSPs are permitted to re-align their spectrum holdings in 2100 MHz band amongst themselves with mutual agreement provided that due intimation of such re-alignment is acknowledged by WPC wing.
298.	DoT order dated 31st October 2014 prescribes that SUC for auctioned spectrum in 1800 MHz and 900 MHz shall be charged at 5% of AGR and in case of combination of existing spectrum in 1800 MHz and 900 MHz and the spectrum acquired through auction, the weighted average method shall be used.  DoT may kindly confirm that for 2100 MHz being acquired in the current auction, the SUC for an operator holding in 900 MHz & 1800 MHz will be on the weighted avg. basis taking in to account the quantum held in 2100 MHz, 900 & 1800 MHz.	Orders w.r.t. applicable SUC may please be seen on DoT website from time to time.
299.	TRAI in its recommendations dated 15.10.2014 highlighted the issues surrounding inadequacy of spectrum and associated consequences thereof. In fact TRAI tried to address these issues vide its specific recommendations, highlighted at Para 5.1, 5.2 & 5.3 (Chapter 5 of recommendations. Please confirm the DoT response on the same.	Not relevant to the NIA.

Query No.	Query	Response
300.	Further, TRAI has mentioned that the end result of such an auction can only be what the Authority mentioned in its Recommendations of October 2014, namely, industrial distress, a sharp fall in sectoral investment, a deterioration in the quality of service and consumer difficulties (in terms of access and/or higher tariffs) and such an auction with constrained supply of spectrum will defeat the objectives of Auction as set out in the NIA. We request DoT to clarify why such measures have not been taken into account despite recommendation of expert body like TRAI and despite the requests of various stakeholders.	These are as per the decision(s) of the Government.
301.	The TRAI in its recommendations has highlighted the consequences of inadequate availability in 900 MHz band and sought BSNL spectrum on account of inefficient usage and revenue impact on Government. The problem of inadequate spectrum and consequences thereof, have not been addressed by DoT in its NIA. Please confirm as to how DoT plans to address the issues highlighted by TRAI?	Not relevant to the NIA.
302.	Kindly refer to page 32 of the NIA, clause Para 3.8.1 — Based on experience of actual implementation of switch over of 900 MHz in the Metros post Feb 14 auction, there is a need to lay down a process and time lags for date of use of spectrum to ensure smooth changeover of frequency spots. It is essential that in cases where the spectrum held by an existing operator of 900 MHz is won by an operator who is not an existing licensee whose license is coming up for renewal, sufficient gap of time should be provided between expiry of license of the existing licensee and the allocation of spectrum for use to the new operator who wins this spectrum in the auction. Kindly confirm and include the details of DoT Transition plan in the NIA.	NIA is self-explanatory.
303.	There seems to be an arbitrary and significant price increase (even beyond the value prescribed by TRAI) for service areas like Andhra Pradesh and Karnataka. TRAI had determined a value of Rs. 338.64 Crs / MHz of 900 MHz spectrum in AP service area. Based on this, the reserve price was recommended to be Rs. 270.91 Crs / MHz. However, the reserve price stated in the NIA is Rs. 459 Crs / MHz, which is significantly more than even the value of the spectrum arrived at by TRAI using various models for valuation. There is no rationale of keeping the reserve price higher than the value recommended by TRAI unless it is an error. Please confirm the reasons for such arbitrary price increase in Andhra Pradesh & Karnataka? Please also confirm whether DoT plans to reconsider the price increase in these service areas?	No change in NIA conditions.

Query No.	Query	Response
304.	Kindly refer to the page Clause 3.8 of the NIA mentions the duration of spectrum to be 20 years from "effective date". However in practice there are delays in allocation of spectrum earmarking. In any case there need to be specific timelines for the DoT and WPC to process and make the spectrum available for use. The effective date of 20 years for the duration of spectrum should only start from the date the spectrum is made available for use. This is particularly important now, because the price of spectrum has increased manifold from the historical price levels. Please confirm that the effective date of spectrum would be the date of earmarking of spectrum, from which date spectrum can be commercially put to use.	No change in NIA conditions.
	In any case, request DoT to confirm the exact time frame for earmarking of spectrum from the date of completion of auction.	
205	·	Nie elemen 'e NIA
305.	In the auctions held in February 2014, an operator who wanted to bid for 900 MHz spectrum had to bid for a minimum of 5 MHz (please refer to clause 2.1.a). III of NIA issued for February 2014 auction). However, the present NIA at clause 2.1.b). IV specifies as under:  IV. The existing licensees whose licenses are not expiring in 2015-16 and holding spectrum in 900 MHz band in a service area may be allowed to bid for a minimum of 0.6 MHz (paired).	No change in NIA conditions.
	The above would imply that the existing holders of 900 MHz spectrum whose licenses are not expiring are allowed to bid for less than 5 MHz. This is at variance with the earlier auction held in February 2014.	
	It is submitted that this condition should be maintained similar to conditions of earlier auction	
	of Feb'14 i.e. any bidder should be allowed to bid for a minimum of 5 MHz, as otherwise it can	
	give rise to a disruptive and predatory bidding behavior where in the auction in circles like	
	Assam, Bihar, Odisha, UPW, UPE and WB where one license is expiring and the other is coming	
	up for renewal later, the price can be driven up by bidding for small quantities. The	
	consequences of such bidding behavior can be grave and could potentially lead to disruption of	

Query No.	Query								Response
	services and loss of investments.								
	The TRAI was itself concerned with such a situation and had accordingly provided detailed recommendations including making more spectrum available for this auction through various means.								
	treatment	Request DoT to please take note of the above and confirm that bidding conditions and treatment of expiring licenses in 2015-16 would be no different from the Metro licenses which expired in 2014.							
306.		ome of the licenses for le for putting these a	•				2 months aw	ay. What is	No change in NIA conditions.
	now, even	enses are auctioned though the actual sallowed to make the iring in Dec'15. This is	pectrum w payment a	ould be all t a later dat	ocated te comp	more than	n a year late ne payment o	er. Licensee	
307.		of 1800MHz spectrum of Feb'14 auction'. H	-			-	• .		Spectrum released due to expiry of licenses, falling in
	Renewa Tota Differenc Circle MHz - Auctio							the frequency band 1765-1785/ 1860- 1880 MHz is not put for auction.	
		Andhra Pradesh	4	0	4	3.8	-0.2		In Maharashtra and West Bengal service areas, no spectrum in 1800

Query No.	Query		Response						
		Karnataka	2.2	0.8	3	1.8	-1.2		MHz band has been
		Kerala	1.8	1	2.8	1	-1.8		put for auction.
		Madhya Pradesh	1.8	0	1.8	0	-1.8		
		Maharashtra	2	0	2	0	-2		
		West Bengal	1.8	0	1.8	0	-1.8		
	We reques	t DoT to explain the r	eason for	above differ	ence.				
308.	The issue of interference is in 2100 MHz spectrum in LSAs like Punjab, Gujarat, J&K and Haryana in auctioned spectrum has been brought to the notice of DoT/WPC. However, the issue has not been resolved. Will the DoT allot clean spectrum to these operators first rather than putting it on auction?								Spectrum being put to auction is on "as is where is" basis.
309.	Kindly refer to Page 72 of the NIA – Clause 5.4.6 - point with ** states as under –  "** In any case, a bidder would be declared as a Provisional Winning Bidder only if he is allocated the minimum number of blocks required for each category of bidders for each of the Service Areas in each of the bands."								No change in NIA conditions.
	If an operator is bidding for 5MHz or more with the objective of deploying new technology then the operator at the time of placing the bid should have the option to accept or reject an allocation of less than 5MHz contiguous spectrum, as the allocation of less than 5MHz contiguous spectrum will have no value for that operator. Please confirm that this option will be available.						or reject an than 5MHz		
	We request that this issue be addressed and operators at the time of application be allowed to								

Query No.	Query	Response
	mark the combination of circles and bands in which if they bid for 5 MHz or more, they are	
	assured that the allocation will be atleast 5 MHz contiguous spectrum or else it will be zero.	
	Please confirm.	
310.	What efforts have been made by WPC to ensure that the spectrum allocated and to be	Spectrum being put
	auctioned is interference free? What will be the responsibility of DoT in case the spectrum put	to auction is on "as
	to auction faces interference when it is deployed by the TSPs?	is where is" basis.
311.	For the purpose of calculating overall spectrum cap, DoT is requested to please confirm if there	NIA is self-
	has been any change in the basis and method for determining spectrum cap in Dec, 2013 NIA	explanatory.
	and the present NIA. If yes, DoT is requested to please disclose the basis, method and reasons	
	thereof.	
312.	In respect of spectrum usage charges, the NIA dated 09.01.2015 reads as below:	Orders w.r.t.
	"3.7 Spectrum usage charges	applicable SUC may
	A spectrum usage charge in addition to the spectrum auction price as a percentage of the	please be seen on DoT website from
	Adjusted Gross Revenue (AGR) shall be payable by the successful bidder as per the rates notified	time to time.
	by the Government from time to time.	time to time.
	The present rates of SUC are as prescribed in letter nos. P-11014/18/2008-PP dated Feb 25th	
	2010 & P-14010/01/2014-NTG dated 31st October 2014."	
	Queries were raised by various parties in the Pre-bid Conference especially regards the lack of	
	clarity on the applicable SUC in the case of 800MHz spectrum. Further the SUC on 2100MHz	
	was not dealt with in the NIA dated 9 January 2015.	
	We are perturbed that instead of providing clarity on the above, the Clause 3.7 has been made	
	even more ambiguous by being revised in the Amendment No. 2 to Notice Inviting	

Query No.	Query	Query					
	Applicati						
	"A specti Adjusted by the Go						
	The rate determin there is a specified	res through the forthcoming auctions. It is imperative that need bidding. Also, the SUC rates were to f consistency and transparency it is a case in all previous auctions.					
313.	There is	n is being put to auction, (including ral holding by DoT. It is requested that	No change in NIA conditions.				
314.	Please re trading. I was aske under:	Spectrum sharing trading guidelines are under consideration &will be issued in due					
	Auctio Document Query Response						
	Nov'12 Auctio n	Queries & Responses 18th September, 2012	Request confirmation whether spectrum sharing/transfer shall be allowed.	Operators whose entire spectrum holding in a particular band (900 / 1800 & 800 MHz) is / has been liberalized, would be permitted to	before the Auction.		

Query No.	Query				Response
				share spectrum without any additional onetime spectrum charge. Detailed guidelines regarding sharing of spectrum and one time charges payable for liberalizing spectrum currently held would be issued in due course.	
	Mar'13 Auctio n	Queries &Responses 20th February, 2013	When will DoT announce spectrum sharing guidelines?	Detailed guidelines regarding sharing of spectrum would be issued in due course.	
	Feb'14 Auctio n	Queries & Responses 2nd January, 2014	Please clarify the spectrum pooling /leasing policy for the spectrum put to auction before the auction so that Bidders can make informed decision.	The spectrum trading and sharing guidelines will be as notified by the government from time to time.	
	spectrum was issue to the re	transfer have ed and we cannot esource being a	been issued by DoT. More than ot have auction wit	o guidelines on spectrum sharing and a year has elapsed since the last NIA shout clarity on basic policy applicable lient time has elapsed since the TRAI	

Query No.	Query	Response
	In view of the above, kindly confirm the guidelines will be issued before the stat of the auction so that operators can participate with clarity on policy and in any case provide a time frame for issuance of guidelines on spectrum sharing and trading.	
315.	Please confirm that the amendment in UL guidelines regarding transfer of resources, including will continue apply to all applicable cases of 1995-96 licenses.	UL guidelines are self-explanatory.
316.	In the case of the Metros, the licenses of the two operators were expiring on the same day or within one day of each other. This is not the case in the Circles, which are expiring in 2015-16, where the difference in the expiry date can be as much as 4 months. In such cases, suppose the spots acquired by the TSPs are different from the existing spots, how does DoT intend to address the spectrum changeover scenarios?	NIA is self- explanatory.
317.	Given that the circumstances have changed especially regarding the total quantum of spectrum in general and lack of 1800MHz in particular, what is DoT's rationale for not accepting the TRAI's recommendations regarding block size for different service areas?	No change in NIA conditions.
318.	When will DoT come out with final TSTP for 2.1GHz spectrum given in 2010? TRAI has recommended that the final TSTP be issued before the auctions. This will lead to informed bidding?	This is not related to present auction
319.	Clause 1.3 of NIA mentions as follows:  Frequency reconfiguration i.e. rearrangement of spot frequencies in the same band, from within the assignments made to the licensees, may be carried out, with the authorization of WPC Wing, among the licensees, only when the entire spectrum held by the misliberalized or acquired through auction conducted in 2010, 2012, 2013, 2014 and onwards. No charges will be levied for rearrangement of frequency spots.	No change in NIA conditions.
	As per DoT, spectrum allocated before 2010 auctions needs to be liberalized for the purpose of frequency reconfiguration/ rearrangement or harmonization amongst operators. Such reconfiguration may be required for overall efficient use of spectrum by all users and where the technology and service will remain same for operators having spectrum allocated to them before 2010. Thus, this condition to pay for liberalization even for harmonization purposes may	

Query No.	Query	Response
	go against the overall policy objectives of efficient use of spectrum. Such condition should not be imposed on the users. This condition in the NIA is also against TRAI's recommendations on frequency re-arrangement. We request DoT to kindly clarify this issue.	
320.	As per clause 2.1 (b) of the NIA:  "II. New entrant / licensees whose licenses are expiring in 2015-16/ Existing licensees whose licenses are not expiring in 2015-16 & holding spectrum only in 1800 MHz band would bid for a minimum of 5 MHz (paired) of spectrum in the 900 MHz band, except in West Bengal service area.	No change in NIA conditions.
	III. In the West Bengal service area new entrant / licensees whose licenses are expiring in 2015-16/ Existing licensees whose licenses are not expiring in 2015-16 & holding spectrum only in 1800 MHz band would bid for a minimum of 4.4 MHz (paired), which is the amount of spectrum available in this service area in the 900 MHz band."	
	In this regard, it is submitted that the case of North East service area is similar to the case of West Bengal Service Area, as indicated below:  - In West Bengal, only one license (i.e. RTL) is expiring and the spectrum being vacated in 900 MHz band is 4.4 MHz.	
	<ul> <li>In North East, two licenses (i.e. RTL and Bharti Airtel Limited) are expiring and the spectrum being vacated in 900 MHz band is 8.8 MHz (i.e. 4.4 MHz each)</li> <li>While the existing operator RTL has been provided a fair opportunity to take back its spectrum by bidding 4.4 MHz in West Bengal, the same dispensation is not provided in case of North East service area. In case of North East service area, both existing operators are required to bid for a minimum of 5 MHz, thereby creating an auction design which itself leads to a situation where only one operator out of the two expiring can win back its spectrum in North East and the</li> </ul>	
	other operator has to shut down its operations - a bizarre situation and one that is surely not	

Query No.	Query	Response
	intended.	
	DoT is requested to reconsider its decision and mandate lowering the minimum bidding quantum in 900 MHz band by a New entrant / Extension Licensee in North East service area in line with its decision to allow the same for West Bengal service area.	
321.	Overall Spectrum Cap: Please confirm whether BWA spectrum assigned to BSNL and MTNL in 2010 has been taken into account (like in the 2013 NIA response to Question Q. 123) for calculating the Overall spectrum cap If yes, DoT is requested to state the reasons for change in Overall Cap of spectrum in the present NIA.	NIA is self- explanatory.
322.	Overall Spectrum Cap: For the purpose of calculating overall spectrum cap, DoT is requested to please confirm if there has been any change in the basis and method for determining spectrum cap in Dec, 2013 NIA and the present NIA. If yes, DoT is requested to please disclose the basis, method and reasons thereof.	NIA is self- explanatory.
323.	Date of Assignment of spectrum:  Consequent to the Feb, 14 auctions, the spectrum assignment was delayed by more than 7 months post payment of upfront charges.  In reference to the delay in allocation of spectrum, we would also like to draw your attention to TRAI's recent recommendations on "Allocation and Pricing of Microwave Access and Microwave Backbone RF Carriers" whereby the Authority has recognized that the access spectrum as well as Microwave spectrum should be allocated within a month of final payment. "Assignment of both access spectrum and MWA carriers should be done simultaneously within a period of one month from the date the TSP makes the payment for access spectrum, failing which TSP should be paid compensation at the SBI PLR rate of the amount it had already paid to acquire the access spectrum. "  In view of the above, DoT is requested to kindly confirm that:  a) Spectrum (other than the spectrum being vacated by the licenses expiring in 2015- 16) will be assigned to the operators within a period of one month from the date of full/ upfront payment by the winning bidders.  b) In case of delay in assignment of spectrum;	No change in NIA conditions.

Query No.	Query	Response
	i. DoT will compensate the operators at the SBI PLR rate of the amount paid;	
	ii. The due date for payments of future instalments will be extended by the period of delay.	
324.	Date of Assignment of spectrum:	NIA is self-
	DoT is requested to clearly indicate the timelines by which the spectrum (other than the	explanatory.
	spectrum being vacated by the licenses expiring in 2015-16) will be assigned to the operators.	
325.	Effective Date of Spectrum:	NIA is self-
	DoT has indicated that the effective date for 1800 MHz spectrum will be the date of LOI. It may	explanatory.
	happen that the spectrum, presently held by the existing licensee whose licenses are up for extension in 2015-16, is assigned to the other bidder.	
	DoT is requested to clarify the effective date of such spectrum.	
326.	Effective Date of License:	No change in NIA
	DoT has indicated that the effective date for 1800 MHz and 2100 MHz spectrum will be the date of LOI. It is submitted that the grant of LOI does not confer the winning bidder the right to use the spectrum.	conditions.
	The actual assignment of spectrum may take considerable time which is not specified in NIA.	
	For instance, in the Feb, 14 auctions the LOI for 1800 MHz were issued on 8th Sep, 2014	
	whereas the actual allocation letters were issued between 9th Oct, 2014 to 14th Oct, 2014. The	
	successful bidder could have used the spectrum only after the actual assignment instead of issuance of LoI.	
	DoT is therefore requested to amend the effective date to "date of assignment of spectrum" instead of "date of issue of LOI".	
327.	Roll Out Obligations for spectrum in 2100 MHz band	NIA is self-
	The Note in the clause 3.6.1.2 states the following:	explanatory.
	"Other terms and conditions of 3.6.1.1 (which are not band specific) shall apply for 2100 MHz band"	
	DoT is requested to clearly state which terms and conditions in 3.6.1.1 are applicable to roll out obligation in 2100 MHz band.	
328.	Roll out Obligations for spectrum in 2100 MHz band for Metro service areas:	Entire Metro
	The roll out obligations in 2100 MHz band for a New Entrant in respect of Metro Service areas	Service area is

Query No.	Query					Response			
		has not been specified.  DoT is requested to convey the roll out obligations in 2100 MHz band for a New Entrant in							
	DoT is								
	respect	of Metro	service areas.						
329.	Swappii	NIA is s	self-						
		•	•	•	r the information available, the license	explanatory.			
				-	the information available, the license	explanatory.			
	expiry c	iate of the	various licenses is	The second secon					
				Date of License					
	S No	LSA	Operator	Expiry					
	1	MH	Idea	11-12-15					
			Vodafone	18-12-15					
	2	GUI	Idea Vodafone	11-12-15 18-12-15					
			Bharti Airtel	11-12-15					
	3	AP	Idea	18-12-15					
		177-57	Bharti Airtel	14-02-16					
	- 4	KTK	Idea	08-04-16					
	5	1555	Bharti Airtel	11-12-15					
		PB	Idea	08-04-16					
	- 21	200	Bharti Airtel	21-04-16					
	6	6 RAJ	Vodafone	11-12-15					
		1111	Idea	11-12-15					
	7	HR	Vodafone	11-12-15					
	8	KL	Idea	11-12-15					
	0	KL	Vodafone	11-12-15					
	9	MP	Idea	11-12-15					
		AVIA.	RTL	11-12-15					
	10	HP	Bharti Airtel	11-12-15					
	-	25%	RTL	11-12-15					
	11	NE	Bharti Airtel	11-12-15					
			RTL.	11-12-15					
	12	UP (W)	Idea	11-12-15					
	13	UP (E)	Vodafone	11-12-15					
	14	WB	RTL	11-12-15					
	15	BH	RTL	11-12-15					
	16	OR	RTL	11-12-15					
	17	AS	RTL	11-12-15					

Query No.	Query	Response
NO.	As can be seen from the table above, the date of extension in respect of 6 service areas where two licenses are up for extension is not same and varies from a week to four months. Post auction, the licensee may be required to either surrender all or part of the spectrum and/or change/swap the frequencies.  For instance; In Punjab, Airtel's License is expiring on 11th Dec, 2015 and Idea's License is expiring on 8th April, 2014.  a) Scenario 1: If Airtel wins the 900 MHz presently allocated to Idea and Idea wins the spectrum presently allocated to Airtel. In such a scenario, Airtel would be required to return the 900 MHz spectrum on 11th Dec, 2015 but will get the 900 MHz band spectrum won in Auction in 8th April, 2016. Thus, such a scenario may lead to Airtel shutting down its operations on 900 MHz band for the period from 11th Dec, 2015 to 8th Apr, 2016, thereby impacting continuity of services and serious deterioration in coverage and quality of service. b) Scenario 2: If Airtel wins the 900 MHz presently allocated to Idea and a new bidder wins the spectrum presently allocated to Airtel. In such a scenario, Airtel would be required to return the 900 MHz spectrum on 11th Dec, 2015 but will get the 900 MHz band spectrum won in Auction from Idea only on 8th April, 2016. Thus, such a scenario may lead to Airtel shutting down its operations on 900 MHz band for the period from 11th Dec, 2015 to 8th Apr, 2016, thereby impacting continuity of services and serious deterioration in coverage and quality of service.  A possible solution can be making the license which is expiring earlier co-terminus with the license expiring later in a particular service area.  Since, in a running network, these issues will have a serious impact on the continuity and quality of services, DoT is requested to clearly state the migration plan under such circumstances.	
330.	1800 MHz Spectrum put to auction in Gujarat LSA: As per the NIA, 3.4 MHz of spectrum in 1800 MHz band is being put to auction in Gujarat LSA. However, the frequency spots indicated vide Amendment No. 2 – Annexure 2A, indicates the	Kindly refer to of Amendment No. 3 to this NIA.

Query No.	Query	Response
	number of spots being put to auction as 18 i.e. 3.6 MHz.	
	DoT is requested to clarify the quantum of 1800 MHz spectrum being put to auction in Gujarat LSA.	
331.	Differential treatment in respect of 800 MHz band:  a. In respect of 800 MHz band it is indicated in Annexure 2-C that One block of 1.25 MHz signifies actual assignment of 1.23 MHz (paired) spectrum. In this regard, it is submitted that the block size has been mentioned as 1.25 MHz as per the NIA and the consequent reserve price per block has been fixed basis the same  Further, while 1.25 MHz (paired) block size has been proposed in 800 MHz band, only 1.23MHz (paired) of allocation per block has been shown in the detailed chart for available spots.  This would impact usage of the spectrum for technologies such as LTE as allocation of 4 spots of 1.23MHz (paired) will only provide operators with 4.92 MHz (paired) spectrum.  - Since, LTE requires a minimum of 5 MHz (paired) contiguous spectrum whereas as actual assignment of 1.23 (MHz) paired per block will lead to an assignment of 4.92 MHz (paired) only, DoT is requested to clarify why 1.25 MHz (paired) is not being assigned when the block size is 1.25 MHz (paired).  - DoT is requested to amend the same and assign blocks of 1.25 MHz (paired) in the 800 MHz band  - In case of assignment of only 1.23 MHz (paired), DoT is requested to clarify that a guard band of 300 KHz on both sides will be provisioned in case of contiguous assignment of 4 blocks of spectrum.  b. As per the NIA, a new operator / expiring operator is required to bid for only for 0.6 MHz in 1800 MHz band in case contiguous 5MHz of block is not available. In contrast to this, operators are required to bid for atleast four blocks in 800 MHz band even if these 5 MHz contiguous is not available.  In order to have parity, DoT is requested to extend similar provision in respect of 800 MHz band where an operator should be allowed to bid for one or more block in 800 MHz band in case less than four continuous spots are available in this band.	No change in NIA conditions.

Query		Response
Proportionate Price Increment rule for In the 3G/BWA auction held in 2010, to auction and the proportionate price as below:	No change in NIA conditions.	
Excess Demand (In Blocks)	Price Increment as a Percentage of previous Clock Round Price	
Less than ZERO (Negative)	0%	
0	1%	
1	1%	
2	5%	
2	3 /0	
3 or more	10%	
In the present auction only one block the proportionate price increment is p 2010 auction as indicated below:	of 2100 MHz spectrum is being put to auction but proposed to be even higher than that mandated in Price Increment as a Percentage of	
In the present auction only one block the proportionate price increment is p 2010 auction as indicated below:	of 2100 MHz spectrum is being put to auction but proposed to be even higher than that mandated in	
In the present auction only one block the proportionate price increment is p 2010 auction as indicated below:	of 2100 MHz spectrum is being put to auction but proposed to be even higher than that mandated in Price Increment as a Percentage of	
In the present auction only one block the proportionate price increment is p 2010 auction as indicated below:  Excess Demand (In Blocks)	of 2100 MHz spectrum is being put to auction but proposed to be even higher than that mandated in Price Increment as a Percentage of previous Clock Round Price	
In the present auction only one block the proportionate price increment is p 2010 auction as indicated below:  Excess Demand (In Blocks)  Less than ZERO (Negative)	of 2100 MHz spectrum is being put to auction but proposed to be even higher than that mandated in Price Increment as a Percentage of previous Clock Round Price  0%	

Query No.	Query	Response			
	MHz band as below considering that o MHz band:	2100			
	Excess Demand (In Blocks)	Price Increment as a Percentage of previous Clock Round Price			
	Less than ZERO (Negative)	0%			
	0	1%			
	1	1%			
	2	2%			
	3	5%			
	4 or more	10%			
333.					

Query No.	Query	Response
		bidders by Auction Administrator).
334.	Payment Terms: As per the NIA issued in Dec 2013, the payments could have been made by the successful bidder either through the Demand Draft in favour of "Pay and Accounts Officer (HQ),DoT, New Delhi" drawn on a Scheduled Commercial Bank payable at Delhi, or by any other means such as RTGS that may be subsequently specified by DoT. However, as per the present NIA, all payments will need to be made by the successful Bidders through Real Time Gross Settlement (RTGS) into the designated account that shall be specified by DoT DoT is requested to allow multiple means such as Demand Draft or RTGS for payment to provide due flexibility to the successful bidders.	No change in NIA conditions.
335.	As per Amendment No. 2 to the NIA, Clause 1.3 is modified as below:  "Page 7, Para 5 – Clock Stage For The Clock Stage will establish the bidders and the number of Block(s) to be awarded in each of theservice areas. In this stage, in each service area, bidders will bid for blocks of spectrum of 200 kHzPaired in 1800 MHz & 900 MHz bands and 1.25 MHz Paired in 800 MHz Band (i.e. the right to use spectrum blocks not linked to any frequency) as per their respective permissible limit depending upon their categorization as a "New Entrant" or as an "Existing Licensee". The status as a "New Entrant" or as an "Existing Licensee" would be separate in 900/1800* MHz band and 800 MHz band and this status may be different for different Service Areas depending on the holding ofspectrum in such band and in such Service Area.  * For this purpose, 900 MHz and 1800 MHz bands would be treated as one.  This Para is modified and may be read as:	No change in NIA conditions.
	The Clock Stage will establish the bidders and the number of Block(s) to be awarded in each of the service areas. In this stage, in each service area, bidders will bid for blocks of spectrum of 200 kHz Paired in 1800 MHz & 900 MHz bands and 1.25 MHz Paired in 800 MHz Band (i.e. the	

Query No.	Query	Response
	right to use spectrum blocks not linked to any frequency) as per their respective permissible limit depending upon their categorization as a "New Entrant" or as an "Existing Licensee. In 2100 MHz band, the block size is 5MHz, which is the minimum a bidder can bid in this band. The status as a "New Entrant" or as an "Existing Licensee" would be separate in 2100MHz, 1800MHz, 900MHz and 800MHz bands and this status may be different for different Service Areas depending on the holding of spectrum in such band and in such Service Area."	
	In this regard, it is submitted that while adding the clause in respect of 2100 MHz band, the following clause "* For this purpose, 900 MHz and 1800 MHz bands would be treated as one." has been deleted.  This is inconsistent with the provisions contained in Clause 3.2- Associated Eligibility Conditions.	
	DoT is requested to amend clause 1.3 and insert the Note "* For this purpose, 900 MHz and 1800 MHz bands would be treated as one." therein.	
336.	As per tie breaking rule number 4 (Page number 71, section 5.4.6) "Where there is a tie according to the first four criteria, ranking will be descending order according to the total value of all blocks for which bid has been submitted by the bidder for all the service areas across all the bands at the current clock round price" which may lead to a situation where an operator, despite having committed maximum value (Sum of PWBs & New Bids), might lose out to other operator. For Example:	No change in NIA conditions.

luery lo.	Query								Response
	Circle	Operator		Round 1		0	Round 2		
			CRP	Bid Blocks	PWB	CRP	Bid Blocks	PWB	
	A	X	100	5	5	110	6		5/0
		Y	100	0		110	6	6	
	8	×	120	5	5	130	0		
		Y	120	0		130	6	6	
	C	X	150	5	5	150			
		Y	150	0		150			
	Bid Value at Current CRP as per Current Rule for Tie Breaking	×		1,850			1,410		
		Y		0			1,440		
	Total Commitment by Operator	X		1,850			2,010		50
		Y		0			1,440		
7.	As per above example, operator X loses to operator Y in circle A, despite of having higher commitment value.  DOT is therefore requested to amend the tie breaking rule to calculate ranking basis commitment value by the operator and not basis bid value at current clock round price.  In the auctions held in February 2014, an operator who wanted to bid for 900 MHz spectrum had to bid for a minimum of 5 MHz (please refer to clause 2.1.a).III of NIA issued for February 2014 auction). However, the present NIA at clause 2.1.b).IV specifies as under:  IV. The existing licensees whose licenses are not expiring in 2015-16 and holding spectrum in 900 MHz band in a service area may be allowed to bid for a minimum of 0.6 MHz (paired).								No change in NI conditions.
	The above would imply that the expiring are allowed to bid for in February 2014.	•			•				

Query No.	Query	Response			
	It is submitted that this condition should be maintained similar to conditions of earlier auction of Feb'14 i.e. any bidder should be allowed to bid for a minimum of 5 MHz, as otherwise it can give rise to a disruptive and predatory bidding behaviour where in the auction in circles like Assam, Bihar, Odisha, UPW, UPE and WB where one license is expiring and the other is coming up for renewal later, the price can be driven up by bidding for small quantities.  For example, in case of UP-East or even UP-West service area, the spectrum put for auction in 900 MHz is 6.2 MHz. For both these service areas, only single operator's license is expiring in 2015-16 and hence they would be required to bid for minimum of 5 MHz in 900 band. However an existing 900 MHz band operator, whose license is not expiring in 2015-16 would be able to bid for less than 5 MHz. Suppose such an operator, whose license is not expiring chooses to bid for 1.4 MHz, then consequences of such bidding would be:  a. The operator whose license is not expiring can continue to bid repeatedly for 1.4				
	MHz and thus ensuring that the expiring operator continues to bid unabated to protect his investments.  b. The financial commitment from existing operator would only be one fourth				
	compared to an expiring operator.  c. The above would lead to disruptive and predatory bidding and may even result in				
	shut down of the expiring operator. Typically, for UP-East this would impact 16.9 million subscribers and for UP-West, this would impact 12.5 million subscribers.  Naturally this is neither the aim of the NIA nor the intention of the DoT.				
	d. In addition in such a case, the DoT would also end up with 4.8 MHz unsold spectrum, which is against the very spirit and aim of the auction.				
	The consequences of allowing such an auction design, permitting any operator to bid less than 5 MHz in the 900 MHz band have wide scale implications on financials, predatory competition, market structure, consumer tariffs, investments and continuity of services. This could lead to wide scale disruption of services in at least 5 service areas, besides loss of existing billions of dollars of investments on ground, potential loss of revenue to the Government of India by				

Query No.	Query	Response				
	incremental small investment by an existing 900 MHz operator.  The TRAI was itself concerned with possibility of such a situation in 900 MHz band and had accordingly provided detailed recommendations of making additional 900 & 1800 Mhz spectrum available for this auction through various means.					
	Further such a unique situation of a single 900 MHz band operator license being forced to bid when another existing 900 Mhz band licensee is not expiring would recur again in next few years and possibility of similar repeat of disruption should force the DoT to consider their proposed auction design of permitting a non-expiring 900 MHz band licensee to bid for less than 5 MHz spectrum quantity.					
	Request DoT to please take note of the above and confirm that bidding conditions and treatment of expiring licenses in 2015-16 would be no different from the Metro licenses which expired in 2014.  Alternatively, cases where in a circle only one license is coming up for extension, it should be extended to be co-terminus with the second license in the circle, as has been done in the case of Tamil Nady. (Changai Please confirm					
338.	of Tamil Nadu / Chennai. Please confirm.  Please confirm as to how the expiring 900 MHz band licenses (with bundled spectrum) would be renewed in future?  There are cases, post this current 2015 auction (for renewal/ extension of 900 MHz band spectrum), wherein there would still be other 900 MHz band spectrum licenses due for renewal/ extension as highlighted in the table below. Please confirm the whether DoT would continue to follow the existing policy for the 2 licenses due for expiry in FY 18, single license due for expiry in FY 19 here and 7 licenses expiring in FY 2024.	NIA is self-explanatory.				
	Year Private Operators BSNL/MTNL					

Query No.	Query							Response
			No. of licenses	No of Circles	No. of licenses	No of Circles		
		FY 18	-	-	2	2		
		FY 19	1	1	-	-		
		FY 20	-		20	20		
		FY22	2	1	-	-		
		FY 24	7	7	-	-		
	Please confirm 900 Mhz band	FY 25	4	3	-	-	how the future expiring licenses	
	would be renewed	Total	14		22		, post this auction.	
	Please also spectrum allocated t yes, will all operators	-					confirm that their licenses, and if tly being done?	
339.	Expiry of some of the	e 900 Mhz	band licen	ses for Pun	ab and Kar	nataka is ir	n April 2016, which is um for auction in the	No change in NIA conditions
	right now, even the Licensee should be	ough the allowed to	actual spe o make the	ctrum wou payment a	ld be alloc at a later d	ated more	ed to make payments e than a year later. ared to the payment e level playing field.	

Query No.	Query									Response	
340.	Annexure 2B – In Licensees are diffe		•		•	ry of lic	enses of	the two expir	ing	NIA is explanatory.	self-
	Circles	900	1800	Idea - Date of Expiry	Other Operator	900	1800	Date of Expiry			
	AP	6.2	1.8	19-Dec-15	Airtel	7.8	2.2	12-Dec-15			
	Punjab	7.8		9-Apr-16	Airtel	7.8		12-Dec-15			
	Gujarat	6.2		12-Dec-15	Vodafone	7.8	2	19-Dec-15			
	Maharashtr a	7.8	2	12-Dec-15	Vodafone	6.2		19-Dec-15			
	Karnataka	6.2		9-Apr-16	Vodafone	7.8	2.2	15-Feb-16			
	There could be of situation) is different licensee, say A, is operator B expire ensure continuity. Would the license would be the term Also request DoT Further DoT has pof the above, pleasove situations.	rent fron s that cues after of Service of Oper ns for suc to clarify proposed	n the spurrently the expire for Operator A both extensions the resolution that the resolution the resolution the resolution that the res	ectrum curre allocated to iry of the lice erator A. e extended u sion? olution propo ents within 10	ently in use. the other Oense of Ope open of the expined for such days of concentration.	If the special perator, rator A, ry of Opcases.	Dectrum Name of auction.	won by a expire he license of the Elicense. Also we have the Elicense. However in vi	ing the OoT hat		

Query No.	Query	Response
No. 341.	In the previous auction the rate of spectrum usage charge (SUC) was announced prior to the commencement of auction vide PIB press release (conveying the decision of Cabinet on Spectrum usage charges) dated 31.01.2014 on Finalisation of Spectrum Usage Charges for spectrum in 1800 MHz and 900 MHz bands, whereby the rate applicable for the spectrum to be auctioned was specified as 5%. The NIA dated Jan 9, 2015, provided some references whereby the SUC rate was indicated to be 5% and we had requested DoT for confirmation of the same in the queries submitted on Jan 19, 2015.  However, in the Amendment no. 2 to NIA dated Jan 29, 2015, the following is stated.  "Clause 3.7: Spectrum Usage Charges Page 31, this Clause is revised and may be read as:- A spectrum usage charge in addition to the spectrum auction price as a percentage of the Adjusted Gross Revenue (AGR) shall be payable by the successful bidder as per the rates notified by the Government from time to time."  This is contrary to the earlier auctions held for 900 MHz extension in Feb'14, where the SUC was specified as 5%. This creates an issue about lack of transparency and incomplete pricing information based on which bidders will participate in the auction. Further, this will also result in a situation where the extension of spectrum in different service areas is being done on different terms and create a non-level playing field between service providers, providing the same service. Hence we request that the SUC may be specifically specified for this auction as was done for the last Feb'14 auction in line with government's stated policy of having	Orders w.r.t. applicable SUC may please be seen on DoT website from time to time.
	transparency and consistency.	
342.	In the earlier auction of 2100 MHz held in 2010, the price increment rule and the comparison with the proposed terms in NIA dated 09.01.2015 are as under –	No change in NIA conditions.

Query No.	Query					Response
	Excesss Demand		icrement as a vious Clock R	percentage o ound Price	f	
		NIA	2010	NIA 2015		
	Negative		096	0%		
	Zero		1%	1%		
	1		196	5%		
	2		5%	10%		
343.	increment rule for this a confirm.  While the reserve price Price recommended by	for 2100MI the TRAI ac	Iz spectrum prov	rided in the NIA is ce areas, except fo	higher than the Rese or Assam & NESA, th	rve No change in NIA ere conditions.
	seems to be an arbitr recommended by TRAI the same –		•		_	
	Name of LSA	Category	Average valuation/MHz by TRAI (Rscrs)	Reserve Price/MHz by DoT RsCrs)	RP to Avg. Valuation	
	Delhi	Metro	557.35	663	1.19	
	Mumbai	Metro	424.63	649	1.53	

Query No.	Query					Response		
	Kolkata							
	Andhra Pradesh	Α	228.72	275	1.20			
	Gujarat	Α	243.7	258	1.06			
	Karnataka	Α	300.97	322	1.07			
	Maharashtra	Α	284.23	301	1.06			
	Tamil Nadu	Α	324.47	344	1.06			
	remain same only for on Please confirm the reaso	the NIA of 2 e year, pos ons for such	2010 auction spect of conclusion of the of arbitrary price in	cifically stated that ne auction. ncrease in above se	the Reserve price would ervice areas? Please also			
344.	a. In 900 MI EMD of N which is a 16). b. In 800 M equal to	b. In 800 MHz, the EMD of UPW, MP, Punjab, Bihar and West Bengal should be equal to that of UPE being Rs.21.40 crs per block (instead of Rs.13.60 crs per block) and similarly the Eligibility Points should also be 147 points per block						
	Please confirm the above	•	-					

Query No.	Query						Response
345.	The Annexure spectrum in some 1800 MBihar, Karna incomplete a	a, Kolkata, Further, in plocks e.g.	Spectrum blocks where no date has been mentioned signify that spectrum in these blocks are available				
	CIRCLE	Date of Availability	and can be allotted immediately.				
		Block A	Block B	Block C	Block D	Block E	
	Bihar	Not mentioned	Dec-15	-	-	-	
	Haryana	Not mentioned					
	НР	Not mentioned					
	Karnataka	Feb-16	Not mentioned	Feb-16	Not mentioned	-	
	Kerala	Not mentioned	-	-	-	-	
	Kolkata	Not mentioned	Not mentioned	-	-	-	
	NE	Not mentioned	Dec-15	Dec-15	Not mentioned	Not ment	
	Odisha	Not mentioned				-	

Query No.	Query						Respon	ise
	Punjab	Not mentioned	-	-	-	-		
	Rajasthan	Not mentioned	Not mentioned	Apr-16	-	-		
	TNC	Not mentioned		<b>'</b>				
	UPE	Not mentioned	Dec-15	-	-	-		
	UPW	Dec-15	Not mentioned	-	-	-		
	The above may be properly checked and full details provided by DoT. Also, wherever the availability dates are not mentioned, we request DoT to confirm that the 1800 MHz spectrum is currently available with the DoT and will be allocated without delay within one month of completion of auction.  In view of recent announcement on creation of Defence Band and Defence Interest zone, please confirm the status for harmonization of spectrum and allotment of spectrum in locations with partial allocations at present?  Further please confirm that in view of the harmonization, complete and full spectrum across entire service area would be available in service areas such as Rajasthan and UP-East. DoT confirmation is necessary in view of large investments required for upcoming auction.							
346.	proposes to p	proceed on the same	).				Spectra	ım released
340.		Feb'14 auction'. Ho	•	•			due to	expiry of s, falling in

Query No.	Query								Response
		Circle	Renew <i>a</i> I 1800	1800 MHz Unsold	Tota - I	Put to Auctio n	Differenc e		the frequency band 1765-1785/ 1860- 1880 MHz is not put for auction.
		Andhra Pradesh	n 4	0	4	3.8	-0.2		West Bengal
		Karnataka	2.2	8.0	3	1.8	-1.2		service areas, no spectrum in 1800
		Kerala	1.8	1	2.8	1	-1.8		MHz band has been
		Madhya Prades	h 1.8	0	1.8	0	-1.8		put for auction.
		Maharashtra	2	0	2	0	-2		
		West Bengal	1.8	0	1.8	0	-1.8		
	We request	DoT to explain t	he reason for	above diffe	rence.			_	
347.	We request DoT to explain the reason for above difference.  The Spectrum put to Auction for 900MHz and 1800 MHz for the proposed spectrum auction is either allocated to existing licensees or unsold from the last spectrum auction. Hence, the Spectrum Caps as specified in Feb'14 NIA should have been increased by the additional spectrum being put to auction in 800MHz and 2100MHz. However the spectrum caps specified in the Amendment 2 to NIA are different for few circles, as highlighted in the table below. We request DoT to clarify the reason for the differences and make corrections, in case of any errors.							No change in NIA conditions.	
	Circ		NIA Incre Feb'1 for 4 2100	ease e	for Sp	ectru n	t 2 -NIA	Differenc e	
	And	hra Pradesh	67.5 2.5	3.1	73	3.1 6	7.4	-5.7	

Query								
No.	Query							Response
	Bihar	63.9	0.0	2.	5 66.	4 63.0	-3.4	
	Delhi	64.5	2.5	1.	9 68.	9 61.7	-7.1	
	Gujarat	63.7	2.5	1.	3 67.	4 63.1	-4.3	
	Haryana	62.2	2.5	3.	8 68.	5 67.9	-0.6	
	Himachal Pradesh	62.1	0.0	4.	4 66.	5 64.0	-2.5	
	Jammu and Kashmir	50.6	0.0	4.	4 54.	9 54.0	-0.9	
	Karnataka	67.9	2.5	0.	6 71.	0 65.4	-5.6	
	Kerala	68.9	2.5	0.	0 71.	4 69.9	-1.5	
	Kolkata	67.3	2.5	0.	6 70.	4 63.9	-6.5	
	Madhya Pradesh	65.2	2.5	3.	1 70.	8 69.9	-0.9	
	Maharashtra	63.8	2.5	1.	9 68.	2 62.2	-6.0	
	Mumbai	68.8	2.5	3.				
	North East	60.3	2.5	5.	6 68.	4 67.5	-0.9	
	Orissa	65.8	2.5	3.				
	Punjab	65.8	0.0	1.	9 67.			
	Rajasthan	65.4	2.5	0.				
	Tamil Nadu	72.6	2.5	0.				
	West Bengal	62.3	0.0	0.	6 63.	0 61.2	-1.8	
348.	The Spectrum Cap for 1800 for few circles. We request in case of any errors.			•				No change in NIA conditions.
	Circles	Amend nt 2 Feb'15	-NIA	NIA Feb'14	Differenc e	_		
	Andhra Pradesh	54.6		54.8	-0.2			

Query No.	Query					Response
NO.	Bihar	42.35	44.15	-1.8		
	Gujarat	46.00	44.6	1.4		
	Karnataka	50.6	51.8	-1.2		
	Kerala	52.45	54.25	-1.8		
	Kolkata	48.8	50.6	-1.8		
	North East	44.3	46.1	-1.8		
	Orissa	52.50	54.3	-1.8		
	Rajasthan	46.60	48.4	-1.8		
349.	should ensure that Mo	ck auction sho ction – Mock <i>I</i>	uld end at l	east 3 worki	the start of Actual Auction. DoTing days prior to start of Actual on Jan 30-31 and actual auction	Please refer Amendment No. 3 to NIA. Further, handholding sessions for familiarization with Auction engine would be provided to pre-qualified bidders before mock auction, (session time/dates and details would be intimated to pre-qualified bidders by Auction Administrator).
350.	Reference: Clause 1.3					NIA and its
	Clock Stage					Amendments are
	_				lock(s) to be awarded in each of	self-explanatory.
	the service areas. In thi	s stage, in eac	h service are	a, bidders w	vill bid for blocks of spectrum of	

Query No.	Query	Response
	200 kHz Paired in 1800 MHz & 900 MHz bands and 1.25 MHz Paired in 800 MHz Band (i.e. the right to use spectrum blocks not linked to any frequency) as per their respective permissible limit depending upon their categorization as a "New Entrant" or as an "Existing Licensee. In 2100 MHz band, the block size is 5MHz, which is the minimum a bidder can bid in this band. The status as a "New Entrant" or as an "Existing Licensee" would be separate in 2100MHz, 1800MHz, 900MHz and 800 MHz bands and this status may be different for different Service Areas depending on the holding of spectrum in such band and in such Service Area.	
	Q	
	The below sentence has been removed from the paragraph of clause 1.3  "* For this purpose, 900 MHz and 1800 MHz bands would be treated as one."  Please confirm whether an existing licensee with spectrum in any of 800/900/1800/2100 MHz bands would be treated as "Existing Licensee" with the removal of this sentence?	
351.	Reference: 3.6.1.2 Rollout Obligations for Spectrum in 2100MHz band	NIA is self-
	(i) Telecom Service Providers (TSPs), who are holding spectrum in 2100 MHz band and acquire spectrum in the same band in the auction will have the following rollout obligations over and above the existing roll out obligations.  a) 10% of District Headquarters (DHQs) in the LSA within one year of date of allotment of spectrum and	explanatory (for Q 1-4 & 6)
	<ul> <li>b) 10% DHQs in the LSA within two years of date of allotment of spectrum.</li> <li>(ii) New Entrants acquiring spectrum 2100 MHz band will have the following rollout obligations:</li> <li>a) 50% of DHQs in the LSA out of which 15% of DHQs should be in rural SDCA within three years from the effective date of license or date of allotment of spectrum whichever is later.</li> <li>b) 10% of DHQs in the LSA within 4 years from the effective date of license or date of allotment of spectrum whichever is later.</li> </ul>	
	c) 10% of DHQs in the LSA within 5 years from the effective date of license or date of allotment of spectrum whichever is later.  Note: Other terms and conditions of 3.6.1.1 (which are not band specific) shall apply for 2100MHz band.	

Query No.	Query	Response
No.	<ol> <li>Whether a licensee with existing 2100 MHz band spectrum would have to meet an additional 10% DHQ rollout obligation within 4 year of date of allotment of spectrum {3.6.1.2 (i)(a)} and another additional 10% DHQ within 5 years of date of allotment of spectrum {3.6.1.2 (i)(b)}, with same duration post allotment of spectrum as being applicable for new operators? Pls confirm.</li> <li>Whether an existing or new licensee with new 2100 MHz band spectrum would have to meet 50% DHQ in the LSA out of which 15% of DHQs should be within rural SDCA within three years of date of allotment of spectrum {3.6.1.2.ii.a}, an additional 10% DHQ within 4 year of date of allotment of spectrum {3.6.1.2.ii.a} and another additional 10% DHQ</li> </ol>	7. Rural SDCA list is available on DoT website.
	2100MHz spectrum" and "New licensee" it would be INR 21 Cr?	5. PBG of requisite amount is required separately for 800 MHz, 900
		MHz/1800 MHz & 2100MHz bands.

Query No.	Query	Response
	7. There is a very important dependency for meeting MRO, on the testing schedule as well as rural SDCA list. As an operator it would not be possible to plan or meet MRO in absence of a practical testing schedule & rural SDCA list. Please confirm that roll-out obligations would commence from the date from which DoT makes available the testing schedule as well as rural SDCA list for a given circle.	available on DoT website. For Roll Out obligations NIA is self-explanatory.
352.	Reference: Clause 4.7 Existing Licensee 2nd Bullet point Upon declaration as successful bidder, the existing CMTS/UASL/UL(AS)/UL held by them shall be amended by way of inclusion of an addendum comprising of this NIA And similar clause in third bullet point within 4.7 for new licensee	No change in NIA conditions.
	By issuing a generic amendment stating that Notice Inviting Application and Queries &Responses as part and parcel to the amendment leads to confusion and principals of Contract that the Agreement has to be self-sufficient. Notice Inviting Application and Queries & Responses should be referred to only in case the Contract / Agreement terms are not clear. When something is made part of the License Agreement which in law is a reality but in practice will create concerns / misinterpretation / misunderstanding / complications. Hence shouldn't clear amendment be issued vide which the terms such as spectrum allocated, effective date, roll-out obligations, expiry terms etc. are categorically clear to the successful bidder, Pls. confirm?	
353.	Reference: Clause 5.4.6 Ranking of Bidders and Provisional Winning Bidders To summarize the rank rules, they state ranking will be in following order 1) In descending order according to the Clock Round Price for that Service Area in the respective band in the Clock Round when the bid was made. 2) in descending order of the count of the number of times the bid has been submitted for a Unique Clock Round Price (excluding the Current Clock Round for which the rank is being generated) for the particular Service Area in the particular band;	No change in NIA conditions.

Query No.	Query	Response
	3) in descending order of the highest Clock Round Price when the bid was submitted in the earlier rounds (excluding the Current Clock Round for which the rank is being generated) in the respective Service Area for a particular band 4) in descending order according to the number of Blocks for which bid has been submitted by the bidder for a particular Service Area in the particular band at the Current Clock Round Price 5) ranking will be in descending order according to the total value of all Blocks for which bid has been submitted by the bidder for all the Service Areas across all the bands at the Current Clock Round Price 6) ties will be broken according to a random index assigned to the Bid in that service area when it is received by the EAS	
	The ranking rules define various combinations which define the ranking of provisional winning bids to allocate the spectrum on auction with:  Rules 1 to 3 – defining ranks on basis of circle specific unique price events  Rule 4 - defining ranks on basis of circle specific bidding quantum on basis of block sizes  Rule 5 - defining ranks on basis of Pan-India bidding quantum on basis of block sizes across all bands  Rule 6 - having random index based ranking.  It is observed that rule 4 and rule 5 have an element of bias attached towards those bidders making larger bids either at band/ service-area level or pan-India level.  These two rule based on bid volume extend an unfair advantage to bigger operators who are renewing their expiring licenses. An operator placing incremental / smaller bids would not be able to compete with such operators placing larger bids, even when both set of operators are bidding for spectrum at same price.  Hence, humbly request DoT to remove such ranking methodologies that does not create a level playing field amongst bidders. Please confirm that rule 4 and 5 would be removed from NIA and upon tie after rule 3, directly random index based ranking would be effected?	

Query No.	Query	Response
354.	Reference: 5.3.1 Spectrum Holding Capping Rule For the purpose of this Auction the bidding by the bidders for each of the Service Areas in each of the bands will be restricted by a Cap which would depend on the Spectrum assigned in the respective band (1800 MHz/ 900 MHz/800 MHz) and also on the Total Spectrum assigned in all the bands namely 800 MHz/ 900 MHz/ 1800 MHz/ 2.1 GHz/ 2.3 GHZ/ 2.5 GHz along with respective paired frequencies	In Tamilnadu service area, spectrum holdings have been reflected by taking maximum allotment in a particular band in
	Q Three licensees have varying amount of spectrum assigned in 900MHz and 1800MHz bands in the erstwhile "Chennai" and "Rest of Tamil Nadu" service areas. For eg. some of them have 900MHz only in Chennai or vice versa and only 1800MHz spectrum in "Rest of Tamil Nadu" or vice versa. Please confirm how are the spectrum caps devised in such scenario for these operators?	any of Tamilnadu excluding Chennai and Chennai service area.
355.	Clause 4.7 of NIA (Allotment of Spectrum- New Entrants) states as follows: "Upon declaration as successful applicant, the applicant Company can apply to AS Wing of DoT for grant of an LoI for Unified License for applicable service area for which it proposes to participate in the auction. The date of validity of LoI to be issued on completion of the application for grant of Unified License shall be 20 days after the date of declaration of successful bidder." Clause (c) of the undertaking in Format 8.3.3 provides that "That in case the Applicant is declared a Successful Bidder in any of the Specified Service Area (s) it shall apply for a Unified Licence"  Further Clause (f) of the undertaking in Format 8.3.3, provides that the application for UL has to be made within 7 days of the applicant being declared a successful 'applicant'.	Please refer to Amendment No. 3.
	We therefore request DoT to clarify and inform the day by which such applications for UL can be submitted in case of New Entrants.	
356.	Where merger case is under consideration will it be appropriate that authorization application / UL application may be submitted subsequent to merger approval/final decision? This is so	NIA is self- explanatory & it is a

Query No.	Query	Response	
	since the merger will include transfer of existing UASL to the merged entity and the new entrant is required to have a UL.	commercial decision applicant.	of