

Dir (SEA) CPIO

13

284788

To

The Director SEA  
CPIO  
DoT, HQ, Sanchar Bhawan  
New Delhi.

17.11.17

RS-10/-  
DKO 43F/177532

CO2/RTI/2017/23/FC

Sub:-Information under RTI Act 2005.

This has reference to DoT OM Dated 6<sup>th</sup> January 2006 on "DPC Guidelines--Review of size of Zone of consideration" wherein it is stated that Zone of consideration has to be wide enough to cater to the need of Departmental authorities for giving in extended panel against... officers..... who have retired or will be retiring in the course of the vacancy year.

In this connection, the following information may please be furnished:-

- (1) Whether these guidelines were followed in total while conducting DPC for the post of CGCA.
- (2) Date on which the above proposal for conducting DPC for the post of CGCA was put up may please be furnished.
- (3) Date on which the above proposal for conducting of DPC for the post of CGCA was sent from DOT to UPSC/DOPT as the case maybe may please be furnished
- (4) Size of zone of consideration for DPC for the above post of CGCA in accordance with above DOPT's instructions may please be furnished.
- (5) Vacancy year which was considered for above DPC for the post of CGCA may please be furnished.
- (6) Whether the zone of consideration for DPC for the post of CGCA was made wide enough to cater to the needs of the Department in accordance with the instructions contained in the DOPT OM mentioned above when it was well known to the cadre authorities that the senior most officer of the cadre, Shri Prahlad Singh would be superannuating in the course of the vacancy year under consideration.
- (7) If the answer to (5) above is in the affirmative, names of the officers in the widened zone of consideration for above DPC for the post of CGCA may please be furnished.
- (8) The justification thereof of inclusion of names of officers (at 5 above) for above DPC may please be furnished.
- (9) If answer to (5) above is in the negative, reasons for the same may please be furnished.

The above OM further states that there is need for OPTIMIZING the Zone of consideration. Size should also be sufficient to take care of officers in the feeder grade and whose names are to be placed in "sealed cover" and also those who do not meet the prescribed bench mark.

In this connection, the following information may please be furnished.

- (10) Whether above guidelines were followed in the conduct of above DPC to the CGCA post. Evidence of the same may please be furnished.

II  
29.11.17  
180  
3 1/2

forwarded to Shri. Atk  
to SEA for n/a please  
M  
23/11/17

Shri. Atk, to SEA I.

Achal  
23/11/17

1000/SEP/2017  
123/11/17

DO (SEA)

3519/0 (SEA)  
20.11.17

Arun  
21/11/17

20/11/17  
to SEA I

- (11) What was justification and size of the zone of consideration of 'feeder cadre' for holding the DPC to the post of CGCA may please be furnished.
- (12) Names of officers who were in feeder cadre for the above DPC may please be furnished.
- (13) Names of officers (kept in sealed cover) as per above O.M who did not meet prescribed benchmark for the DPC for the post of CGCA may please be furnished.
- (14) Total list of names of officers in the widened zone of consideration and feeder cadre for DPC for the post of CGCA may please be furnished.
- (15) In case above guidelines of DOPT have not been followed the reasons and justification for not doing so may please be furnished.

43 F 177532  
IPO No for Rs 10/- is enclosed

*Suz* 17/4/2017  
SUMITA PURKAYASTHA  
ACGCA

D11/113, Kaka Nagar, NEW DELHI

No. 33-09/2017-SEA-I  
Government of India  
Ministry of Communications (Sanchar Mantralaya)  
Department of Telecommunications (Doorsanchar Vibhag)  
20, Ashoka Road, Sanchar Bhawan,  
New Delhi-110 001

Dated: 15.12.2017

To  
Ms. Sumita Purkayastha,  
D-II/113, Kaka Nagar,  
New Delhi -110003

**Sub: Information under RTI Act, 2005**

Kindly refer to your application dated 17.11.2017 on the above subject. The information relates to IP&T AFS Gr. "A" is given below:-


S.No	Information sought for	Reply
1	Whether these guidelines were followed in total while conducting DPC for the post of CGCA.	This does not constitute information under clause 2(f) of RTI Act, 2005. However, copy of a DPC proposal for the post of CGCA and a copy of Minutes of DPC meeting have already been provided to you vide this office letter dated 21.11.2017.
2	Date on which the above proposal for conducting DPC for the post of CGCA was put up may please be furnished.	This information has already been provided to you vide this office letter of even No. dated 21.11.2017 & 28.11.2017
3	Date on which the above proposal for conducting of DPC for the post of CGCA was sent from DOT to UPSC/DOPT as the case maybe may please be furnished.	
4	Size of zone of consideration for DPC for the above post of CGCA in accordance with above DOPT's instructions may please be furnished.	
5	Vacancy year which was considered for above DPC for the post of CGCA may please be furnished.	
6	Whether the zone of consideration for DPC for the post of CGCA was made wide enough to cater to the needs of the Department in accordance with instructions contained in the DOPT OM mentioned above when it was well known to the cadre authorities that the senior most officer of the cadre, Shri Prahlad Singh would be superannuating in the course of the vacancy year under consideration.	This does not constitute information under clause 2(f) of RTI Act, 2005
7	If the answer to (5) above is in the affirmative, names of the officers in the widened zone of consideration for above DPC for the post of CGCA may please be furnished.	
8	The justification thereof of inclusion of names of officers (at 5 above) for above DPC may please be furnished.	
9	If answer to (5) above is in the negative, reasons for the same may please be furnished.	



10	Whether above guidelines were followed in the conduct of above DPC to the CGCA post. Evidence of the same may please be furnished.	This does not constitute information under clause 2(f) of RTI Act, 2005. Copy of proposal sent by Department for conducting the DPC for the post of CGCA along with panel of the officers has already been provided to you vide this office letter of even No. dated 21.11.2017.
11	What was justification and size of the zone of consideration of 'feeder cadre' for holding the DPC to the post of CGCA may please be furnished.	This does not constitute information under clause 2(f) of RTI Act, 2005
12	Names of officers who were in feeder cadre for the above DPC may please be furnished.	Seniority List of HAG/HAG+ of IP&T AFS has already been provided to the applicant vide this office letter of even No. dated 21.11.2017.
13	Names of officers (kept in sealed cover) as per above OM who did not meet prescribed benchmark for the DPC for the post of CGCA may please be furnished.	Minutes of DPC has already been provided vide this office letter of even No. dated 21.11.2017
14	Total list of names of officers in the widened zone of consideration and feeder cadre for DPC for the post of CGCA may please be furnished.	
15	In case above guidelines of DOPT have not been followed the reasons and justification for not doing so may please be furnished.	This does not constitute information under clause 2(f) of RTI Act, 2005.

2. The appeal, if any, against the information furnished herein may be preferred before Sh. Saurabh Kumar Tiwari, Deputy Director General (E&F), Department of Telecom, who is the Appellate Authority, within 30 days from the date of issue of this letter.

Yours sincerely,



(Aprajita Sharrma)  
 Director (SEA) & CPIO  
 Ph. 23036728