

By Registered A/D

To,
The Director,
SEA & CPIO,
Ministry of Communications,
Department of Telecommunications
20 Ashoka Road-Sanchar Bhawan,
New Delhi 110001

Subject : Request for Information under RTI Act 2005 in continuation of my RTI Application dated 21st July 2017.

Dear Sir,

I may kindly be provided with the following information in connection with P&T Accounts & Finance Service Group "A" (JTS). In reply to my application dated 12-07-17 for information under RTI act 2005, you have supplied the information vide your letter no.33-04/2016-SE-1 dated 08-08-17.

On study of the information supplied by you, some more doubts have come-up, therefore, it is requested to supply the information on the following points.

interested in RTI App. Since (165) 217 common del to SEA B written by M 2-11.

1. As per recruitment rules 2001, the post of JTS of Indian P&T Accounts & Finance Service Group "A" (JTS) filled up to 50% by direct recruitment and 50% by promotion of Sr.AO in Gr. 'A'. As per information supplied by you, The total sanctioned strength of JTS Gr. 'A' as on 06/03/2017 is 81, out of that 81 has been shown under direct quota and 53 post under promotion quota, while in your order shown above it should be 50:50 i.e. 67 in each quota. I want to ascertain reasons of the discrepancy. Please supply copy of orders / note sheets under which the total 81 posts have been worked out to be justified under direct quota and 53 posts under promotion quota are justified.

2. Total vacancy under promotion quota has been shown as 24 out of this 2 SC and 2 ST posts were kept vacant. DPC held and UPSC had recommended the panel for promotion as detailed below:-

2016-17	=	13
2017-18	=	07 +1 (Reportedly Extended panel)
So, total vacancy	=	24
Post SC/ST kept vacant	=	4
Net posts	=	20

SEA B 65/2-11-17

Thus, 20 posts should have been filled in by promoting the officers, but promotion order vides no.14-11/2016-sea-1 dt. 23-06-17 have been issued only for 19 officers. I need to ascertain as to why 01 post have been kept vacant. Kindly supply Copy of orders / note sheets in this regard.

AAO (SEA) SEA B / SEA / 2017 2/11/17

3. Out of promotion for 19 officer one name Ms poonmal D'souzawas ordered to be promoted w.e.f. 1-09-17. Kindly supply the name of officer against whom the reported vacancy has been filled in.

AO 2/11/17

AO / SEA

3263 / D (SEA) 1/11/17

AO (SEA) Anand 11/11/17

CR Section 2

165

(17)

No. 33-09/2017-SEA-I
Government of India
Ministry of Communications (Sanchar Mantralaya)
Department of Telecommunications (Doorsanchar Vibhag)
20, Ashoka Road, Sanchar Bhawan,
New Delhi-110 001

Dated: 29.11.2017

To

Sh. Rakesh Kumar Jain, (Advocate)
C/o Singhani & Co.
Socicators & Advocates,
International Legal Consultants,
501/5, Milindas Manor,
2, RNT Marg, Opposite Central mall
Indore-452001

Sub: Information under RTI Act, 2005

Kindly refer to your application dated Nil on the above subject received in this office 01.11.2017 The information relates to IP&T AFS Gr. "A" is given below:-

S.NO	Information sought for	Reply
1	As per recruitment rules 2001, the post of JTS of IP&T AFS Gr. "A" (JTS) filled up to 50% by direct recruitment and 50% by promotion of Sr. AO in G. "B". But as per information supplied by you. The total sanctioned strength of JTS Gr. "A" as on 06.03.2017 was 134, out of the 81 has been shown under direct quota and 53 post under promotion quota, while as per your order shown above it should be 50:50 i.e. 67 in each quota. He wants to ascertain reason of the discrepancy. Please supply copy of orders/ note sheet under which the total 81 posts have been worked out to be justified under direct quota and 53 posts under promotion quota are justified.	Copy enclose (01 page)
2.	Total vacancy under promotion quota has been shown as 24 out of this 2 SC posts were kept vacant. DPC held and UPSC had recommended the penal for promotion as detailed below:- 2016-17 =03 2017-18 = 07+01(Reportedly Extended panel) So, total vacancy = 24 Post SC/ST kept vacant = 4 Net Posts = 20 Thus, 20 posts should have been filled in by promotion the officers, but promotion order vides No. 14-11/2016-SEA-I dated 23.06.2017 have been issued only 19 officers. He need to ascertain as to why 01 post have been kept vacant. Kindly supply copy of orders/note sheets in this regard.	In view of instructions contained in DoPT order dated 12.10.1998, posts remained unfilled (Copy enclosed).
3	3 Out of promotion for 19 officer one Name Ms. Poonmal D' Souza ordered to be promoted w.e.f. 01.09.2017. Kindly supply the name of officer against whom the reported vacancy has been filled in	Sh. K. Neerajanadh

2. The appeal, if any, against the information furnished herein may be preferred before Sh. Saurabh Kumar Tiwari, Deputy Director General (E&F), Department of Telecom, who is the Appellate Authority, within 30 days from the date of issue of this letter.

Yours sincerely,

Aprajita Sharma
(Aprajita Sharma)

Director (SEA) & CPIO

Ph. 23036728

issued
29/11/2017