BY EMAIL/DOT Website

Government of India Ministry of Communications Department of Telecommunications Sanchar Bhawan, 20, Ashoka Road, New Delhi - 110 001 (Data Services Cell)

26

No. 813-07/LM-45/2019-DS-II

Dated: 23-12-2022

To,

All Internet Service Licensee's

Subject: C.S.(COMM) No. 594 of 2019; Disney Enterprises, Inc. & Ors. vs RLSBB.UNBLOCKED.LTDA & Ors, before Hon'ble Delhi High Court.

In continuation to Department of Telecommunication even no. letters dated 05.11.2019, 15.01.2020, 22.10.2020, 15.09.2021, 09.11.2021, 03.02.2022 & 04.04.2022; kindly find the enclosed Hon'ble Delhi High court orders dated 09th December 2022 & 12th March 2020 in the court case C.S.(Comm) No. 594 of 2019 for compliance in respect of websites of defendant no. **193 to 209.**

2. Hon'ble Court in order dated 09th December 2022 has, inter alia, stated that:

I.A. No. 20909/2022 filed on behalf of plaintiff under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC seeking impleadment of Additional Mirrors, Redirects or Alphanumeric Variations as defendants in the Memo of Parties as defendants No.193 & 209.

......In view of the submissions and judgment relied upon by learned counsel for applicant, the websites mentioned in the prayer clause of the application especially Schedule-A are impleaded as defendants no. 193 to 209.

Since the newly added defendants are also stated to be involved in violation of copyrights of plaintiff, accordingly exparte Permanent Injunction dated 12.03.2020 is also extended against newly added defendants no. 193 to 209. The DoT, ISP and MEITY are directed to do the needful in terms of the abovesaid Decree of Permanent Injunction dated 12.03.2020.

3. In view of the above, all the Internet Service licensees are notified to take immediate necessary blocking action for compliance of the Hon'ble court order dated 09th December 2022 read with order 12th March 2020 in respect to 17 websites of defendant nos. 193 to 209 as mentioned in Para '2' of the impleadment application (copy enclosed).

Director (DS-II) Tel: 011-2303 6860 Email:dirds2-dot@nic.in

Encl: A/A Copy to:

- i. V.Chinnasamy, Scientist E (chinnasamy.v@meity.gov.in), Electronics Niketan, Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology (MeitY) New Delhi (Respondent no. 43) for kind information and necessary action.
- ii. Lawyer/Advocate for the Plaintiffs for kind information.
- iii. DoT Website.

\$~20

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ CS(COMM) 594/2019

DISNEY ENTERPRISES, INC. & ORS. Plaintiff

Through: Ms.Suhasini Raina,

Ms.Anjali Agrawal, Mr.Sanidhya Rao,

Advocates

versus

RLSBB.UNBLOCKED.LTDA & ORS. Defendant

Through: None

CORAM:

JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. PURSHOTAM PATHAK (DHJS)

ORDER 09.12.2022

%

I.A. 20910/2022 U/s 151 filed by plaintiff for exemption to the Plaintiff from filing the certified/clearer/proper/translated/original copies of documents with proper margins.

Heard.

In view of submissions of learned counsel for the plaintiff and grounds mentioned in the application, the application is allowed subject to just exceptions.

The plaintiff is exempted from filing the certified/ clearer/proper/translated/original copies of documents with proper margins which shall be filed within four weeks.

IA stands disposed of.

I.A. No. 20909/2022 filed on behalf of plaintiff under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC seeking impleadment of Additional Mirrors, Redirects or Alphanumeric Variations as defendants in the Memo of Parties as defendants No.193 & 209.

Arguments heard.

Vide this order, I shall dispose of the present application filed by plaintiff under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC for impleadment. The learned counsel for plaintiff has submitted that the Hon'ble Court was pleased to grant ex-parte permanent injunction in this suit against the defendants vide order dated 12.03.2020 for infringement of copyrights with further directions that as and when plaintiff files an application under Order 1 Rule 10 for impleadment of such websites, plaintiff shall file an affidavit confirming that the newly impleaded websites mirror/redirect/alphanumeric websites, with sufficient supporting evidence and that the application shall be listed before Joint Registrar, who on being satisfied with the material placed on record, shall issue directions to the ISPs to disable access in India in such mirror/redirect/alphanumeric websites.

It is stated that after passing of the abovesaid judgment, other websites, as disclosed in application, have also started violation and these are mirrors, redirects or alphanumeric variations of the website blocked pursuant to the order dated 12.03.2020 and are also necessary party to this suit. It is further stated that details of proposed defendants have been disclosed in Schedule-A annexed with application and they are also liable to be impleaded as defendants no.193 to 209. It is further argued that even Decree of Permanent injunction dated 12.03.2020 is also liable to be extended against them and application may be allowed.

I have heard the arguments and perused the record. The law to deal with such applications and extension of *ex-parte ad-interim* injunction to newly added defendants has already been laid down in *UTV Software Communication Ltd. & Ors. vs.* 1337X.TO & Ors., wherein it has been observed vide paragraph

"107. Keeping in view the aforesaid findings, a decree of permanent injunction is passed restraining the defendant-websites (as mentioned in the chart in paragraph no. 4(i) of this judgment) their owners, partners, proprietors, officers, servants, employees, and all others in capacity of principal or agent acting for and on their behalf, or anyone claiming through, by or under it, from, in any manner hosting, streaming. reproducing, distributing, available to the public and/or communicating to the public, or facilitating the same, on their websites, through the internet in any manner whatsoever, any cinematograph work/content/programme/show relation to which plaintiffs have copyright. A decree is also passed directing the ISPs to block access to the said defendant-websites. DoT and MEITY are directed to issue a notification calling upon the various internet and telecom service providers registered under it to block access to the said defendant-websites. The plaintiffs are permitted to implead the mirror/redirect/alphanumeric websites under Order I Rule 10 CPC in the event they merely provide new means of accessing websites primary infringing that have been injuncted. The plaintiffs are also held entitled to actual costs of litigation. The costs shall amongst others include the lawyer's fees as well as the amount spent on Court-fees. The plaintiffs are given liberty to file on record the exact cost incurred by them in adjudication of the present suits. Registry is directed to prepare decree sheets accordingly."

The plaintiff has filed affidavit of investigator along with sufficient material to prove that proposed defendants/websites are mirror/redirect/alphanumeric websites of defendants which are also involved in violation of copyrights of plaintiff and have been permanently restrained to do so. In view of the submissions and judgment relied upon by learned counsel for applicant, the websites mentioned in the prayer clause of the application

especially Schedule-A are impleaded as defendants no. 193 to 209.

Since the newly added defendants are also stated to be involved in violation of copyrights of plaintiff, accordingly *exparte* Permanent Injunction dated 12.03.2020 is also extended against newly added defendants no. 193 to 209. The DoT, ISP and MEITY are directed to do the needful in terms of the abovesaid Decree of Permanent Injunction dated 12.03.2020.

Amended memo of parties is taken on record.

I.A. stands disposed of.

Registry is directed to do the needful.

Copy of order be given dasti.

PURSHOTAM PATHAK (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

DECEMBER 9, 2022/sk

Click here to check corrigendum, if any

\$~4

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ CS(COMM) 594/2019, I.A. 14909/2019

DISNEY ENTERPRISES, INC. & ORS. Plaintiffs

Through: Ms.Sneha Jain, Ms.Disha Sharma and

Ms. Snehima Jauhari, Advs.

versus

RLSBB.UNBLOCKED.LTDA & ORS. Defendants

Through: Mr.Abhay Prakash Sahay, CGSC

with Ms.Mannu Singh, Mr.Sagar and Ms.Indira Goswami, Advs. for R-42

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. KAMESWAR RAO

ORDER

% 12.03.2020

1. The plaintiffs have filed he present suit with the following prayers:

"In light of the foregoing, it is most respectfully prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to:

i. Issue an order and decree of permanent injunction restraining the Defendant Nos. 1-32 (and such other mirror/redirect/alphanumeric websites discovered to provide additional means of accessing the Defendant Websites, and other domains/domain owners/website operators/entities which are discovered to have been engaging in infringing the Plaintiffs' exclusive rights), its owners, partners, proprietors, officers, servants, employees, and all others in capacity of principal or agent acting for and on their behalf, or anyone claiming through, by or under it, from, in any manner hosting, streaming, reproducing, distributing, making available to the public and/or communicating to the public, or facilitating the same, on their websites, through the internet in any manner whatsoever, any cinematograph work/content/programme/ show in relation to which Plaintiffs have copyright,

- ii. Issue an order and decree directing the Defendant Nos.33-41, their directors, partners, proprietors, officers, affiliates, servants, employees, and all others in capacity of principal or agent acting for and on their behalf, or anyone claiming through, by or under it, to block access to the Defendant Nos. 1-32 websites identified by the Plaintiffs in the instant suit (and such other mirror/redirect/alphanumeric websites discovered to provide additional means of accessing domains/domain Defendant Website. and other owners/website operators/entities which are discovered to have been engaging in infringing the Plaintiffs' exclusive rights).
- iii. Issue an order directing the Defendant Nos. 42 and 43, to issue a notification calling upon the various internet and telecom service providers registered under it to block access to the Defendant Nos. 1-32 websites identified by the Plaintiffs in the instant suit (and such other mirror/redirect/alphanumeric websites discovered to provide additional means of accessing the Defendant Websites, and other domains/domain owners/website operators/entities which are discovered to have been engaging in infringing the Plaintiffs' exclusive rights);
- iv Issue an order directing the Domain Name Registrars of the Defendant Websites identified by the Plaintiffs in the Plaint to disclose the contact details and other details about the owner of the said websites, and other such relief as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper;
- v. Pass any other Order(s) as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case may also be passed in favour of the Plaintiff.

It is prayed accordingly."

2. Plaintiffs are amongst the leading Global Entertainment Companies engaged in the business of creation, production and distribution of motion

pictures / cinematograph films. The claim of the plaintiffs are premised on the allegation of illegal and unauthorised distribution, broadcasting, rebroadcasting, transmission and streaming of the plaintiffs' content by defendant Nos. 1 to 32 and 45 to 135 (hereinafter referred to as "rogue websites").

- 3. It is the case of the plaintiffs that as a result of the unauthorised transmission of their content, the defendant websites infringe their copyright in the original works produced by them to be protected under the provisions of the Copyright Act, 1957. The plaintiffs have impleaded various ISPs as defendant Nos. 33 to 41 and concerned Department of Government of India as defendant Nos. 42 and 43.
- 4. The plaintiffs alleged that the defendant Nos. 1 to 32 and 45 to 135 are the rogue websites. It is also the case of the plaintiffs that the plaintiffs conducted investigation through an independent investigator, which investigation revealed the infringing activity of the defendant websites. Inasmuch as the websites have infringed the plaintiffs' original content or facilitating the use of the defendant websites, inter alia by downloading and streaming the plaintiffs' original content.
- 5. It is also the case of the plaintiffs that a legal notice was served on the defendant websites calling upon them to cease from engaging in their infringing activities. It is also the case of the plaintiffs despite legal notice defendant websites continue to infringe the rights of the plaintiffs original content.
- 6. On October 24, 2019, this Court had passed the following interim order:

"<u>CS(COMM) 594/2019</u>

- 1. Let the plaint be registered as a suit.
- 2. Issue summons to all the Defendants through email returnable on January 13, 2020.
- 3. The summons to the Defendants shall indicate that a written statement to the plaint shall be filed positively within 30 days from date of receipt of summons. Along with the written statement, the Defendants shall also file an affidavit of admission/denial of the documents of the Plaintiffs, without which the written statement shall not be taken on record.
- 4. Liberty is given to the Plaintiffs to file a replication within 15 days of the receipt of the written statement. Along with the replication, if any, filed by the Plaintiffs, an affidavit of admission/denial of documents of the Defendants, be filed by the Plaintiffs, without which the replication shall not be taken on record. If any of the parties wish to seek inspection of any documents, the same shall be sought and given within the timelines.
- 5. List before the Joint Registrar for marking of exhibits on January 13, 2020. It is made clear that any party unjustifiably denying documents would be liable to be burdened with costs.
- 6. List before Court on March 12, 2020.

IA. 14909/2019 (U/O 39 Rule 1 & 2 CPC)

- 7. Issue notice to the Defendants through email, returnable on March 12, 2020.
- 8. The present suit has been filed for permanent injunction,

rendition of accounts and damages etc. Plaintiffs are amongst the leading Global Entertainment Companies engaged in the business of creation, production and distribution of motion pictures / cinematograph films.

9. **Defendants** Nos. 1-32 which include rlsbb.unblocked.ltda, with the additional domain rlsbb.unblocked.nz (hereinafter Defendant No. 1), ddlvalley.me (hereinafter Defendant No. 2), rutor.info, with the additional domainrutor.is (hereinafter Defendant No. 3), babytorrents.net additional domain babytorrent.st (hereinafter Defendant No. 4), vikv.net (hereinafter Defendant No. 5), vextorrents.com (hereinafter Defendant No. 6), gdrivedl.xyz (hereinafter Defendant No. 7), scnsrc.me with the additional domain scenesource.me (hereinafter Defendant No. x265movies.co (hereinafter Defendant No. 9), hdmoviesapk.in (hereinafter Defendant No. 10), filmypoint.site with the additional domain filmypoint.cc (hereinafter Defendant No. 11), 123tvstream.com (hereinafter Defendant No. 12), gomovies.cool (hereinafter Defendant No. 13), moviesghar.best (hereinafter Defendant No. 14), khaanflix.com with additional domain dutafilm.pw (hereinafter Defendant No. 15), 1movies.is (hereinafter Defendant No. 16), ssrmovies.ind.in with the additional domain ssrmovies.biz (hereinafter Defendant No. 17), hindiview.com (hereinafter Defendant No. 18), yesmovies.fm (hereinafter Defendant No. 19), hdhub4u.casa the additional domain hdhub4u.press (hereinafter with

Defendant No. 20), sdmoviespoint.me (hereinafter Defendant No. 21). prox4you.pro with the additional domain prox4you.info (hereinafter Defendant No. 22), mrunlock.space (hereinafter Defendant No. 23), nocensor.casa with the additional domains nocensor.fun, nocensor.icu (hereinafter Defendant No. 24), unblockproject.icu with the additional domains unblockproject.info, unblockproject.xyz, unblockproject.live (hereinafter Defendant No. 25). 123unblock.fun with the additional domains 123unblock.info, 123unblock.icu (hereinafter Defendant No. 26), unblocked.to with the additional domains unblocked.ltda, unblocked.dk, unblocked.ms, unblocked.cam, unblocked.krd, unblocked.srl, unblocked.bid, unblocked.pl, unblocked.pet, unblocked.vip, unblocked.tv, unblocked.llc, unblocked.app, unblocked.uno, unblocked.ist, unblocked.ink, unblocked.one, unblocked.nz, fmovies.unblocked.krd, fmovies.unblocked.pet (hereinafter Defendant No. 27) unlockproject.live (hereinafter Defendant No. 28), unblockninja.info (hereinafter Defendant No. 29), proxybit.pro (hereinafter Defendant No. 30), proxyportal.ws (hereinafter Defendant No. 31); hollymovies4u.com (hereinafter Defendant No. 32); (hereinafter referred to as "Defendant Websites" collectively). The Defendants have been joined together as Defendants, as each of the websites is clearly related, as can be seen from one or more of the following: the identity of the sites' contents, the identity / similarity in the look and feel of the websites' display; similarity in their domain

names; the same operator contact details; and/or the fact that content from one site is available on the other sites. Defendant Websites are online locations which enables users of the Defendant Websites' services to: (a) view (by a process known as "streaming / downloading") cinematograph films, being motion pictures, television programs or other audio-visual content, on devices connected to the Internet; (b) cause copies of those cinematograph films to be downloaded onto the memory of their devices for watching later or enabling others to watch or further copy those cinematograph films; and/or (c) identify other online locations including (by a process known as "linking") which enables those users to engage in the activities set out in (a) or (b).

- 10. It is stated in the plaint that Defendant Websites are primarily and substantially engaged in communicating to the public, hosting, streaming and/or making available to the public Plaintiffs' original content without authorization, and/or facilitating the same. Defendant Nos. 1 to 32 are making available, illegally and unauthorizedly, content of various parties like Disney Enterprises, Inc., Paramount Pictures Corporation, Columbia Pictures Industries, Inc., Universal City Studios LLC., and Netflix Entertainment Services India LLP, etc. (hereinafter referred to as 'studios').
- 11. It is further submitted that Plaintiffs' films are works of visual recording and include sound recordings accompanying such visual recordings, which qualify as a "cinematograph"

film" under Section 2(f) of the Copyright Act, 1957 (hereinafter 'the Act'). Further, by virtue of Section 13(1) read with Section 13(2), Section 5 and Section 40 of the Act, the Plaintiffs' cinematograph films whether released or not released in India would be entitled to all rights and protections granted under the Act for cinematograph films. The cinematograph films produced by the Plaintiffs are "works" as defined under Section 2(y) of the Act, Plaintiffs have all the rights in such cinematograph films granted under Section 14(d) of the Act, and Plaintiffs are the author and/or first owner and/or owners (under Section 17 of the Act) of the following illustrative list of cinematograph films that are entitled to protection under the Act:

Studio	Film/ Series	Year
DEI	Finding Dory	2016
DEI	The Jungle Book	2016
Warner	Suicide Squad	2016
Warner	The Conjuring 2	2016
Warner	Wonder Woman	2017
Warner	A Star Is Born	2018
Warner	Aquaman	2018
Warner	Arrow – Season 8	2019
Warner	Joker	2019
Netflix	Stranger Things – Season 3	2019
Netflix	Triple Frontier	2019
Netflix	Sacred Games – Season 2	2019
Netflix	Sacred Games – Season 1	2018
Netflix	Ibiza	2018
Netflix	Stranger Things – Season 2	2017
Netflix	Stranger Things – Season 1	2016
Columbia	Miracles from Heaven	2016
Columbia	This is the End	2013

Paramount	Transformers: Age of Extinction	2014
Paramount	Transformers: The Last Knight	2017
Paramount	xXx: Return of Xander Cage	2017
Universal	The Purge: Election Year	2016
Universal	Straight Outta Compton	2015
Universal	The Secret Life of Pets	2016
Universal	The Secret Life of Pets 2	2019

12. In order to protect and enforce their exclusive rights, the Plaintiffs investigated and monitored the Defendant Websites and gathered evidence of their infringing activity. During the period of investigation the Defendant Websites infringed the Plaintiffs' Original Content or facilitated the same, using or facilitating the use of the Defendant Websites, inter alia, by downloading and streaming the Plaintiffs' Original Content. The illustrative list of illegal content made available by Defendant Nos. 1 to 32, that are entitled to protection under the Act are mentioned hereinbelow:

Studio	Film/ Series	Year
DEI	Finding Dory	2016
DEI	The Jungle Book	2016
Warner	Suicide Squad	2016
Warner	The Conjuring 2	2016
Warner	Wonder Woman	2017
Warner	A Star Is Born	2018
Warner	Aquaman	2018
Warner	Arrow – Season 8	2019
Warner	Joker	2019
Netflix	Stranger Things – Season 3	2019
Netflix	Triple Frontier	2019

Netflix	Sacred Games – Season 2	2019
Netflix	Sacred Games – Season 1	2018
Netflix	Ibiza	2018
Netflix	Stranger Things – Season 2	2017
Netflix	Stranger Things – Season 1	2016
Columbia	Miracles from Heaven	2016
Columbia	This is the End	2013
Paramount	Transformers: Age of	2014
	Extinction	
Paramount	Transformers: The Last Knight	2017
Paramount	xXx: Return of Xander Cage	2017
Universal	The Purge: Election Year	2016
Universal	Straight Outta Compton	2015
Universal	The Secret Life of Pets	2016
Universal	The Secret Life of Pets 2	2019

13. Learned counsel for the Plaintiffs submits that legal notice was served upon the Defendant Websites calling upon them to cease from engaging in their infringing activities. Despite such legal notices, the Defendant Websites continue to infringe the rights in Plaintiffs' Original Content. The Defendant Websites are therefore willfully infringing Copyright material and ignoring or failing to respond to notice to cease all infringement. She further submits that, access of the Defendant Websites has been disabled in other jurisdictions such as rlsbb.com and rrlsbb.ru additional domains under Defendant No.1 have been blocked in Italy, Australia and Denmark; ddlvalley.me (Defendant No. 2) has been blocked in Australia and Italy; rutor.info (Defendant No.3) has been blocked in Denmark; scenesource.me and scnsrc.me (Defendant No.8) has been blocked in Australia & Belgium; yesmovies.org &

yesmovies.io additional domains under Defendant No. 19 have been blocked in Australia; unblocked.dk (Defendant No.27) has been blocked in Australia.

- Learned Counsel for the Plaintiffs submits that Defendant 14. Websites provides illegal content directly for free without any requirement of registration by users, and such availability of content is supported by the advertisements featured on the website. The primary purpose of the Defendant Websites is to commit or facilitate copyright infringement. Thus, Defendant Nos. 1 to 32 is liable for infringement under Section 51(a)(ii), Section 51(b), and Section 51(a)(i) for making a copy of the Original Content including the storing of it in any medium by electronic or other means and communicating the Original Content to the public. Further the hosting, streaming, reproducing, distributing, making available to the public, and/or communicating to the public of the Original Content, or facilitating the same, without authorization of the Plaintiffs amounts to violation of the Plaintiffs' copyright work, protected under the Act. In support of his contentions reliance has also been placed on the decision of this court in CS(COMM) 724/2017 dated 10th April, 2019, UTV Software Communication Ltd. vs. 1337X.TO and Ors.
- 15. Plaintiffs have arrayed various internet and telecom services providers (ISPs) as Defendant Nos. 33-41 (hereinafter "the said ISPs") in the present suit to ensure the effective implementation of any relief that this Hon'ble Court may grant in favour of the Plaintiffs. The limited relief being claimed against the

- said ISPs is to ensure the effective implementation of any order that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to grant in favour of the Plaintiffs by disabling access of the Defendant Websites in India.
- 16. Plaintiffs have also arrayed Defendant No. 42, the Department of Telecommunications (DoT), and Defendant No. 43, the Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology (MEITY), for a similar reason. The limited relief being claimed against the DoT and the MEITY is the issuance of a notification to the internet and telecom service providers registered with it to disable access into India of the Defendant Websites.
- 17. In view of the averments noted hereinabove and in view of the judgment passed in UTV Software Communication Ltd. (supra), this Court is of the opinion that a prima facie case is made out in favour of the Plaintiffs and balance of convenience is also in its favour. Further, irreparable harm or injury would be caused to the Plaintiffs if an interim injunction order is not passed.
- 18. Consequently, Defendant Nos. 1-32 (and such other domains/domain owners/website operators/entities which are discovered during the course of the proceedings to have been engaging in infringing the Plaintiffs' exclusive rights), their owners, partners, proprietors, officers, servants, employees, and all others in capacity of principal or agent acting for and on their behalf, or anyone claiming through, by or under it, are restrained from, hosting, streaming, reproducing, distributing, making available to the public and/or communicating to the public, or facilitating the same, in any manner, on their websites, through the internet any

cinematograph work/content/programme/ show in relation to which Plaintiffs have copyright;

- 19. Further, as held by this court in UTV Software Communication Ltd. (supra), in order for this court to be freed from constant monitoring and adjudicating the issues of mirror/redirect/alphanumeric websites it is directed that as and when the Plaintiffs file an application under Order I Rule 10 for impleadment of such websites, Plaintiffs shall file an affidavit the confirming that newly impleaded website mirror/redirect/alphanumeric website with sufficient supporting evidence. Such application shall be listed before the Joint Registrar, who on being satisfied with the material placed on record, shall issue directions to the ISPs to disable access in India to such mirror/redirect/alphanumeric websites.
- 20. Defendant Nos. 33-41, shall ensure compliance of this order by blocking, the websites, their URL's and the respective IP address as under:

List of Websites

Defen dant No.	S.N o.	Domains	URLs	IP Addresses	
1.	1.	rlsbb.unblocked.ltd a	https://rlsbb.unblo cked.ltda	104.25.10.10	104.25.11.10

	2.	rlsbb.unblocked.nz	http://rlsbb.unblo cked.nz	104.27.186.115	104.27.187.115
2.	3.	ddlvalley.me	http://ddlvalley.m	104.24.98.152	104.24.99.152
3.	4.	rutor.info	http://rut or.info/	91.132.60.13	
	5.	rutor.is	http://rutor.is/	91.132.60.14	
4.	6.	babytorrents.net	https://babytorren ts.net/	104.27.176.213	104.27.177.213
	7.	babytorrent.st	http://babytorrent. st	104.28.0.34	104.28.1.34
5.	8.	vikv.net	https://vikv.net	104.27.172.173	104.27.173.173
6.	9.	vextorrents.com	https://vextorrents .com/	104.28.12.97	104.28.13.97
7.	10.	gdrivedl.xyz	https://gdrivedl.xy z/	104.18.58.143	104.18.59.143
8.	11.	scnsrc.me	http://scnsrc.me	104.31.16.3	104.31.17.3
	12,	scenesource.me	http://scenesource .me	104.31.16.3	104.31.17.3
9.	13.	x265movies.co	https://x265movie	212.83.151.223	
10.	14.	hdmoviesapk.in	https://hdmoviesa pk.in/	104.24.100.195	104.24.101.195
11.	15.	filmypoint.site	https://filmypoint. site/	104.27.174.166	104.27.175.166
	16.	filmypoint.cc	https://filmypoint.	104.24.118.32	104.24.119.32
12.	17.	123tvstream.com	http://123tvstream .com	104.31.90.195	104.31.91.195
13.	18.	gomovies.cool	https://www7.gom ovies.cool	104.27.178.40	104.27.179.40
14.	19.	moviesghar.best	https://www.movi esghar.best	104.26.8.16	104.26.9.16
15.	20.	khaanflix.com	https://khaanflix.c	104.28.28.160	104.27.129.242

	21.	dutafilm.pw	https://dutafilm.p w	104.27.136.1119	
16.	22.	1movies.is	https://www4.1mo	104.31.64.238	104.31.65.238
<i>17</i> .	23.	ssrmovies.ind.in	https://www.ssrm ovies.ind.in	104.24.110.191	104.24.111.191
	24.	ssrmovies.biz	http://ssrmovies.bi	104.28.14.252	104.28.15.252
18.	25.	hindiview.com	http://hindiview.c	104.27.176.123	104.27.177.123
19.	26.	yesmovies.fm	https://www6.yes movies.fm	172.64.130.14	172.64.131.14
20.	27.	hdhub4u.casa	https://hdhub4u.c asa	104.24.122.211	104.24.123.211
	28.	hdhub4u.press	http://hdhub4u.pr ess	104.27.160.206	104.27.161.206
21.	29.	sdmoviespoint.me	https://sdmoviesp oint.me	104.18.36.210	104.18.37.210
22.	30.	prox4you.pro	https://prox4you.p	104.18.58.212	104.18.59.212
	31.	prox4you.info	http://prox4you.in fo	104.27.182.91	104.27.183.91
23.	32.	mrunlock.space	https://mrunlock.s	104.28.30.129	104.28.31.129
24.	33.	nocensor.casa	https://nocensor.c asa	104.24.102.195	104.24.103.195
	34.	nocensor.fun	http://nocensor.fu n/	104.24.106.180	104.24.107.180
	35.	nocensor.icu	http://nocensor.ic u	104.28.26.152	104.28.27.152
25.	36.	unblockproject.icu	https://unblockpro ject.icu	104.31.92.108	104.31.93.108
	37.	unblockproject.info	https://unblockpro ject.info/	104.27.184.38	104.27.185.38

	38.			104.27.188.146	104.27.189.146
		unblockproject.xyz	http://unblockproj ect.xyz		
	39.	unlockproject.live	http://unlockproje ct.live	104.31.18.30	104.31.19.30
26.	40.	123unblock.fun	http://123unblock. fun	104.28.0.166	104.28.1.166
	41.	123unblock.info	http://123unblock.	104.31.82.153	104.31.83.153
	42.	123unblock.icu	http://123unblock. icu/	104.18.44.139	104.18.45.139
27.	43.	unblocked.to	https://unblocked. to	104.28.14.242	104.28.15.242
	44.	unblocked.ltda	https://unblocked. ltda	104.25.10.10	104.25.11.10
	45.	unblocked.dk	https://unblocked. dk	104.27.182.69	104.27.183.69
	46.	unblocked.ms	http://unblocked. ms	185.178.208.161	
	47.	unblocked.cam	http://unblocked.c am	104.28.4.69	104.28.5.69
	48.	unblocked.krd	http://unblocked.k rd	104.27.142.32	104.27.143.32
	49.	unblocked.srl	http://unblocked.s rl	104.24.124.146	104.24.125.146
	50.	unblocked.bid	http://unblocked.b id	104.31.18.30	104.31.19.30
	51.	unblocked.pl	http://unblocked.p	104.27.184.40	104.27.185.40
	52.	unblocked.pet	http://unblocked.p	104.31.16.3	104.31.17.3

	53.	unblocked.vip	http://unblocked.v ip	104.18.50.219	104.18.51.219
	54.	unblocked.tv	http://unblocked.t	104.31.16.3	104.31.17.3
	55.	unblocked.llc	http://unblocked.ll	104.31.16.3	104.31.17.3
	56.	unblocked.app	http://unblocked.a	104.31.16.3	104.31.17.3
	57.	unblocked.uno	http://unblocked.u no	104.31.94.3	104.31.95.3
	58.	unblocked.ist	http://unblocked.i st	104.31.68.62	104.31.69.62
	59.	unblocked.ink	http://unblocked.i nk	104.31.16.3	104.31.17.3
	60.	unblocked.one	http://unblocked.o	104.27.180.148	104.27.181.148
	61.	unblocked.nz	http://unblocked.n	104.27.186.115	104.27.187.115
	62.	fmovies.unblocked. krd	http://fmovies.unb locked.krd	104.27.142.32	104.27.143.32
	63.	fmovies.unblocked. pet	http://fmovies.unb locked.pet	104.31.16.3	104.31.17.3
28.	64.	unlockproject.live	http://unlockproje ct.live	104.31.18.30	104.31.19.30
29.	65.	unblockninja.info	https://unblocknin ja.info/	104.31.92.108	104.31.93.108
30.	66.	proxybit.pro	https://proxybit.pr	104.27.180.73	104.27.181.73
31.	67.	proxyportal.ws	https://proxyporta	104.28.14.239	104.28.15.239
32.	68.	hollymovies4u.com	http://hollymovies 4u.com	104.28.28.160	104.28.29.160

- Further, Defendant Nos. 42 and 43 are directed to suspend the aforenoted domain name registration of Defendant Nos. 1 to 32 and issue requisite notifications calling upon various internet and telecom service providers registered under them to block the aforenoted websites identified by Plaintiffs.
- 21. Let provisions of Order XXXIX Rule 3 CPC be complied by way of email within a period of one week.
- 22. A copy of this order be given dasti under the signature of the Court Master."
- 7. I have been informed that pursuant to the interim order, defendant No. 42 has issued a notification. I have also been informed that defendant Nos. 33 to 41 have blocked the rogue websites.
- 8. Learned counsel for the plaintiffs presses the prayers: (i) to (iii). In other words, the other reliefs as made in the plaint are not pressed. Learned counsel relies upon the judgment of a co-ordinate bench of this Court in the case of *UTV Software Communication Ltd. And Ors. v. 1337X. to and Ors.*, 2019 (78) PTC 375 (Del.); and connected matters decided on April 10, 2019 to contend, which deals with the determination of rogue websites and the proper orders to be passed in such cases extensively.
- 9. In *UTV Software (supra)*, the Court heard arguments on behalf of the plaintiffs therein and also appointed an amicus curiae. As in the present case, neither the ISPs impleaded therein nor the Government of India advanced any submission on merits. In circumstances virtually identical with the present case, the Court came to the conclusion that the concerned websites were liable for copyright infringement under Section 51 of the Act

and were not entitled to exemptions under 51 (1)(c) of the Act or Section 79 of Information Technology Act, 2000. As far as rogue websites are concerned, the Court identified the following illustrative factors to be considered in determining whether a particular website falls within that class:-

- "59. In the opinion of this Court, some of the factors to be considered for determining whether the website complained of is a FIOL/Rogue Website are:-
- a. whether the primary purpose of the website is to commit or facilitate copyright infringement;
- b. the flagrancy of the infringement, or the flagrancy of the facilitation of the infringement;
- c. Whether the detail of the registrant is masked and no personal or traceable detail is available either of the Registrant or of the user.
- d. Whether there is silence or inaction by such website after receipt of take down notices pertaining to copyright infringement.
- e. Whether the online location makes available or contains directories, indexes or categories of the means to infringe, or facilitate an infringement of, copyright;
- f. Whether the owner or operator of the online location demonstrates a disregard for copyright generally;
- g. Whether access to the online location has been disabled by orders from any court of another country or territory on the ground of or related to copyright infringement;
- h. whether the website contains guides or instructions to circumvent measures, or any order of any court, that disables

access to the website on the ground of or related to copyright infringement; and

- i. the volume of traffic at or frequency of access to the website;
- j. Any other relevant matter."
- 10. Learned counsel for the plaintiffs has drawn my attention to two affidavits filed by Mr. Manish Vaishampayan, who conducted the investigation with regard to the aforesaid websites on the instance of the plaintiffs to contend that the said websites need to be treated as "rogue websites". She has also drawn my attention to the following documentary evidence in support of each of the aforesaid websites:

"

S. No.	Particulars	Court File Pagination along with Volume No.	Convenience File Pagination
1.	Print of Contact Details of various websites as available on WHOIS (primary domains): 1) RIsbb.unblocked.ltda	FOLDER IV	
		696-698 (Vol. 4)	
	2) Ddlvalley.me	734-737 (Vol.4)	
	3) Rutor.info	820-824 (Vol. 4)	
	4) Babytorrents.net	959-961 (Vol. 5)	
	5) Vikv.net	1172-1174 (Vol.6)	
	6) Vextorrents.com	1248-1249 (Vol.6)	
	7) Gdrivedl.xyz	1290-1292(Vol.7)	
	8) Scnsrc.me	1329-1331 (Vol.7)	

9) X265movies.co	1432-1433(Vol.7)
10)Hdmoviesapk.in	1479-1481(Vol.7)
11)Filmypoint.site	1537-1539(Vol.8)
12)123tvstream.com	1590-1592 (Vol.8)
13)Gomovies.cool	1619-1622(Vol.8)
14)Moviesghar.best	1660-1662(Vol.8)
15)Khaanflix.com	1738-1740(Vol.9)
16)1movies.is	1938-1940 (Vol.10)
17)Ssrmovies.ind.in	2008-2011 (Vol.10)
18)Hindiview.com	2028-2083 (Vol.11)
19)Yesmovies.fm	2134-2136 (Vol.11)
20)Hdhub4u.casa	2174-2177 (Vol.11)
21)Sdmoviespoint.me	2231-2233 (Vol.11)
22)Prox4u.pro	2310-2312 (Vol.12)
23)Mrunlock.space	2438-2440 (Vol.12)
24)Nocensor.casa	2471-2474 (Vol.13)
25)Unblockproject.icu	2542-2544 (Vol.13)
26)123unblock.fun	2622-2624 (Vol.13)
27)Unblocked.to	2696-2697 (Vol.14)

	28)Unblockproject.live	2854-2857 (Vol.15)
	29)Unblockninja.info	2932-2934
	30)Proxybit.pro	(Vol.15) 3013-3015 (Vol.15)
	31)Proxyportal.ws	3050-3052 (Vol.15)
2.	Copies of proof of ownership of movie titles a) Finding Dory (Disney) b) The Jungle Book(Disney)	@45-70 of Documents (Folder IV Vol. 1)
	c) Transformers: The Last Knight(Paramount)	
	d) Miracles from Heaven(Columbia)	@3105-3111 of
	e) Joker (Warner)	Documents
	f) Aquaman (Warner)	(Folder IV Vol. 16)
	g) The Lego Batman Movie (Warner)	
	h) Stranger Things- Season 1 (Netflix)	
	i) Sacred Games- Season 1 (Netflix)	
	j) The Secret Life of Pets 2 (Universal)	
3.	Screenshots of Homepage of various websites (primary domains): 1) Rlsbb.unblocked.ltda	FOLDER IV
		645-658 (Vol. 4)
	2) Ddlvalley.me	703-707(Vol.4)
	3) Rutor.info	738-750 (Vol. 4)

A) Dahadamanta nat	004.004()/-1.5)
4) Babytorrents.net	901-904(Vol. 5)
5) Vikv.net	967-969 (Vol.5)
6) Vextorrents.com	1175-1176(Vol.6)
7) Gdrivedl.xyz	1250-1252 (Vol.7)
8) Scnsrc.me	1293-1296 (Vol.7)
9) X265movies.co	1070 1070() (17)
	1373-1378(Vol.7)
10)Hdmoviesapk.in	1434-1438(Vol.7)
11)Filmypoint.site	1482-1489 (Vol.8)
12)123tvstream.com	1546-1552 (Vol.8)
13)Gomovies.cool	1593-1595(Vol.8)
14)Moviesghar.best	1623-1624(Vol.8)
15)Khaanflix.com	1665-1708 (Vol.9)
16)1movies.is	1838-1839 (Vol.10)
17)Ssrmovies.ind.in	1941-1943 (Vol.10)
18)Hindiview.com	2014-2018 (Vol.11)
19)Yesmovies.fm	2084-2089 (Vol.11)
20)Hdhub4u.casa	2137-2141 (Vol.11)
21)Sdmoviespoint.me	2182-2189

	22)Prox4u.pro	2234-2235, 2244-
		2246 (Vol.12)
	23)Mrunlock.space	2313-2314, 2323-
		2331 (Vol.12)
	24)Nocensor.casa	2441-2454
		(Vol.13)
	25)Unblockproject.icu	2485-2486, 2495-
	00)400 11 17	2496 (Vol.13)
	26)123unblock.fun	2555-2558
	07/11/11/11/11	(Vol.13)
	27)Unblocked.to	2635-2638
	00)11.11.1	(Vol.14)
	28)Unblockproject.live	2852-2853
	00)11.11.1.1.1.1	(Vol.15)
	29)Unblockninja.info	2858-2866
	00) D	(Vol.15)
	30)Proxybit.pro	2935-2936, 2945-
		2947 (Vol.15)
	31)Proxyportal.ws	3016-3025
		(Vol.16)
	32)Hollymovies4u.com	3055-3057
		(Vol.16)
4.	Printout of proof of infringement by websites (primary domains): 1) Rlsbb.unblocked.ltda	FOLDER IV
		668-686 (Vol. 4)
	2) Ddlvalley.me	710-722(Vol.4)
	3) Rutor.info	751-765 (Vol. 4)
	4) Babytorrents.net	905-925(Vol. 5)
	5) Vikv.net	972-1140(Vol.5-6)
	6) Vextorrents.com	1182-1239(Vol.6)
	7) Gdrivedl.xyz	1257-1273 (Vol.7)
	8) Scnsrc.me	1300-1318 (Vol.7)

9) X265movies.co	1379-1413 (Vol.7)
10)Hdmoviesapk.in	1443-1471(Vol.7)
11)Filmypoint.site	1490-1517(Vol.8)
12)123tvstream.com	1556-1563 (Vol.8)
13)Gomovies.cool	1596-1605(Vol.8)
14)Moviesghar.best	1625-1633 (Vol.8)
15)Khaanflix.com	1712, 1807-1810 (Vol.9)
16)1movies.is	1846-1920 (Vol.10)
17)Ssrmovies.ind.in	1954-1992 (Vol.10)
18)Hindiview.com	2027-2033 (Vol.10)
19)Yesmovies.fm	2090-2130 (Vol.11)
20)Hdhub4u.casa	2143-2160 (Vol.11)
21)Sdmoviespoint.me	2190-2204 (Vol.11)
22)Prox4u.pro	2247-2260 (Vol.12)
23)Mrunlock.space	2332- 2339(Vol.12)
24)Nocensor.casa	2455-2462 (Vol.13)
25)Unblockproject.icu	2497-2511 (Vol.13)
26)123unblock.fun	2562-2568 (Vol.13)
27)Unblocked.to	2650-2664 (Vol.14)

	28)Unblockproject.live	2852-2853	
		(Vol.15)	
	29)Unblockninja.info	2867-2882	
		(Vol.15)	
	30)Proxybit.pro	2948-2963	
		(Vol.15)	
	31)Proxyportal.ws	3026-3041	
		(Vol.16)	
	32)Hollymovies4u.com	3064-3080	
		(Vol.16)	
5.	Printouts of the DMCA, FAQ, etc. pages, evidencing infringing nature of the Defendant Websites:		
	Rlsbb.unblocked.ltda(Defendant No.1)	Contact@659	
	2) Ddlvalley.me (Defendant No.2)	DMCA@ 708 (Vol.4) Conatct Us @709	
		(Vol.4)	
	3) vikv.net (Defendant No. 5)	DMCA@ 970-971 (Vol.5)	
	4) Vextorrents.com(Defendant No. 6)	How to download @1177-1179 Contact Us @1180-1181 (Vol. 6)	
	5) Gdrivedl.xyz (Defendant No.7)	Request Movie Page @1253- 1256 (Vol.7)	

6) Hdmoviesapk.in(Defendant No.	O
10)	@1439-1440
	DMCA @1441
	Contact Us
	@1442 (Vol.7)
7) 123tvstream.com (Defendant No.	DMCA @1553-
12)	1554
	Contact Us
	@1555 (Vol. 8)
8)Khaanflix.com (Defendant No. 15)	DMCA @1709-
	1711
	(Vol.9)
9) 1movies.is (Defendant No. 16)	DMCA@ 1840-
	1841
	Contact Us
	@1842-1843 (Vol.
	10)
8) Ssrmovies.ind.in (Defendant No.	DMCA @1944-
17)	1948
	Contact Us
	@1949-1953
	(Vol. 10)
9) Hindiview.com(Defendant No. 18)	DMCA @2019-
	2020
	Contact Us @
	2021-2022
	(Vol.10)
10) Hollymovies4u.com (Defendant	DMCA @3058-
No. 32)	3060
	Contact
	Us@3061-3063
	(Vol. 16)

- 11. On the basis of the evidence placed on record, and keeping in mind the factors identified by this Court in *UTV Software (supra)*, I find that there is sufficient evidence to hold that the defendant websites are "rogue websites":
- a. From Affidavits of Mr. Manish Vaishampayan, it appears that the primary purpose of the defendant-websites is to provide unauthorised and

infringing content to the public.

- b. The details of the registrants of each of the websites are masked and no personal or traceable details are available either of the registrant or the user.
- c. Despite receipt of legal notices, the defendant-websites have not complied with the requests to take down the infringing content.
- d. The defendant-websites contain directories or indexes to facilitate infringement of copyright.
- e. Sample evidence of infringement has been filed before this Court. An illustrative list of the content in respect of which infringement is demonstrated has also been filed.
- 12. The learned counsel for the plaintiffs had relied upon Annexure-E of Delhi High Court (Original Side) Rules, 2018 clause 9(h)(ii) which states for this Court to pass summary judgment. As I find the defendant Nos.1 to 32 and 45 to 135 are not appearing, despite notice, the suit can be heard and decided.
- 13. Following the judgment in *UTV Software* (*supra*), the defendant websites having been identified as rogue websites, the plaintiffs are entitled to the prayer as sought in prayer clauses (i) to (iii) of the plaint.
- 14. In *UTV Software (supra)*, the Court also examined the issue of grant of dynamic injunctions and permitted subsequent impleadment of mirror/redirect/alphanumeric websites which provide access to the defendant websites, by filing an application under Order I Rule 10 of the CPC before the Joint Registrar alongwith an affidavit with supporting evidence, confirming that the proposed website is mirror/redirect/alphanumeric website of the injuncted defendant websites. At Ms.Sneha Jain's request, the

same directions are liable to be made in this case also.

- 15. The suit is therefore decreed in terms of prayer clauses (i), (ii) and (iii) of the plaint, extracted above. The plaintiffs are also permitted to implead any mirror/redirect/alphanumeric websites which provide access to the defendant-websites by filing applications under Order I Rule 10 of the CPC supported by affidavits and evidence as directed above. Any websites impleaded as a result of such application will be subject to the same decree. The plaintiffs are entitled to actual costs of litigation including lawyers' fees and court fees. They will file an affidavit of their actual costs within two weeks.
- 16. Decree sheets be drawn up accordingly.
- 17. The suit and pending applications stand disposed of.

V. KAMESWAR RAO, J

MARCH 12, 2020/bh

- 2. The present application has been filed seeking impleadment of additional mirror/redirect/alphanumeric variations under Order I Rule 10 CPC as these variations merely provide access to the same websites which are the subject of the main injunction, namely, the following domains, which are also listed in Schedule A with their URLs and IP addresses:
 - 193. 1sdmoviespoint.best
 - 194. sdmoviespoint.fit
 - 195. sdmoviespoint.store
 - 196. sdmoviespoint.ink
 - 197. sdmoviespoint.bond
 - 198. sdmoviespoint.plus
 - 199. sdmoviespoint.mba
 - 200. sdmoviespoint.mom
 - 201. sdmoviespoint.ltd
 - 202. sdmoviespoint.city
 - 203. sdmoviespoint.show
 - 204. 2sdmoviespoint.xyz
 - 205. 2sdmoviespoint.com
 - 206. 1sdmoviespoint.rest
 - 207. ssrmovies.autos
 - 208. ssrmovies.help
 - 209.yesmovies.at

(hereinafter referred to as 'Proposed Defendant Websites') as Defendants No. 193 to 209 The additional mirror/redirect/alphanumeric variations, who the Plaintiffs are proposing to implead in the present suit are engaged in the business of hosting, streaming, retransmitting, making available for viewing and download, providing access to,