BY EMAIL & DoT website

Dated: 14.09.2023

Government of India Ministry of Communications Department of Telecommunications Sanchar Bhawan, 20, Ashoka Road, New Delhi - 110 001 (Data Services Cell)

No. 813-07/LM-39/2023-DS-II

To,

All Internet Service Licensee's

Subject: Cs(Comm) 610/2023 Cresset Capital Management Llc & Anr. Vs. Egistrant Of www.Cressetcapital.in www.Cressetindialive.live & Ors. Before Hon'ble Delhi High Court.

Kindly find the enclosed Hon'ble Delhi High Court order dated **01.09.2023** on the subject matter.

- 2. Please refer to the para 31(iv) of the said court order in respect of blocking of 05 websites enumerated in the said para 25.
- 3. Accordingly, in view of the above, all the Internet Service licensees are hereby instructed to take immediate necessary action for blocking of the said website, as above, for compliance of the said court order.

Director (DS-II) Tel: 011-2303 6860

Email: dirds2-dot@nic.in

Encl:A/A

Copy to:

- (i) V.Chinnasamy, Scientist E (chinnasamy.v@meity.gov.in), Electronics Niketan, Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology (MeitY) New Delhi for kind information and necessary action.
- (ii) Harish Vaidyanathan Shankar (hvscgscdhc@gmail.com) Senior Panel Counsel for kind information.
- (iii) Sourabh Rath (Sourabh.Rath@trilegal.com), counsel for the plaintiff for kind information.
- (iv) IT wing of DoT for uploading on DoT websites please.





\$~46

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ CS(COMM) 610/2023 and I.A. 16723/2023-16730/2023

CRESSET CAPITAL MANAGEMENT LLC & ANR. Plaintiffs

Through: Mr. Saurabh Kirpal, Sr. Adv. with

Mr. Anuj Berry, Mr. Sourabh Rath, Mr. Vibhore Yadav & Ms. Gauri Pasricha, Advs. (M: 9811153629)

versus

REGISTRANT OF WWW.CRESSETCAPITAL.IN

WWW.CRESSETINDIALIVE.LIVE & ORS. Defendants

Through: Mr. Harish Vaidyanathan Shankar

CGSC with Mr Gokul Sharma GP, Mr. Srish Kumar Mishra, Mr. Sagar Mehlawat, Mr. Alexander Mathai Paikaday, Mr. Krishnan V and Mr. M Sriram, Adv. for D- 7 & 8. (M:

9045885304)

Mr. Mrinal Ojha, Mr. Debarshi Dutta, Mr. Anand Raja & Ms. Tanya Chaudhry, Advs. for D-6. (M:

9540480144)

Ms. Hetu Arora Sethi, Adv.

CORAM:
JUSTICE PRATHIBA M. SINGH
ORDER
01.09.2023

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.

I.A. 16729/2023 (for exemption)

2. This is an application seeking leave to file a lengthy list of dates and events. For the reasons stated in the application, the exemption is allowed,

CS(COMM) 610/2023 Page 1 of 14





subject to all just exceptions.

3. Accordingly, application is disposed of.

I.A. 16730/2023 (for exemption from giving advance notice)

- 4. This is an application filed by the Plaintiffs, seeking exemption from serving notice to Defendant No. 7- Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology & Defendant No. 8- Department of Telecommunications under Section 80 of the CPC
- 5. Exemption is allowed. However, Mr. Harish V. Shankar, ld. CGSC has been requested to accept notice. Application is disposed of.

I.A. 16728/2023 (exemption)

6. This is an application seeking exemption from filing original documents. Recording the Plaintiffs' undertaking that the inspection of original documents shall be given, if demanded, or that the original documents shall be produced/filed at the time of Admission/Denial, if sought, strictly as per the provisions of the Commercial Courts Act and the DHC (Original Side) Rules, 2018. Application is disposed of.

I.A. 16727/2023 (Exemption from filing court fee)

7. This is an application for exemption from filing court fee. The court fee to be deposited within one week failing which the Registry shall put up a report before this Court. Application is disposed of.

I.A. 16726/2023 (for additional documents)

8. This is an application seeking leave to file additional documents under the Commercial Courts, Commercial Division and Commercial Appellate Division of High Courts Act, 2015 (hereinafter, 'Commercial Courts Act'). If the Plaintiffs, wish to file additional documents at a later stage, they shall do so strictly as per the provisions of the Commercial Courts Act and the

CS(COMM) 610/2023 Page 2 of 14





DHC (Original Side) Rules, 2018.

9. Application is disposed of.

I.A. 16725/2023 (u/S 12A of the Commercial Courts Act)

10. This is an application seeking exemption instituting pre-litigation mediation. In view of the fact that urgent *ex-parte interim* injunction is being sought in the present case as also the order passed by the Division Bench of this Court in *Chandra Kishore Chaurasia v. R A Perfumery Works Private Ltd*, 2022/DHC/004454, the application is allowed and disposed of.

I.A. 16724/2023 (exemption from advance service to the Defendants)

- 11. In view of the fact that the Plaintiffs have sought *ex parte ad-interim* injunction, the exemption from advance service to the Defendants is granted.
- 12. Application is disposed of.

CS (COMM) 610/2023

- 13. Let the plaint be registered as a suit.
- 14. Issue summons to the Defendants through all modes upon filing of Process Fee.
- 15. The summons to the Defendants shall indicate that the written statement to the plaint shall be positively filed within 30 days from date of receipt of summons. Along with the written statement, the Defendants shall also file an affidavit of admission/denial of the documents of the Plaintiffs, without which the written statement shall not be taken on record.
- 16. Liberty is given to the Plaintiffs to file the replication within 15 days of the receipt of the written statement(s). Along with the replication, if any, filed by the Plaintiffs, an affidavit of admission/denial of documents of the Defendants, be filed by the Plaintiffs, without which the replication shall not be taken on record. If any of the parties wish to seek inspection of any

CS(COMM) 610/2023 Page 3 of 14





documents, the same shall be sought and given within the timelines.

- 17. List before the Joint Registrar for marking of exhibits on 3rd November, 2023. It is made clear that any party unjustifiably denying documents would be liable to be burdened with costs.
- 18. List before Court on 27th September, 2023.

I.A. I.A. 16723/2023 (u/O XXXIX Rules 1 & 2 CPC)

- 19. Issue notice.
- 20. The present suit is another in the series of Domain Name cases repeatedly being filed before this Court seeking protection against frauds being committed by registering domain names consisting of well-known trademarks and brand names.
- 21. This suit has been filed by M/s Cresset Capital Management, LLC and Cresset Administrative Services Corporation, both US-based companies engaged in providing investment advisory and asset management services. The case of the Plaintiffs is that they were set up in 2017 by their founders Mr. Eric Becker and Mr. Avy Stein to provide wealth management expertise and also guide clients for new investment opportunities. According to the Plaintiffs, the 'Cresset' mark has gained global recognition since 2017, as evidenced by numerous credentials and awards received in a short time. The Plaintiffs' claim to be handling funds worth more than 40 billion dollars. The websites www.cressetcapital.com and www.cressetpartners.com have been continuously used since 2017 to promote the Plaintiffs' business.
- 22. The trademark 'Cresset' is stated to be registered in the US however, the same is yet to be applied for registration in India. The claim of the Plaintiffs is that their marks enjoy global reputation and goodwill owing to the online presence of the Plaintiffs through the websites. In order to

CS(COMM) 610/2023 Page 4 of 14





establish goodwill, ld. Sr. counsel relies upon various articles published in Indian magazines/publications including the Economic Times, Mint, Forbes, PR Newswire, Thinkadvisor.com, etc.

- 23. In the present case, the grievance of the Plaintiffs' is that one Mr. Karthikeyan Ganesan appears to have registered various domain names, which are enumerated below:
 - (i) <u>www.cressetcapital.in</u>
 - (ii) www.cressetindialive.live
 - (iii) www.cressetindialive.com
 - (iv) https://wap.stockix.in (wherein a subdomain has been created)
- 24. The allegation is that all these domain names/subdomains which have been noticed since May, 2023 when complaints were received from certain consumers/users that they have started investing money through these websites. The websites had replicated the content of the Plaintiffs' website and thus users were unable to decipher that the said websites were fraudulent websites. All these websites/ domain names appear to be run by one Mr. Karthikeyan Ganesan. The contents of the emails sent by the users of the Plaintiff, which have been received are set out below illustratively:

<u>Name</u>	Email Id	Content of email received
Nagaraj	ganesh.narayanaug231 @gmail.com	Hello, I have noticed that somebody has started whatsapp group in the name Cresset capital India, and now they are getting members to registed under institutional account by collecting money. Could you please confirm if you are starting in india or it is an fake membership they are making here please?

CS(COMM) 610/2023 Page 5 of 14





		VP name is Mr. Michael Pedersen. Key
		person name Mr. Karthikeyan Ganesan
Niket Mittal	niket.mittal@gmail.co	Hi Team, I am Niket Mittal, based out of
	<u>m</u>	India. Recently I came across a WhatsApp
		group managed by Mr. Karthikeyan
		Ganesan (+91-7983915243). He has
		introduced us as an employee of Cresset
		and has been giving buying
		recommendation on the Indian stocks. So
		can you please confirm that this is an official group of Cresset and he is your
		employee.
Sundaram	sundaram69@gmail.co	1 1
Iyer	<u>m</u>	or Associatea operating in India. I have
		been approached by a team lead Mr.
		Karthikeyan Ganesh for investment into
		equity thru Cresset India. Please find
		below his whatsapp message:
		1: Cresset is a Wall Street financial
		institution Currently promoting the brand in India
		Here is our website:
		https://www.cressetcapital.in/
		2: We currently only have a studio in India,
		and I will hold a meet and greet in the future at the Shangri-La Eros Hotel in New
		Delhi
		3: We held 5 membership sessions in India
		Always loved by Indian investors
		4: We are also teaching on the online live
		broadcast platform
		5: At present, everything we do is free
		Mainly push the Cresset brand become our member
		6: The institutional account is the same as
		your personal account
		Your own after registration
		no one else can use
		Funds are also free to enter and exit

CS(COMM) 610/2023 Page 6 of 14





Krishna	krish.p491@gmail.co	Hi Team,
Byale	<u>m</u>	I have recently joined a whatsapp group
		with name below
		"Cresset Capital Business School phase 6
		India School District" where teachers and
		a assistant provides updates on stocks to
		buy and sell. Also they suggested to open
		below institutional account so we can trade
		through institutional account, just curious
		to know wheather this is genuine website
		and people and beling to Cresset capital?
		Website: m.creseetcapital.in
		Please comfirm wheather this website is
		genuine as they suggested to open account
		and add money to trade through above
		cresset capital institutional account, also
		would like to know if I add money will it be
		safe and secure to trade along with
		withdraw money?
		Looking forward to hear your response as
		I'm quite afraid to add money and trade on
		above website?

25. A perusal of the emails and the domain names which have been registered as also the websites which are running would show that the said Registrants of the Domain Names are brazenly using the Cresset name, the Cresset logo as also the content of the Plaintiffs' website only with a view to completely impersonate themselves as the Plaintiffs and collect money fraudulently from gullible consumers. A bare persual of the websites leave no manner of doubt that the same are a fraudulent imitation and violation of the Plaintiffs' common law rights in the mark 'Cresset' as also in the logo.

CS(COMM) 610/2023 Page 7 of 14





The Domain Name Registrars (DNRs) of these domain names are as under:

<u>S. No.</u>	Domain Name Registrar	<u>Website</u>
DNR-1	Web Commerce Communications (Singapore) Pvt. Ltd. – Defendant no. 4	www.cressetcapital.in www.cressetindialive.live
DNR-2	Global Domain Group LLC - Defendant no. 5	www.cressetindialive.com
DNR-3	Godaddy.com, LLC - Defendant no. 6	https://stockix.in - In which the subdomain https://wap.stockix.in is created.

The Plaintiffs have also impleaded MEITY/DoT as Defendant Nos. 7 and 8 respectively.

- 26. After perusing the record it is clear that the mark 'Cresset' as also the accompanying logo is being misused by unscrupulous persons to create fraudulent websites and to illegally and unlawfully collect monies portraying themselves to be the Plaintiffs. All the domain name registrations which are on record show that they are privacy protected and, thus, the whereabouts or the identities of the persons who have registrated the domain names is unknown except to the Registrars of the domain name. The said domain names deserve to be taken down immediately in order to ensure that further duping of innocent persons is curtailed. A detailed investigation also deserves to be directed in the present case through the Cyber cell, Delhi Police for effective action against the persons behind such websites.
- 27. Under these circumstances, the Court had also called upon Ms. Hetu Arora Sethi, ld. Additional Standing Counsel, GNCTD, appearing for the

CS(COMM) 610/2023 Page 8 of 14





Cyber Cell of the Delhi Police in similar matters to assist the Court.

- 28. After perusing the record, it is clear that the Plaintiff had made out a prima facie case for grant of an injunction. The present facts and circumstances leave no doubt that the balance of convenience is heavily tilted in favour of the Plaintiffs as, if the impugned websites of the Defendants are allowed to operate, it would harm not just the Plaintiffs irreparably, but would also severely damage public interest. Similar factual matrix as the present case has also been considered by this Court in a batch of over 40 cases including **Dabur India Limited v. Ashok Kumar & Ors, 2022:DHC:1049**, wherein it was held that operation of infringing websites would be against public interest and cause incalculable harm to the public. Relevant extracts of the said order are extracted below:
 - "17. Under these circumstances, the use of the aforementioned domain names and the hosting of websites using the same, in a manner so as to deceive the general public as also small businesses, who may be enticed into seeking franchisees and distributorships, using the mark/name DABUR, ought not to be permitted.
 - 18. The legal rights of the Plaintiff are severely affected by the use of the mark 'DABUR', as also various logos of the various products and images thereof. Moreover, apart from the rights of the Plaintiff, which are being infringed, it would also not be in public interest to permit these domain names and websites to operate, so as to continue to deceive and cheat the general public in India and abroad.

xxx xxx xxx

21. This Court is convinced that the Plaintiff has made out a prima facie case for the grant of ex-parte injunction and the balance of convenience is in favour of the Plaintiff. <u>Irreparable loss would be caused to</u>

CS(COMM) 610/2023 Page 9 of 14





the Plaintiff if an ex-parte injunction is not passed in favour of the Plaintiff. The loss to the public is also incalculable."

- 29. This Court would also reiterate the observations made by a ld. Single Judge of this Court in the judgement, *UTV Software Communication Ltd.* and Ors. v. 1337X to and Ors., 2019 (78) PTC 375 (Del), wherein it was observed that while blocking of websites was a cumbersome exercise, there is a definitive requirenment to frame some policy in this regard. The relevant observations of the Court in the judgement of *UTV Software Communication Ltd.* (supra) are as under:
 - "104. This Court is of the view that since website blocking is a cumbersome exercise and majority of the viewers / subscribers who access, view and download infringing content are youngsters who do not have knowledge that the said content is infringing and / or pirated, it directs the MEITY/DOT to explore the possibility of framing a policy under which is a warning is issued to the viewers of the infringing content, if technologically feasible in the form of emails, or pop-ups or such other modes cautioning the viewers to cease viewing/downloading the infringing material. In the event the warning is not heeded to and the viewers /subscribers continue to view, access or download the infringing/pirated content, then a fine could be levied on the viewers/subscribers."
- 30. Recently, this Court in *Universal City Studios LLC & Ors vs DotMovies.Baby and Ors, 2023:DHC:5842*, taking into consideration the dynamic nature of the infringing activity carried out by hydraheaded rogue websites decided to pass a Dynamic+ Injunction. The relevant extracts of the said decision are extracted as under:

CS(COMM) 610/2023 Page 10 of 14





"16. The dynamism of the injunction, by itself, in one country or another may not, however be sufficient to protect copyright owners. There is an imminent need to evolve a global consensus in this regard inasmuch as despite ISPs blocking these websites, the said websites can be accessed through VPN servers, and other methods to which the long arm of the law cannot extend etc.

XXX XXX XXX

19. As innovation in technology continues, remedies to be granted also ought to be calibrated by Courts.

This is not to say that in every case, an injunction quafuture works can be granted. Such grant of an injunction would depend on the fact situation that arises and is placed before the Court.

20. In the facts and circumstances as set out above, an ex parte ad interim injunction is granted restraining the Defendants, who are all rogue websites, from in any manner streaming, reproducing, distributing, making available to the public and/or communicating to the public any copyrighted content of the Plaintiffs including future works of the Plaintiffs, in which ownership of copyright is undisputed, through their websites identified in the suit or any mirror/redirect websites or alphanumeric variations thereof including those websites which are associated with the Defendants' websites either based on the name, branding, identity or even source of content. To keep pace with the dynamic nature of the infringement that is undertaken by hydra-headed websites, this Court has deemed it appropriate to issue this 'Dynamic+ injunction' to protect copyrighted works as soon as they are created, to ensure that no irreparable loss is caused to the authors and owners of copyrighted works, as there is an imminent possibility of works being uploaded on rogue websites or their newer versions immediately upon the films/shows/series etc. The Plaintiffs are permitted to implead

CS(COMM) 610/2023 Page 11 of 14





mirror/redirect/alphanumberic variations websites identified in the suit as Defendants Nos. 1 to 16 including those websites which are associated with the Defendants Nos. 1 to 16, either based on the name. branding, identity or even source of content, by filing an application for impleadment under Order I Rule 10 CPC in the event such websites merely provide new means of accessing the same primary infringing websites that have been injuncted. The Plaintiffs are at liberty to also file an appropriate application seeking protection qua their copyrighted works, including future works, if the need so arises. Upon filing such applications before the Registrar along with an affidavit with sufficient supporting evidence seeking extension of the injunction to such websites, to protect the content of the Plaintiffs, including future works, the injunction shall become operational against the said websites and qua such works. If there is any work in respect of which there is any dispute as to ownership of copyright, an application may be moved by the affected party before the Court, to seek clarification."

- 31. Accordingly, the following directions are issued:
- i. The Defendants' websites and any other websites bearing the mark 'Cresset' shall stand restrained from using the mark 'Cresset' or the 'Cresset' logo or portraying themselves in any manner as originating from the Plaintiffs including for the purpose of collecting monies or misusing the said mark in any manner whatsoever.
- ii. The Domain Name Registrars shall immediately lock and suspend the said domain names, thereby bringing down the websites.
- iii. The Domain Name Registrars shall also disclose the details of the persons who have registered these domain names including any KYC details, credit card information, etc., which may be available if the said

CS(COMM) 610/2023 Page 12 of 14





Defendants have availed of cloud services or any other services from the said DNRs.

- iv. DoT/MEITY shall, immediately, give instructions to all ISPs to block the above websites within 48 hours of the release of the order.
- v. Considering the fact that the 'Cresset' is an invented mark, if any other domain names and websites come to the Plaintiffs' knowledge consisting of the mark 'Cresset' or the logo the Plaintiffs, the Plaintiffs shall file an affidavit before the Registrar with sufficient evidence that the content and branding of the Plaintiffs are being infringed in an unauthorised manner. Upon filing of such affidavits, the Plaintiffs are free to serve the said affidavits on the DNRs and inform the DNRs, who shall immediately lock and suspend the said domain names as well.
- vi. Access to the websites shall also be blocked by all the ISPs upon blocking orders being issued by MEITY/DOT.
- vii. Insofar as the person/s who appear to be running these websites and collecting monies from consumers are concerned, the cyber cell, Delhi Police shall, immediately, on the basis of today's order, commence action in accordance with law.
- 32. In the meantime, the cyber cell shall also enquire about any bank accounts which the said individual may have opened and issue a freezing order in respect of all the bank accounts. The details of the bank accounts may be available on the websites which are currently operational. Copy of the paperbook be served upon Ms. Sethi, ld. Counsel today itself by counsel for the Plaintiff.
- 33. Since the identity of most of the Defendants are not known, the service of summons and notices shall be permitted through emails, as

CS(COMM) 610/2023 Page 13 of 14





available.

34. List on 27th September 2023.

PRATHIBA M. SINGH, J.

SEPTEMBER 1, 2023

dj/am

[corrected and released on 5th September, 2023]

CS(COMM) 610/2023 Page 14 of 14

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

(Ordinary Original Commercial Civil Jurisdiction)
CS (COMM) No. of 2023

IN THE MATTER OF:

CRESSET CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, LLC & ANR.

...PLAINTIFFS

VERSUS

REGISTRANT OF <u>HTTPS://WWW.CRESSETCAPITAL.IN/#/;</u> WWW.CRESSETINDIALIVE.LIVE & ORS.

...DEFENDANTS

MEMO OF PARTIES

1. CRESSET CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

Through its authorised signatory

Having its registered office at:

444 West Lake Street, Suite 4700,

Chicago, Illinois, 60606, USA

Email: wrudnick@cressetcapital.com ...PLAINTIFF NO. 1

2. CRESSET ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES CORPORATION

Through its authorised signatory

Having its registered office at:

444 West Lake Street, Suite 4700,

Chicago, Illinois, 60606, USA

Email: wrudnick@cressetcapital.com ...PLAINTIFF NO. 2

Versus

1. REGISTRANT OF <u>WWW.CRESSETCAPITAL.IN</u>; <u>WWW.CRESSETINDIALIVE.LIVE</u>

LAI WEN DONG

Having its address at: Nan Jing (665500) Nin Jing Aomen, China

Email: 123@qq.com; Fabit.online7@gmail.com;

cressetcapital.india@gmail.com; cressetcapital.in@gmail.com

...DEFENDANT NO. 1

2. REGISTRANT OF <u>WWW.CRESSETINDIALIVE.COM</u>

...DEFENDANT NO. 2

3. REGISTRANT OF https://wap.stockix.in

...DEFENDANT NO. 3

4. WEB COMMERCE COMMUNICATIONS (SINGAPORE) PTE. LTD.

Through its authorised signatory

Having its registered address at:

410 North Bridge Road,

Singapore – 188726

Email: support@webnic.cc

...DEFENDANT NO. 4

5. GLOBAL DOMAIN GROUP LLC

Through its authorised signatory

Having its registered address at:

210 S Ellsworth Ave.,

San Mateo, California (94401), USA

Email: abuse@globaldomaingroup.com

...DEFENDANT NO. 5

6. GODADDY.COM, LLC

Through its authorised signatory

Having its registered address at:

2155 East GoDaddy Way

Tempe, Arizona (85284), USA

Email: abuse@godaddy.com; legal@godaddy.com

...DEFENDANT NO. 6

7. MINISTRY OF ELECTRONICS & INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

Through the Director General (DIT) Cyber Laws & E-security

Having its office at:

Electronics Niketan, 6, CGO Complex

Lodhi Road, New Delhi – 110003

Email: cyberlaw@meity.gov.in; gccyberlaws@meity.gov.in;

uoidhc@gmail.com

...DEFENDANT NO. 7

8. DEPARTMENT OF TELECOMMUNICATIONS

Through Secretary

Having its office at:

20, Sanchar Bhawan,

Ashoka Road New Delhi -110001

Email: secy-dot@nic.in; uoidhc@gmail.com

...DEFENDANT NO. 8

9. ASHOK KUMARS

...DEFENDANT NO. 9

21

[Note: The complete details of Defendant Nos. 1 to 3 are not available at the present moment. This has been duly mentioned in the Plaint. The amended memo of parties will be filed as and when the additional details of Defendant Nos. 1 to 3 are made available to the Plaintiffs.]

THROUGH

Anuj Berry / Sourabh Rath

Ani Bury.

(D/449/2006) TRILEGAL

Advocates for the Plaintiffs

1st Floor, Wing A&B, Prius Platinum,

D-3, District Centre, Saket, New Delhi – 110017

Anuj.Berry@trilegal.com

+91 9811153629 / 011 4163 9393

PLACE: NEW DELHI

DATED: 25 August 2023

Note: Defendant No. 1 to 6 are main Defendants.